

Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:A-2-1(1-VECC#1) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 2

| 1 | Res | ponse to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #1 |
|----|--------------|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | <u>Refer</u> | ence: E-A/T2/S1 |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | <u>Ques</u> | tion #1: |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | a. | Please provide the Canada/Ontario actual CPI and GDP IPI inflation rate for the |
| 8 | | first 6 months of 2015. |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | b. | Please provide the actual CPI (annual) inflation for 2012 through 2014. |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | <u>Resp</u> | onse: |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | a. Car | ada/Ontario actual CPI and GDP IPI inflation rate for the first 6 months of 2015: |
| 17 | The | GDP-IPI is only available on a quarterly basis and is presently only finalized to Q1- |
| 18 | 201 | 5. |

19

| Month | CPI Canada (Index) | CPI Canada (Percentage) | CPI Ontario (Index) | CPI Ontario (Percentage) |
|--------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Jan- 2015 | 124.3 | | 125.3 | |
| Feb- 2015 | 125.4 | 0.9% | 126.2 | 0.7% |
| Mar- 2015 | 126.3 | 0.7% | 127.1 | 0.7% |
| Apr- 2015 | 126.2 | -0.1% | 126.9 | -0.2% |
| May- 2015 | 126.9 | 0.6% | 127.7 | 0.6% |
| Jun- 2015 | 127.2 | 0.2% | 128.2 | 0.4% |

Source: Stats Canada CANSIM Table 326-0020



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:A-2-1(1-VECC#1) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 2

| Quester | GDP- IPI Canada | GDP-IPI Canada |
|---------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Quarter | (Index) | (Percentage) |
| Q4-2014 | 112.0 | 0.50/ |
| Q1-2015 | 112.2 | -0.5% |

Source: Stats Canada CANSIM Table 380-0066

- 1
- 2 b. Actual CPI (annual) inflation for 2012 through 2014:

3

| Year | CPI Canada (Index) | CPI Canada (Percentage) |
|------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| 2011 | 119.9 | |
| 2012 | 121.7 | 1.5% |
| 2013 | 122.8 | 0.9% |
| 2014 | 125.2 | 2.0% |

Source: Stats Canada CANSIM Table 326-0021

- 4
- 5



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:OTHER(1-VECC#2) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 2

| 1 | <u>Respon</u> | se to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #2 |
|----|------------------|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | <u>Reference</u> | e Letter of Comment/Hershell Sax June 4, 2015; E-B/T1/S2/pg.188 |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | Question | <u>#2:</u> |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | a. | In his letter of comment Mr. Sax notes that an Ottawa Sun Poll carried out in |
| 8 | | May 2015 showed that 97% of respondents opposed to HOL's rate increase. |
| 9 | | Please provide the referenced newspaper article and poll results. |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | Response | <u>):</u> |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | a. | On May 11, 2015, the Ottawa Sun published a news article titled "Hydro rate |
| 16 | | hike fans hard to find" by Keaton Robbins. The article, which can be retrieved |
| 17 | | online at www.ottawasun.com/2015/05/11/hydro-rate-hike-fans-hard-to-find |
| 18 | | included collecting opinions from eleven Ottawa residents. The article states, |
| 19 | | "In one hour, 90% of people (10 out of 11) said the rate increase is |
| 20 | | unreasonable and opposed it. |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | Q: What do you think of Hydro Ottawa's survey saying 70% of Ottawans are |
| 23 | | okay with a rate increase?? |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | "It's complete BS. Not at all. I'm not happy about that (survey numbers)." |
| 26 | | — Joe Merlo |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | "It's impossible. I don't think anybody wants hydro rates to go up. They're |
| 29 | | already the highest in the country." |
| 30 | | — Ray Tetu |
| 31 | | |



| 1 | "That's terrible. Come on. I think it's bogus. They've been increasing for |
|----|--|
| 2 | several years now and it keeps going up. Are we going to have to walk |
| 3 | around with a candle?" |
| 4 | — Marie-Lynn Savoie. |
| 5 | |
| 6 | "Give me a good reason and maybe I'll consider it. I'm not going to say yes |
| 7 | for no reason." |
| 8 | — Claire Cameron |
| 9 | |
| 10 | "I think it should be prorated, depending on how much you earn. Maybe they |
| 11 | could have a rate for people on welfare and another rate for people." |
| 12 | — Dan Waselnuk |
| 13 | |
| 14 | "The rates are nuts. I used \$25 worth of hydro and my bill was \$65 or more. |
| 15 | They can't seem to get a handle on how to correct it." |
| 16 | — Lise Juno |
| 17 | |
| 18 | "From an international perspective, prices are rising. My parents live in Serbia |
| 19 | and they have increase of 30% (every year) I think we're a little bit spoiled |
| 20 | in Canada. We're so used to low energy prices." |
| 21 | — Agatha Schwartz." |
| | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR: Other (1-VECC#2) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 4

| Res | conse to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #3 |
|--------------|--|
| | |
| Refere | ence: Letter of Comment Kathleen Glasspool/James Hurd June 4, 2015 |
| | |
| <u>Quest</u> | ion #3: |
| | |
| a. A r | number of letters of comment, including the one referenced, are from customers |
| who p | participated in a survey done by or on behalf of Hydro Ottawa. These writers |
| sugge | st that the customer outreach was designed to solicit the response desired by |
| Hydro | Ottawa. Please respond to this criticism. |
| | |
| b. As | part of any of the survey's completed were customers informed that the rate |
| increa | se was necessary to maintain system safety and reliability? |
| | |
| | |
| _ | |
| <u>Respo</u> | onse: |
| | Innovative Research Crown Inc. (INNOVATIVE) was commissioned by Hydro |
| a. | Ottawa to help the utility design, collect feedback and desument its sustemer |
| | engagement and consultation process as part of its 2016 Custom Incentive Rate- |
| | setting application Based on its experience delivering similar customer |
| | engagement surveys for other Ontario utilities INNOVATIVE developed a |
| | process built on five key principles: |
| | |
| | 1. Ensure all Hydro Ottawa customers have an opportunity to be heard. |
| | 2. Use random-sampling research elements to ensure a representative sample of |
| | customers are engaged. |
| | 3. Create open voluntary processes that allow anyone who wants to be heard an |
| | opportunity to express themselves. |
| | Refere Quest a. A n who p sugge Hydro b. As increa a. |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR: Other (1-VECC#2) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 4

4. Focus on fundamental value choices. Look for questions that ask people to choose between key outcomes rather than focus on the technical questions of how to reach those outcomes.

5. Create an opportunity for the public to learn the basics of the distribution system so they can provide a more informed point of view.

5 6

1

2

3

4

7 The goal of the online survey workbook was to provide customers with 8 background information regarding Hydro Ottawa and its role within the provincial 9 electrical system; what the Hydro Ottawa system looks like today; and the 10 challenges it is facing in the future. Throughout the workbook, there are 11 embedded questions to gather customer feedback on issues like overall 12 satisfaction and reliability, investment options and Hydro Ottawa's plans for the 13 future. The final question ascertains the extent to which customers are willing to 14 accept Hydro Ottawa's proposed rate increase.

15

16 Since this was the first time Hydro Ottawa so explicitly engaged customers in the 17 development of their distribution system planning, a specific effort was made to 18 collect participant comments on the process itself. Most customers felt this 19 approach to engagement was effective at soliciting their feedback on Hydro 20 Ottawa's investment and spending plan.

21

Three quarters of respondents feel Hydro Ottawa's plan covered the topics they expected either very (24%) or somewhat (52%) well. One-in-five (19%) say the plan did not cover the topics they expected well.

25

Those who said the plan did not cover the topics they expected were asked what was missing. About one-in-six (17%) wanted more information on plans to reduce costs and find efficiencies, and as many (17%) wanted a better breakdown of revenue/expenditures. Slightly fewer (13%) felt the information and question response options were biased. Over one-in-ten (13%) wanted information on salaries/bonuses for Hydro Ottawa staff.



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR: Other (1-VECC#2) ORG ORIGINAL Page 3 of 4

- b. The relationship between infrastructure investments and reliability is discussed
 within the surveys. The workbook used for online surveying and customer focus
 groups states on page two: "Our goal is to continue delivering the electricity local
 homes and businesses depend on, reliably and efficiently. With aging
 infrastructure and a growing city, significant investments must be made to
 achieve this goal.
- 9 There is a balancing act that all utilities must consider when planning for the 10 future; system reliability versus the cost to consumers. Generally, the more 11 reliable the system, the more expensive the system is to build and maintain.
- 12

8

1

- 13 This customer consultation is designed to collect your feedback on the reliability 14 of Ottawa's electricity distribution system and the spending decisions Hydro 15 Ottawa will need to make over the next five years."
- 16

19

- 17The topic of reliability is further addressed on pages 10, 12 and 13 of the18workbook.
- 20 On page 10, the workbook states "Hydro Ottawa's plan includes increasing 21 investments in this infrastructure. If these aging assets aren't addressed, they will 22 have a negative impact on reliability."
- 23

- Pages 12 and 13 include an explanation of Hydro Ottawa tracks its reliability performance using SAIDI, SAIFI and outage cause metrics. It outlines the company's 2014 outage statistics, including explaining how the utility is addressing the two leading causes of power outages in 2014: defective equipment and weather/lightning damage. The workbook states:
- 30 "Together, equipment failure and adverse weather, including lightning, represent
 31 47% of all power outages. Moving forward, it is critical that investment levels for



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR: Other (1-VECC#2) ORG ORIGINAL Page 4 of 4

1 equipment replacement increase in order to reinforce the system against future 2 storms and to get ahead of the curve on aging equipment. 3 4 The frequency of outages due to defective equipment has increased by 12% since 2010. 5 6 7 Hydro Ottawa monitors the health of its infrastructure very closely and conducts 8 audits of its assets. These audits help Hydro Ottawa prioritize which parts of the 9 system get upgraded or rebuilt first. 10 11 21% of outages are storm-related. While adverse weather is beyond Hydro 12 Ottawa's control, our ability to respond to these challenges is not. 13 14 Each year Hydro Ottawa trims more than 40,000 trees near power lines. When 15 trees are close enough to potentially contact power lines, public safety and 16 reliability can be compromised. 17 18 In addition to this regular maintenance, Hydro Ottawa started an extensive tree 19 trimming project in 2014 to limit the impact of future ice or wind storms. This 20 project focuses on branches that are overhanging power lines in 2,600 locations 21 across the city." 22



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:B-1-2 (2-VECC#4) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 1

| 1 | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #4 |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Reference E-B/T1/S2/pg.48 |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Question #4: |
| 6 | |
| 7 | a. Please provide the Productive time ratios for 2011 through 2014 and the |
| 8 | associated projected (targets) for 2015 through 2020. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | Response: |
| 12 | 2011 – 70% |
| 13 | 2012 – 71% |
| 14 | 2013 – 69% |
| 15 | 2014 – 71% |
| 16 | 2015 – 75% June YTD actual (prior to summer vacation period) |
| 17 | The productive time ratio is tracked as a measure on the Balanced Productivity Metrics |
| 18 | scorecard. Hydro Ottawa Limited is working on establishing forward looking targets for |
| 19 | these measures by year end 2015. |
| | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:B-1-2 (2-VECC#5) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 2

| 1 | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #5 |
|----------|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | <u>Reference</u> E-B/T1/S2 pgs. 69/236 |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Question #5: |
| 6 | |
| 7 | a. At page 69 of the DSP it lists projects designed to reduce outage frequency and |
| 8 | duration. Please provide the 2014 through 2019 actual/forecast expenditures on these |
| 9 | programs. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | b. HOL states that reliability driven projects are almost exclusively driven by automation |
| 12 | projects. Table 3.4.8 shows that HOL is proposing to spend more than 6 times its |
| 13 | average annual spending in this category between between 2015 and 2018 as |
| 14 | compared to 2011 and 2014 (approx. 5.0m vs. \$807k vs). Please provide the |
| 15 | metric/targets that will be used to assess the efficacy of these programs in reducing |
| 16 | outages and outage duration. |
| 17 | |
| 18 | c. If these investments have no impact on reliability frequency or duration of what |
| 19 | consequence will this be for management compensation or future rates? |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | Response: |
| 24 | a As shown in Exhibit B-1-2 Table 3.1.1 reliability projects are done under System |
| 24 25 | Reliability and Distribution Automation programs. The 2014-2015 budgets are |
| 25 26 | listed in Exhibit B-1-2 Table 3.4.7: however, it is not broken down into Budget |
| 23 27 | Programs The table below shows the budgets for 2014 and 2015 by budget |
| -, 28 | program |
| -0 | program. |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:B-1-2 (2-VECC#5) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 2

| Capital Program | Budget Program | \$'000 | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------|--------|--|
| | | 2014 | 2015 | |
| Stations Capacity | Stations New Capacity | 4,352 | 2,187 | |
| Distribution Enhancements | Line Extensions | 6,666 | 4,685 | |
| | System Voltage Conversion | 6,600 | 9,132 | |
| | System Reliability | 785 | 470 | |
| | Dist. Enhancements | 535 | 888 | |
| Automation | SCADA Upgrades | - | 304 | |
| | SCADA - RTU Additions | 53 | 170 | |
| | Distribution Automation | 184 | 2834 | |
| | Stations Automation | 122 | 136 | |
| Total | | 19,298 | 20,806 | |

Table VECC #5 – 1: 2014 and 2015 System Service by Budget Program

2 The proposed 2016-2020 budgets for the System Reliability and Distribution 3 Automation programs can be found in Exhibit B-1-2 Table 3.5.10.

4

1

5 b. The increase in budget for Distribution Automation is due to the Telecommunications Master Plan project. The Telecom Plan was developed in 6 7 2014 to provide a complete picture of the core Wide Area Network required to 8 accommodate all HOL distribution system communications needs. The plan 9 includes a detailed investment roadmap which describes the necessary 10 investments and outcomes over the next 10 years. The justification for this 11 project and performance targets can be found in Section 4.1 Telecommunications 12 Master Plan of Attachment B-1(A) – Materials Investments System Service.

13

c. Please see Interrogatory Response to OEB #14 part iii for impact of system
 reliability performance indicators to management performance appraisals
 processes.



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:B-1-2 (2-VECC#6) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 2

| 1 | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #6 | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | | | | | | |
| 3 | Reference E-B/T1/S2/pg.181 | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | |
| 5 | Question #6: | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | |
| 7 | a. Please provide the number of customers in Casselman. Please also provide the | | | | | |
| 8 | growth in this service area from 2012 through 2014 and the forecast growth to 2020. | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | |
| 10 | b. Please provide the estimated cost of the second transformer planned for this service | | | | | |
| 11 | area. Please also provide the estimated in-service date. | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | |
| 13 | c. What other strategies have been considered/implemented to address the single | | | | | |
| 14 | supply issue for Casselman? | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | |
| 16 | d. Please provide the business case/analysis for the transformer investment in | | | | | |
| 17 | Casselman. | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | |
| 21 | Response: | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| 23 | a. The number of customers in Casselman is 1,723. | | | | | |
| 24 | The peak loading from 2012 – 2014 is shown in the Table VECC #6 - 1: | | | | | |
| 25 | Table VECC #6 - 1: Casselman historical peak loading 2012 - 2014 | | | | | |
| | Cassleman MS 2012 2013 2014 | | | | | |
| | Load in MVA 6.4 5.6 4.3 | | | | | |
| 26 | The forecasted conservative and 1-in-10 year peak loading for 2015 - 2020 is shown in | | | | | |
| 27 | the Table VECC #6 - 2: | | | | | |
| 28 | Table VECC #6 - 2: Casselman forecasted peak loading 2015 - 2020 | | | | | |
| | Cassleman MS 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 | | | | | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:B-1-2 (2-VECC#6) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 2

| | | Load in MVA | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | |
|----|----|-------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-------------|------------|
| | | Load in MVA (1-in-10 year) | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | b. | The total cost of the | e projec [.] | t is \$4.7 | '4M. The | e estima | ted in-se | ervice da | ate of the |
| 3 | | transformer is 2015. | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | C. | The other option cor | nsidered | to addr | ess the | single su | pply iss | ue for C | asselman |
| 6 | | was to remain a sing | le transfo | ormer lin | eup. Issu | ues with t | his appr | oach incl | lude: |
| 7 | • | Ongoing costs, relial | oility and | d power | quality i | ssues as | ssociated | d with re | liance on |
| 8 | | with Hydro One syste | em | | | | | | |
| 9 | • | Existing fused transfo | ormer pro | otection | deemed | a risk to | safety & | reliability | / |
| 10 | • | No ability to add new | circuits | | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | d. | The business case | for the t | ransform | ner inves | stment in | Cassel | man is l | ocated in |
| 13 | | Attachment B-1(A) - | Material | Investme | ents Syst | tem Serv | ice secti | on 1.6, p | ages 196 |
| 14 | | - 200. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:B-1-2 (2-VECC#7) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 2

| 1 | <u>Resp</u> | onse to Vulneral | ole Energy C | Consume | rs Coaliti | on Interre | ogatory | Question | <i>#</i> 7 |
|----|-------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------|-----------|------------|
| 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Refe | rence E-B/T1/3 | S2/pg.203 | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Ques | stion #7: | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | a. | Please provide | the 2015 – 2 | 020 SCAE | DA project | expendit | ures | | |
| 8 | b. | Please provide | the targets/r | netrics us | ed to me | asure the | efficacy | of the So | CADA |
| 9 | | and WiMAX inv | estments on | reliability. | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | Resp | oonse: | | | | | | | |
| | | _ | | | | | | | |
| 14 | a | The budgeted S | SCADA Proje | ct expend | itures are | in Table | VECC #7 | ∕ — 1. | |
| 15 | | Table VEC | CC #7 – 1: B | udgeted \$ | SCADA P | roject Ex | cpenditu | res | |
| | | Year | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |] |
| | | Capital (\$000's) | 303 | 1,011 | 1,011 | 556 | 51 | 51 | |

16There was an error in Exhibit B-1-2, Table 3.1.8 – Material Capital Expenditures17for 2015 and 2016 Projects (3/2) where the total budget for the SCADA Upgrade18project should be \$2,983,000.19There was an error in Attachment B-1(A) - Material Investments System Service,2020

- 20Section 5.1.3.2 Project/Program Timing & Expenditure where the reflected costs21should be equal to Table VECC #7 1.
- 22
- b. Please see Interrogatory Response to OEB #15 part ix and Interrogatory
 Response to CCC #5 part b.
- The WiMAX pilot project has been one of technical and operational evaluation. These evaluations have served as a pathfinder project for future communications investments which will involve a wide variety of equipment and solutions, including WiMAX. Due to the fact that these communications investments will go



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:B-1-2 (2-VECC#7) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 2

hand in hand with the deployment of automation devices and sensors, Hydro
 Ottawa Limited anticipates that the WiMAX investments will contribute to the
 improvement of customer reliability.



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:B-1-2 (2-VECC#8) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 1

| 1 | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #8 |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Reference E-B/T1/S2/pg.222 |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Question #8: |
| 6 | |
| 7 | a. The average annual system access commercial investments between 2011 and 2014 |
| 8 | was \$9,743,000. The average forecast investment for the period 2015 through 2018 is |
| 9 | \$12,830,000 or approximately 31% higher. We note a similar increase is not forecast for |
| 10 | residential system access investments. Please explain why HOL believes it will see over |
| 11 | 30% growth in the commercial system access expenditures over the next 4 years. |
| 12 | |
| 13 | b. Please provide the 2015 actual (6 months) to date spending on this category. For |
| 14 | comparison please provide the similar results for the 2014 Jan-June period. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | Response: |
| 19 | |
| 20 | a. Please refer to Interrogatory Response to CCC #20 part c. |
| 21 | |
| 22 | b. Please refer to Interrogatory Response to EP #13 part c. |
| | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:B-1-2 (2-VECC#9) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 2

| 1 | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #9 |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Reference E-B/T1/S2/pg.222 |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Question #9: |
| 6 | |
| 7 | a. The average investment between 2011 and 2014 for "Damage to Plant" has beer |
| 8 | \$960m. Please explain why the forecast amounts for 2015 through 2020 are |
| 9 | significantly higher? |
| 10 | |
| 11 | b. Does the 2013 category of "Damage to Plant" include costs related to the 2013 ice |
| 12 | storm? If so please identify those costs. |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Response: |
| 17 | |
| 18 | a. The response is based on the assumption of average investment of \$960 |
| 19 | THOUSAND based on historical spends provided in Exhibit B-1-2, Table 3.4.3 |
| 20 | (page 222). The forecast amounts from 2015 to 2020 represent approximately a |
| 21 | 23% increase over the average investment over the 2011 - 2014 time period |
| 22 | however Hydro Ottawa has seen its two-year rolling average on Damage to Plan |
| 23 | spending increase from $757k$ in 2011 – 2012 to $1.1M$ in 2013 – 2014. Due to the |
| 24 | largely unknown and variable nature of this program, historical trends are used as |
| 25 | the basis for budgeting and forecasting and the 2015 - 2020 forecasted amounts |
| 26 | are in line with Hydro Ottawa's two-year rolling average historical spending. The |
| 27 | 2015 forecast represents a 1% increase over the 2013 - 2014 average spend and |
| 28 | the 2016 – 2020 forecasted amounts represent a 2% increase over the prior year's |
| 29 | forecast. |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:B-1-2 (2-VECC#9) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 2

b. None of the 2013 costs in "Damage to Plant" relate to the 2013 ice storm. While
Hydro Ottawa did assist the City of Toronto in the aftermath of the storm, none of
those efforts resulted in restorations of any of Hydro Ottawa's assets, therefore
none of the costs associated were classified in Damage to Plant in 2013.



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:B-1-2 (2-VECC#10) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 2

| 1 | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consume | rs Coalition Interrogatory Question #10 |
|----|---|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | Reference E-B/T1/S2/pg.228 | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | Question #10: | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | a. Please provide a table identifying al | station asset investments by year, with |
| 8 | project start and in-service dates for th | e period 2015 through 2020. |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| | | |

11 Response:

12

13 a. System Renewal & System Service projects that start or are taking place during 2016,

14 can be found in Attachment B-1(A) Material Investments. The 2017-2020 project lists

15 are not yet optimized and thus specific projects with start dates and in service dates

16 have not been confirmed. Please see table VECC.10-1 below for Hydro Ottawa station

- 17 projects with start dates prior to 2017.
- 18

19

Table VECC #10 -1: Hydro Ottawa station projects with start dates prior to 2017

| | | System Service Forecasted Spend | | |
|----------------------|----------------|--|---------------|--------------------|
| Capital Program | Budget Program | Project | Start Date | In-Service Date |
| Stations Capacity | Stations New | 92006303 - Casselman T1 | Q1 2012 | Q4 2015 |
| | Capacity | 92005135 - Hinchey New Switchgear | Q2 2012 | Q4 2015 |
| | | 92008763 - Lisgar Transformer Upgrade | Q1 2014 | Q4 2017 |
| | | 92008899 - TFX New Leitrim T1 (Island) | Q1 2015 | Q4 2018 |
| | | 92008593 - Richmond South DS | Q1 2015 | Q3 2019 |
| | | 92008537 - New South 28KV Substation | Q1 2015 | Q4 2020 |

- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:B-1-2 (2-VECC#10) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 2

1

2

| | | System Renewal Forecasted Spend | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|---|---------------|--------------------|
| Capital Program | Budget Program | Project | Start Date | In-Service Date |
| Stations | Stations | 92008491 - Longfields XFRM Base Rpl- Incl | Q1 2015 | Q1 2016 |
| Assets | Trans Repl | 92008579 - TFX Repl-13/4kV AlbionUA T1&T2 | Q1 2015 | Q4 2016 |
| | rtopii | 92008661 - XFRM Repl. Bronson SBT1 & SBT2 | Q1 2016 | Q4 2017 |
| | | 92008485 - Merivale DS Rebuild | Q1 2014 | Q3 2018 |
| | Stations Switchgear Repl. | 92006411 - Bayshore Primary CS | Q1 2013 | Q2 2015 |
| | | 92007348 - Startop Protection Upgrade | Q1 2013 | Q4 2015 |
| | | 92006413 - Borden Farms Switchgear Replacement | Q1 2013 | Q4 2015 |
| | | 92008497 - Prim Fuse to C-Switcher - Epworth T1 | Q1 2014 | Q4 2015 |
| | | 92010241 - Overbrook TO Switchgear Rep | Q1 2015 | Q4 2018 |
| | | 92010156 - Munster Recloser | Q1 2016 | Q4 2016 |
| | | 92010243 - Casselman Reclosers | Q1 2016 | Q4 2016 |
| | | 92010319 - Henderson Switchgear Repl | Q1 2016 | Q4 2016 |
| | | 92008657 - Woodroffe TW - 13kV SG Replac | Q2 2016 | Q4 2017 |

- 4 For additional information;
- 5 Please see Attachment B-1(A) Material Investments System Renewal:
- Section 1 Station Transformer Replacement
- 7 Section 2 Station Switchgear Replacement
- 8 Please see B Attachment B-1(A) Material Investments System Service:
- 9 Section 1 Stations New Capacity
- 10



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:B-1-2 (2-VECC#11) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 1

| 1 | , | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #11 |
|----|----------|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | <u>F</u> | Reference: E-B1/T1/S2/pg. 243 |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | <u>C</u> | Ruestion #11: |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | а | . For each year 2015 through 2020 please provide a table showing all the Hydro One |
| 8 | | projects for which a contribution (payment) is forecast and the amount forecast for |
| 9 | | that project. If the annual figures do not add up to the "Hydro One Payments" row |
| 10 | | shown in Table 3.4.11 please explain the difference. |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | _ | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | <u>F</u> | Response: |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | a. | Please refer to Interrogatory Response to EP #15 part c. |
| 17 | | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:B-1-3 (2-VECC#12) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 1

| 1 | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #12 |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Reference: E-B1/T1/S3/pg. 16 |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Question #12: |
| 6 | |
| 7 | a. Using the table at page 16 showing the 2015-2020 IT Strategy, please provide the |
| 8 | total cost of each IT program (capital and ongoing incremental OM&A) and the expected |
| 9 | in-service/implementation date. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | Response: |
| 14 | a. Please refer to Interrogatory Response to OEB Staff Question #15 – Technology- |
| 15 | based Opportunities section part xiii. |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:B-5-4 (2-VECC#13) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 2

| 1 | <u>Response</u> | to \ | /ulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrog | gatory Que | <u>stion #13</u> |
|------------|---------------------------|------------|---|-------------|------------------|
| 2 | | | | | |
| 3 | Reference | E | -B-T5/S4 | | |
| 4 | | | | | |
| 5 | Question # | <u>13:</u> | | | |
| 6 | | | | | |
| 7 | a. Please p | orovi | de a chart or table similar to that shown below whi | ch shows ou | Itages |
| 8 | by cause | e co | de for each year 2011 through 2014 | | |
| 9 | | | | | |
| 10 | b. Please p | orovi | de HOL's forecast of the same for the years 2015 t | through 202 | 0. |
| 11 | | | - | - | |
| 12 | | | | | |
| | | | Reliability Event Causes Year | % | |
| | | 1 | Unknown | | |
| | | 2 | Loss of Supply (HON sources) | | |
| | | 3 | Defective Equipment/Failure | | |
| | | 4 | Adverse Weather (other than lightning) | | |
| | | 5 | Scheduled Outages (maintenance, replacements) | | |
| | | 6 | Foreign Interference (motor vehicle accidents) | | |
| | | 7 | Lightning | | |
| | | 8 | Tree Contacts | | |
| 13 | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | |
| 16 | <u>Response:</u> | | | | |
| 17 | a Tahl | e He | adings | | |
| 18 | u. <u>ruor</u> U – Unk | now | n/Other | | |
| 19 | SO – Sc | shed | | | |
| 20 | | 000 | of Supply | | |
| 20 21 | | (| iontacts | | |
| ∠ 1 ว ว | | toio | | | |
| <i>L L</i> | L – Lign | um | y . | | |



- 1 **DE** Defective Equipment
- 2 AW Adverse Weather
- 3 **AE** Adverse Environment
- 4 **HE** Human Element
- 5 **FI** Foreign Interference
- 6

| Table – | 1: | Reliability | Event | Causes |
|---------|----|---------------------|-------|--------|
| I GINIC | | 1 CONCEPTING STREET | | 00000 |

| | U | SO | LOS | ТС | L | DE | AW | AE | HE | FI |
|------|-----|----|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|-----|
| 2011 | 10% | 4% | 17% | 7% | 5% | 24% | 22% | 0% | 3% | 6% |
| 2012 | 7% | 5% | 37% | 2% | 3% | 16% | 12% | 3% | 4% | 11% |
| 2013 | 7% | 6% | 11% | 8% | 17% | 27% | 8% | 1% | 6% | 10% |
| 2014 | 3% | 7% | 21% | 5% | 9% | 26% | 13% | 0% | 9% | 8% |

7

8 b. Hydro Ottawa has not done a five year forecast of reliability. However reliability is 9 forecasted annually for each month of the year at the start of each year based on 10 historical values and tracked monthly. This information is shared across the 11 company as well as reviewed monthly by the Chief Executive Officer and 12 Chairman of the Board. Please see attachment Att-SIA-Q16-A - Monthly 13 Reliability Report. In addition, HOL utilizes system reliability metrics as key 14 performance indicators for the organization as a benchmark for continuous 15 improvement (refer to Interrogatory Response to SIA #7).



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#14) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 6

| 1 | <u>Respor</u> | use to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #14 |
|----------|---------------|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | Referen | <u>ce</u> E-C/T1/S1, pg. 1 |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | Questio | <u>n #14:</u> |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | a. | Please describe the purpose and provide the results of the "rate |
| 8 | | reclassification analysis" undertaken by Ottawa and referred to at lines 18-20. |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | b. | With respect to the customer count historical and forecast values presented |
| 11 | | in the Itron report (i.e., Tables 5 and 6), please indicate for which years this |
| 12 | | "reclassification analysis" impacted the values shown and how. |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | Disconsing a school is that another to (where the requite and different) the |
| 15 | С. | Please provide a schedule that contrasts (where the results are different) the |
| 10 | | customer count and load lorecast as developed by firm versus what is |
| 1/ | | proposed by Ottawa for the 2015-2020 as a result of the rate reclassification |
| 10 | | |
| 19 20 | d | Place describe how Ottown developed load forecasts for the Sentinel Lights |
| 20 | u. | and Standby classes |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 24 | e. | Please explain how the Itron forecasts were adjusted in order to include |
| 25 | | Sentinel Lights and Standby loads and customers. As part of the response |
| 26 | | please provide a schedule that sets out for the years 2015-2020 the individual |
| 27 | | customer class and total load/customer count forecasts as prepared by Itron |
| 28 | | versus those proposed by Ottawa. |
| 29 | | |
| 30 | f. | Please confirm that the adjustments Ottawa made to the Itron forecast for |
| 31 | | customer reclassification and to include Sentinel and Standby were to the |
| 32 | | Itron load forecast that include the CDM adjustments |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#14) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 6

| - | |
|---|--|
| R | lesponse: |
| | |
| а | . According to Section 2.5 of the Distribution System Code non-residential customer's |
| | rate classification are to be reviewed annually. Please see answer c) of this question |
| | for the forecasted impact. |
| | |
| b | . Hydro Ottawa adjusted the opening balance of the 2016 forecast to account for the |
| | rate reclassification. |
| | Table 5 of Itrop's report refers to the residential systematical approximation to |
| | rable 5 of fillion's report refers to the residential customer class, the residential |
| | customer class was not part of the customer reclassification process. |
| | Table 6 of Itron's report refers to the commercial customer classes All |
| | commercial rate classes with the exception of the Large Commercial class were |
| | forecasted to have customers move as a result of the rate reclassification |
| | Please see answer c) of this question for how each class was effected by year. |
| | |
| с | . As a result of the rate reclassification process please see Table 1 of this response for |
| | the change to the customer count forecast, Table 2 for the change in the sales |
| | forecast and Table 3 for the change in the demand forecast. The tables include |
| | 2016 through 2020 as no change was made to Hydro Ottawa's 2015 forecast as a |
| | result of the rate reclassification. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#14) ORG ORIGINAL Page 3 of 6

- Table 1 Rate Reclassification Customer Count Forecasted Impact

| | Customer Count | | | | |
|--|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| RESIDENTIAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GENERAL SERVICE <50KW | 294 | 294 | 294 | 294 | 294 |
| GENERAL SERVICE 50-1000KW Non Interval | (294) | (294) | (294) | (294) | (294) |
| GENERAL SERVICE 50-1000KW Interval | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| GENERAL SERVICE 1000-1500KW | (6) | (6) | (6) | (6) | (6) |
| GENERAL SERVICE 1500-5000 KW | (12) | (12) | (12) | (12) | (12) |
| LARGE USER | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| STREET LIGHTING | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL CUSTOMERS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

 Table 2 - Rate Reclassification Sales Forecasted Impact

| | Sale MWh | | | | | |
|--|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | |
| RESIDENTIAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| GENERAL SERVICE <50KW | 2,146 | 2,146 | 2,146 | 2,146 | 2,146 | |
| GENERAL SERVICE 50-1000KW Non Interval | (2,146) | (2,146) | (2,146) | (2,146) | (2,146) | |
| GENERAL SERVICE 50-1000KW Interval | 2,108 | 2,108 | 2,108 | 2,108 | 2,108 | |
| GENERAL SERVICE 1000-1500KW | 1,181 | 1,181 | 1,181 | 1,181 | 1,181 | |
| GENERAL SERVICE 1500-5000 KW | (3,289) | (3,289) | (3,289) | (3,289) | (3,289) | |
| LARGE USER | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| STREET LIGHTING | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| MU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| TOTAL CUSTOMERS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#14) ORG ORIGINAL Page 4 of 6

- 1
- 2

3

Table 3 - Rate Reclassification Demand Forecasted Impact (kW)

| | | D | emand MW | | |
|--|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| RESIDENTIAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GENERAL SERVICE <50KW | 5,985 | 5,985 | 5,985 | 5,985 | 5,985 |
| GENERAL SERVICE 50-1000KW Non Interval | (5,985) | (5,985) | (5,985) | (5,985) | (5,985) |
| GENERAL SERVICE 50-1000KW Interval | 5,679 | 5,679 | 5,679 | 5,679 | 5,679 |
| GENERAL SERVICE 1000-1500KW | 958 | 958 | 958 | 958 | 958 |
| GENERAL SERVICE 1500-5000 KW | (6,637) | (6,637) | (6,637) | (6,637) | (6,637) |
| LARGE USER | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| STREET LIGHTING | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL CUSTOMERS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

4

5 Please note although the General Service < 50 KW class shows demand being shifted

- 6 into the class, the General Service < 50 KW class is not invoiced based on demand.
- 7

d. As Hydro Ottawa no longer offers new sentinel lights and any changes requested by
the Customer with an existing sentinel light(s) or major Hydro Ottawa Distribution
System work on the specific sentinel light poles shall result in the removal of the
light(s) or change of ownership of the light(s) to the Customer and any required
revenue metering Hydro Ottawa has only forecasted a decline in the number of
sentinel lights. Hydro Ottawa used the 2014 actuals to forecast the kWh Sales for
Sentinel Light and estimated the declining count using historical number of lights.

15

Hydro Ottawa relied on historical forecasts for the 2 Standby customers demand for2016 through 2020.

- 18
- e. Hydro Ottawa added Sentinel light sales, demand and connection to the Itronforecast.

- 22 Hydro Ottawa added Standby demand and customer count to the Itron forecast.
- 23



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#14) ORG ORIGINAL Page 5 of 6

Please see Tables 4, 5 and 6 which illustrates how Hydro Ottawa added to the Itron
 forecast for the inclusion of Sentinel Lights, Standby Customers and additional
 unmetered connections.

- 4
- 5

Table 4 – Additions to Itron's Customer Count and Connection Forecast

6

| | Average Customer Count | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | |
| Itron's Forecast Stanby | 321,113 2 | 325,238 2 | 329,294 2 | 333,321 2 | 337,306 2 | 341,241 2 | |
| Hydro Ottawa Forecast | 321,115 | 325,240 | 329,296 | 333,323 | 337,308 | 341,243 | |

| | | Av | erage Cust | omer Coun | t | |
|--------------------------|--------|--------|------------|-----------|--------|--------|
| | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| | | | | | | |
| Itron's Forecast | 58,960 | 58,960 | 58,960 | 58,960 | 58,960 | 58,960 |
| Sentinel Lights | 57 | 55 | 51 | 47 | 43 | 39 |
| Unmetered Load additions | 0 | 33 | 81 | 129 | 177 | 225 |
| | | | | | | |
| Hydro Ottawa Forecast | 59,017 | 59,048 | 59,092 | 59,136 | 59,180 | 59,224 |

7

8 The Hydro Ottawa Forecast in Table 4 of this response balances to Table 3 of Exhibit C-

- 9 1-1.
- 10
- 11

Table 5 – Additions to Itron's Sales Forecast

12

| | Forecasted Sales (MWh) by class | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | | | |
| Itron Forecast | 7,460,067 | 7,444,004 | 7,383,034 | 7,369,403 | 7,367,477 | 7,367,816 | | | |
| Exclude DryCore | (16,594) | (3,428) | (3,438) | (3,447) | (3,454) | (3,466) | | | |
| Add Sentinel Lights | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | | | |
| Hydro Ottawa Forecast | 7,443,521 | 7,440,624 | 7,379,644 | 7,366,004 | 7,364,071 | 7,364,398 | | | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#14) ORG ORIGINAL Page 6 of 6

- 1 The Hydro Ottawa Forecast in Table 5 of this response balance to Table 1 of Exhibit C-
- 2 1-1.
- 3
- 4
- 5

Table 6 – Additions to Itron's Demand Forecast

| | | Forecasted Sales (MWh) by class | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | | | | |
| Itron Forecast | 10,201,116 | 10,119,937 | 10,029,201 | 9,981,838 | 9,957,785 | 9,948,590 | | | | |
| Standby | | 4,800 | 4,800 | 4,800 | 4,800 | 4,800 | | | | |
| Add Sentinel Lights | 216 | 216 | 216 | 216 | 216 | 216 | | | | |
| Hydro Ottawa Forecast | 10,201,332 | 10,124,953 | 10,034,217 | 9,986,854 | 9,962,801 | 9,953,606 | | | | |

6

7 The Hydro Ottawa Forecast in Table 6 of this response balances to Table 2 of Exhibit C-

8 1-1.

9

10 f. Hydro Ottawa confirm that the adjustments Hydro Ottawa made to the Itron forecast

11 for customer reclassification and to include Sentinel and Standby were to the Itron

12 load forecast that included CDM adjustments.



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#15) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 1

| 1 | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #15 |
|-------------------|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Reference E-C/ltron Report, pg. 1 |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Question #15: |
| 6 | |
| 7 8 9 10 | Please provide a schedule that sets out the average annual customer/connection count by class starting in 2005 and the resulting geomean historical growth rate for each customer class. |
| 11 12 | |
| 13 | Response: |
| 14 | |
| 15 | Table 1 provides the average annual customer/connection count by class starting in |
| 16 | 2012. It is not clear what is being requested regarding "the resulting geomean historical |
| 17 | growth rate for each customer class", as a result it has not been provided. |
| 18 | |
| 19 20 | Table 1 - Average Customers/Connections |

| | 2012 Actual | 2013 Actual | 2014 Actual | 2015 Bridge | 2016 Test | 2017 Test | 2018 Test | 2019 Test | 2020 Test |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Residential | 280,254 | 284,964 | 289,385 | 293,366 | 297,343 | 301,258 | 305,144 | 308,990 | 312,786 |
| GS<50KW | 23,767 | 23,936 | 23,968 | 24,099 | 24,512 | 24,626 | 24,739 | 24,850 | 24,959 |
| GS 50-1999KW | 3,416 | 3,408 | 3,514 | 3,549 | 3,296 | 3,323 | 3,351 | 3,380 | 3,408 |
| GS 1500-5000 KW | 74 | 76 | 87 | 88 | 75 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 |
| Large User | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Street lighting | 55,674 | 55,757 | 55,524 | 55,516 | 55,516 | 55,516 | 55,516 | 55,516 | 55,516 |
| UMSL | 3,384 | 3,376 | 3,438 | 3,444 | 3,477 | 3,525 | 3,573 | 3,621 | 3,669 |
| Sentinel Lights | 61 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 55 | 51 | 47 | 43 | 39 |
| Standby | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#16) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 2

| 1 | <u>Resp</u> | onse to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #16 |
|----------------------|-------------|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | Refere | ence: E-C/ltron Report, pg. 4 and 13-14 |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | Quest | <u>ion #16:</u> |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | Pream | ble: The Report states that, for the Residential sector, the end-use energy |
| 8 | intensi | ities were derived from historical and forecast data from the recent OPA end-use |
| 9 | foreca | st for the province. |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | a. | Please provide either the report or a link to the OPA report that sets out the |
| 12 | | residential historical and forecast saturation and annual energy estimates or unit |
| 13 | | of energy consumption data used by Iron. |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | b. | Please provide the relevant references which would demonstrate that the |
| 16 | | forecast data prepared by the OPA represents expected results prior to the |
| 17 | | implementation of any future CDM programs. |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | C. | As part of its recent long-term forecast for Ontario, did the OPA produce regional |
| 20 | | long-term energy forecasts (i.e. for total load)? If so, please provide the OPA's |
| 21 | | our posting reference(a) |
| 22 | | supporting reference(s). |
| 23 24 | Ь | Does Figure 8 set out the HeatIntensity, CoolIntensity, and Other Intensity |
| 2 4 25 | u. | variables as used in the Resdential Model? If not please provide a schedule that |
| 25 26 | | sets out the historic and forecast values for these parameters |
| 20 | | |
| _, 28 | | |
| 29 | | |
| 30 | Respo | onse: |
| 31 | | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#16) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 2

1 Itron assisted Hydro Ottawa Limited in the preparation of this response. 2 3 a. Saturations and end-use energy estimates per household, measured in annual kWh or unit of energy consumed ("UEC"), were provided by the former Ontario 4 5 Power Authority ("OPA") in an excel document. The data was provided by 6 housing type; single family, multi res high rise, row house, multi res low rise, and 7 other. Weighted end-use saturations and UECs are calculated based on the 8 reported housing-type distribution in the OPA and Ministry of Energy 2013 Long-9 Term Energy Plan, Achieving Balance: Ontario's Long-Term Energy Plan 10 1, (December 2013) Module slide 13 11 (http://powerauthority.on.ca/sites/default/files/planning/LTEP-2013-Module-1-12 Demand-Forecast.pdf). 13 14 The saturation data is provided in attachment Att-VECC-Q16-A. The OPA UEC 15 data is provided in attachment Att-VECC-Q16-B. 16 17 b. OPA saturation and UEC forecasts are before any adjustment for future CDM. 18 On page 4 of the document to which a link is provided to in part a, it stated "The 19 gross demand forecast presents the expected electricity demand before the 20 impacts of codes and standards, conservation policies and programs are 21 considered" 22 23 c. Data provided by OPA was for the Province. The published Long-Term Energy 24 Plan, Achieving Balance: Ontario's Long-Term Energy Plan does not provide 25 detailed annual energy forecasts on a regional level. 26 27 d. Figure 8 shows the heating, cooling, and other use intensity used energy 28 intensity figures used in the constructed residential monthly model variables 29 XHeat, XCool, and XOther.



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#17) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 2

| 1 | <u>R</u> | esponse to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #17 |
|----------|-----------|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | <u>Re</u> | ference: E-C/ltron Report, pg. 4 and 13-14 |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | <u>Qu</u> | estion #17: |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | Pre | eamble: The Report states that, for the Commercial sector, the end-use energy |
| 8 | inte | ensities are based on forecast prepared by the US EIA. |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | a. Please provide either the report or a link to the EIA report that sets out the |
| 11 | | historical and forecast commercial energy intensities used by Itron. |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | b. Please provide relevant references which demonstrate that the forecast data |
| 14 | | prepared by the EIA represents expected results prior to the implementation of |
| 15 | | any future CDM programs. |
| 10 | | a Plassa provide a schedule that set outs the historic and forecast value for ElCool |
| 17 | | ElHeat and ElOther as used in the Commercial models |
| 10 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 20 21 | | |
| 22 | Re | sponse: |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | ltro | on assisted Hydro Ottawa Limited in the preparation of this response. |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | a. | Please find a link to the Energy Information Administration's ("EIA") Annual Energy |
| 27 | | Outlook for 2014 ("AEO2014") http://www.eia.gov/forecasts/archive/aeo14/. |
| 28 | | |
| 29 | b. | The AEO2014 includes only current and future codes and standards. The AEO2014 |
| 30 | | does not include utility or state conservation program activity. The EIA state "the |
| 31 | | AEO2014 Reference case projection is a business-as-usual trend estimate, given |
| | | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#17) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 2

1 known technology and technological and demographic trends. EIA explores the 2 impacts of alternative assumptions in other scenarios with different macroeconomic 3 growth rates, world oil prices, and rates of technology progress. The main cases in 4 AEO2014 generally assume that current laws and regulations are maintained 5 throughout the projections. Thus, the projections provide policy-neutral baselines that 6 can be used to analyze policy initiatives."¹

7

c. Please see Attachment Att-VECC-Q17-A for the Heating, Cooling, and Other
variables used in the commercial models.

¹ Annual Energy Outlook 2014 with Projections Through 2040, DOE/EIA-0383(2014) April 2014



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#18) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 2

| 1 | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #18 | |
|----|--|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | Reference E-C/ltron Report, pg. 6 | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | <u>Quest</u> | <u>ion #18:</u> |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | a) Please explain why billing data prior to 2008 was not usable for estimating statistically | |
| 8 | accept | table forecast models. |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | Posne | NDSO: |
| 12 | <u>kesp</u> | |
| 13 | ltron a | ssisted Hydro Ottawa Limited in the preparation of this response |
| 15 | niona | solution of this response |
| 16 | a) | Historical monthly sales data used in estimating the class sales forecast models |
| 17 | , | are accounting based estimates; there is no actual measurement of what |
| 18 | | consumers' used on a calendar-month basis. The process used in calculating |
| 19 | | monthly sales estimates (prior to 2013) do not correlate well with monthly |
| 20 | | weather conditions (measured in heating degree days ("HDD") and cooling |
| 21 | | degree days ("CDD")). Sales estimates include the impact of current and prior |
| 22 | | month weather conditions (and the prior two-month period weather in the case of |
| 23 | | residential), the number of days in the billing period, estimates of unbilled sales, |
| 24 | | and other customer billing adjustments. |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | The better an estimated model can explain the historical sales variation, the more |
| 27 | | confidence one can have that the estimated model will generate a reasonable |
| 28 | | sales forecast. The Adjusted R-Squared and Mean Absolute Percent Error |
| 29 | | (MAPE) are two statistics commonly used to evaluate the statistical "fit" of electric |
| 30 | | sales and demand regression models. The Adjusted R-Squared measures how |
| 31 | | well the model explains the monthly sales or demand variation; a value of 0.0 |


7

Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#18) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 2

implies that the model explains none of the variation while a value of 1.0 implies
 perfect explanation of the monthly variation. There are no specific criteria for
 which a model can be deemed acceptable in terms of an Adjusted R-Squared
 and MAPE as they are a function of the data, but models with higher Adjusted R Squared values and lower MAPE values are preferred over models with lower
 Adjusted R-Squared values and higher MAPE values.

8 b) For many of the rate classes, we cannot estimate models with even marginally 9 acceptable model statistics using sales data prior to 2008. The Residential 10 model (estimated with sales data beginning in 2008) has an adjusted R-Squared 11 or 0.80 and a MAPE of 4.4%. When the model is estimated starting in January of 12 2005, the model Adjusted R-Squared drops to 0.74 and the MAPE increases to 13 5.8%. The GS50 model has an Adjusted R-Squared of 0.70 and a MAPE 4.2%, 14 when the model is estimated starting in January of 2008; if that same model is 15 estimated starting in January of 2005 the Adjusted R-Squared drops to 0.44 and 16 the MAPE increases to 8.2%. The GS1000NI model has an Adjusted R-Squared 17 of 0.91 and a MAPE of 2.5%, when the model is estimated starting in January, 18 2008; if that same model is estimated starting in January, 2005 the Adjusted R-19 Squared drops to 0.75 and the MAPE increases to 5.1%.



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#19) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 6

| 1 | <u>Resp</u> | onse to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #19 |
|----|--------------|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | Refer | ence: E-C/ltron Report, pg. 6-7 and 26 |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | <u>Quest</u> | <u>ion #19:</u> |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | a) | Please clarify what the MU and DCL customer classes are. |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | b) | Please provide a schedule that for each year 2015 to 2020 compares the sum of |
| 10 | the in | dividual customer class forecasts (as developed by Itron) with Itron's total sales |
| 11 | foreca | st (per page 26). |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | c) | Please provide a schedule that compares the 2015-2020 load forecasts for each |
| 14 | class | (before CDM adjustments): i) as initially developed by Itron versus ii) subsequent |
| 15 | to any | adjustments made by Irron to reconcile with the total sales forecast. Also, please |
| 16 | explai | h how the total sales forecast was allocated to individual customer classes based |
| 17 | on the | individual rate class forecasts. |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | <u>Respo</u> | onse: |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | Itron a | ssisted Hydro Ottawa Limited in the preparation of this response. |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | a. | MU is the classification for Unmetered Scattered Load. DCL represents Dry Core |
| 26 | | Transformer losses. |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | b. | Please see Table 1 for the comparison of the bottom-up sales forecast derived by |
| 29 | | aggregating the rate class sales forecasts with the top-level forecast derived from |
| 30 | | the total purchase forecast model. |
| 31 | | |
| 54 | | |



1 2

3

20

Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#19) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 6

| Year | Rate Class Sales Forecast (A) | Total Sales; Purchase less losses (B) | (A) - (B) |
|------|----------------------------------|---|-----------|
| 2015 | 7,488,199 | 7,511,506 | (23,307) |
| 2016 | 7,505,047 | 7,551,272 | (46,225) |
| 2017 | 7,504,831 | 7,569,305 | (64,473) |
| 2018 | 7,544,218 | 7,628,087 | (83,869) |
| 2019 | 7,588,690 | 7,691,997 | (103,307) |
| 2020 | 7,630,356 | 7,758,165 | (127,809) |

Table 1 - Comparison of Rate Class Sales Forecast versus Top-Level Purchase/Sales Forecast

c. Please see Tables 2 through 11 for the requested load forecast data
 comparisons. For each rate class the data shows the model generated forecast
 and the rate class forecast calibrated to the total system sales forecast.

21 The first step in allocating the purchase sales forecast to the rate classes is to 22 apply a loss factor to the purchases forecast; this results in a total monthly sales 23 forecast. Hydro Ottawa Limited defined two separate loss factors; a loss factor of 24 1.0062 for Large Users and a loss factor of 1.0338 for all other classes. To 25 account for differences in loss factors a 1.0338 factor is applied to bottom-up 26 class sales forecast (excluding large users) and a 1.0062 factor is applied to 27 Large User sales forecast. The loss adjusted sales are then used to allocate 28 total purchases between Large Users and all other rate classes. Calibrated 29 Large User sales forecast is derived by applying the Large User loss factor to 30 Large User purchases. Calibrated sales to all other rate classes (excluding 31 Large Users) are derived by applying the loss factor for all other classes (1.0338) 32 factor) to All Other purchases.

33

In the final step, calibrated All Other sales forecast is allocated to the rate classes
 (excluding Large Users) on a proportional basis. As the rate class forecasts



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#19) ORG ORIGINAL Page 3 of 6

- increase (or decrease) at different rates, the class allocation factors will change 1 2 over time.
- 3

| Year | Initial | After Allocation from Purchase Model | Adjustment |
|------|-----------|--|------------|
| 2015 | 2,236,456 | 2,241,257 | 0.2% |
| 2016 | 2,220,406 | 2,232,769 | 0.6% |
| 2017 | 2,209,273 | 2,226,833 | 0.8% |
| 2018 | 2,222,571 | 2,245,849 | 1.0% |
| 2019 | 2,235,315 | 2,264,296 | 1.3% |
| 2020 | 2,240,660 | 2,276,815 | 1.6% |

4

5

6

Table 3 – General Service <50kW

| Year | Initial | After Allocation from Purchase Model | |
|------|---------|--|------|
| 2015 | 707,995 | 710,082 | 0.3% |
| 2016 | 706,845 | 711,334 | 0.6% |
| 2017 | 703,599 | 709,771 | 0.9% |
| 2018 | 701,974 | 709,908 | 1.1% |
| 2019 | 701,217 | 710,894 | 1.4% |
| 2020 | 701,140 | 713,027 | 1.7% |

7

8

9

Table 4 – General Service 50-1000 (Non-Interval)

| Year | Initial | After Allocation from Purchase Model | |
|------|-----------|--|------|
| 2015 | 1,459,338 | 1,463,207 | 0.3% |
| 2016 | 1,441,411 | 1,450,111 | 0.6% |
| 2017 | 1,415,289 | 1,427,265 | 0.8% |
| 2018 | 1,395,495 | 1,410,826 | 1.1% |
| 2019 | 1,378,512 | 1,397,088 | 1.3% |
| 2020 | 1,363,882 | 1,386,525 | 1.7% |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#19) ORG ORIGINAL Page 4 of 6

| Year | Initial | After Allocation from Purchase Model | |
|------|-----------|--|------|
| 2015 | 1,174,008 | 1,179,844 | 0.5% |
| 2016 | 1,207,697 | 1,215,423 | 0.6% |
| 2017 | 1,236,068 | 1,247,004 | 0.9% |
| 2018 | 1,266,843 | 1,281,251 | 1.1% |
| 2019 | 1,298,686 | 1,316,704 | 1.4% |
| 2020 | 1,331,415 | 1,354,052 | 1.7% |

Table 5 – General Service 50-1000 (Interval)

Table 6 – General Service General Service 1500-1500

| Year | Initial | After Allocation from Purchase Model | |
|------|---------|--|------|
| 2015 | 348,969 | 350,053 | 0.3% |
| 2016 | 352,737 | 355,011 | 0.6% |
| 2017 | 355,364 | 358,529 | 0.9% |
| 2018 | 358,903 | 363,006 | 1.1% |
| 2019 | 362,786 | 367,839 | 1.4% |
| 2020 | 366,972 | 373,224 | 1.7% |

5 6

1

2 3

4

7

Table 7 – General Service General Service 1500-4999

| Year | Initial | After Allocation from Purchase Model | |
|------|---------|--|------|
| 2015 | 880,351 | 883,241 | 0.3% |
| 2016 | 896,869 | 902,777 | 0.7% |
| 2017 | 908,618 | 916,868 | 0.9% |
| 2018 | 924,117 | 934,838 | 1.2% |
| 2019 | 940,774 | 954,038 | 1.4% |
| 2020 | 958,554 | 975,022 | 1.7% |

8



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#19) ORG ORIGINAL Page 5 of 6

Table 8 – Large Use

| Year | Initial | After Allocation from Purchase Model | |
|------|---------|--|------|
| 2015 | 618,151 | 620,305 | 0.3% |
| 2016 | 616,025 | 620,219 | 0.7% |
| 2017 | 613,563 | 619,254 | 0.9% |
| 2018 | 611,257 | 618,467 | 1.2% |
| 2019 | 608,342 | 617,036 | 1.4% |
| 2020 | 604,676 | 615,194 | 1.7% |

2

1

3

4

5 6 7

Table 9 – Street Lighting

| Year | Initial | After Allocation from Purchase Model | |
|------|---------|--|------|
| 2015 | 43,136 | 43,504 | 0.9% |
| 2016 | 43,262 | 43,550 | 0.7% |
| 2017 | 43,262 | 43,654 | 0.9% |
| 2018 | 43,262 | 43,765 | 1.2% |
| 2019 | 43,262 | 43,875 | 1.4% |
| 2020 | 43,262 | 44,015 | 1.7% |

Table 10 – Unmetered Scattered Load

| Year | Initial | After Allocation from Purchase Model | |
|------|---------|--|------|
| 2015 | 16,279 | 16,594 | 1.9% |
| 2016 | 16,279 | 16,650 | 2.3% |
| 2017 | 16,279 | 16,690 | 2.5% |
| 2018 | 16,279 | 16,732 | 2.8% |
| 2019 | 16,279 | 16,773 | 3.0% |
| 2020 | 16,279 | 16,826 | 3.4% |

8



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#19) ORG ORIGINAL Page 6 of 6

| Year | Initial | After Allocation from Purchase Model | Adjustment |
|------|---------|--|------------|
| 2015 | 3,516 | 3,418 | -2.8% |
| 2016 | 3,516 | 3,429 | -2.5% |
| 2017 | 3,516 | 3,437 | -2.2% |
| 2018 | 3,516 | 3,446 | -2.0% |
| 2019 | 3,516 | 3,455 | -1.8% |
| 2020 | 3,516 | 3,465 | -1.4% |

Table 11 – Dry Core Transformer Losses

2



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#20) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 5

| 1 | <u>Resp</u> | onse to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #20 |
|----|--------------|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | Refere | ence: E-C/ltron Report, pg. 6 & 10 |
| 4 | | July 2014 Filing Guidelines, Chapter 2, Section 2.6.1.1 |
| 5 | | EB-2011-0054, C1/1/1, page 4 |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | <u>Quest</u> | ion #20: |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | a. | How long a period of AMI data will be needed in order to satisfactorily estimate |
| 10 | | sales regression models at the customer class level? |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | b. | Please explain why the period used to define "weather normal" differs for the |
| 13 | | period over which the models were estimated. |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | C. | The most recent Filing Guidelines issued by the Board state that Applicants are |
| 16 | | to provide "In addition to the proposed test year load forecast, the load forecasts |
| 17 | | based on a) 10-year average and b) 20-year trends in HDD and CDD". Please |
| 18 | | provide revised versions of Table 1 based on: i) HDD and CDD values using a |
| 19 | | 10-year average for weather normal and ii) HDD and CDD value using a 20-year |
| 20 | | trend as the definition of weather normal. |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | d. | In its 2012 Rate Application, Ottawa used the 10-year average as its definition of |
| 23 | | weather normal stating: |
| 24 | | A ten year average from 2000 to 2009 was adopted as the appropriate definition |
| 25 | | of normal weather. This most recent 10 year average is more consistent with |
| 26 | | recent years' weather and has been used by and accepted in other electricity |
| 27 | | distribution rate applications for 2008, 2009 and 2010 (Toronto Hydro Electric |
| 28 | | System Limited EB-2005-0421, EB-2007-0680 and Veridian EB-2009-0140). |
| 29 | | |
| 30 | Please | e explain why the definition was changed for the current application |
| 31 | | |

Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#20) ORG ORIĜINAL Page 2 of 5

7,937,177

8,006,334

| 1 | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---------|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------|--|
| 2 | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Respo | onse: | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Itron a | ssisted H | Hydro Otta | awa Limited in the p | preparation of this re | esponse. | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | a. | Ten ye | ears of his | storical monthly ra | te class data for | estimating sales fore | cast | |
| 8 | | models | would be | e a preferred. A | ten-year period is | s usually long-enougl | n to | |
| 9 | | capture | the impa | ct of variation in ec | onomic activity and | weather conditions. | This | |
| 10 | | allows | for mode | els that are statis | stically strong with | n robust model varia | able | |
| 11 | | coeffici | ents. | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | b. | The for | ecast mod | lels are estimated t | hrough August 201 | 4 with 2013 as the lasi | t full | |
| 14 | | vear of | wear of calendar weather. The most current twenty year weather period at the | | | | | |
| 15 | | time the | time the models were estimated is 4004 to 2012 | | | | | |
| 15 | | ume m | | | 994 10 2013. | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | C. | Table | 1 provide | s the purchase to | precasts with 10-y | ear normal weather | and | |
| 18 | | twenty-year trended normal weather. | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | | | |
| 20 21 | | | | Table 1 - MW | h Purchase Foreca | asts | | |
| | | | Year | 20-Yr Normal | 10-Yr Normal | 20-Yr Trend Normal | | |
| | | | 2015 | 7,748,274 | 7,736,820 | 7,748,274 | | |
| | | | 2016 | 7,789,387 | 7,778,056 | 7,789,844 | | |
| | | | 2017 | 7,808,056 | 7,796,845 | 7,809,036 | | |
| | | | 2018 | 7,868,846 | 7,857,682 | 7,870,421 | | |

- nd

| n | \mathbf{a} |
|---|--------------|
| 2 | 2 |

23 The ten-year normal weather is based on the 10-year average from 2005 to 24 2013. The twenty year trended normal is based on a 20-year moving average. 25 Temperatures have been increasing over time, as a result, the number of heating 26 degree days ("HDD") have been decreasing and the number of cooling degree

7,923,788

7,992,220

7,934,956

8,003,412

2019



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#20) ORG ORIGINAL Page 3 of 5

days ("CDD") have been increasing. Using the twenty-year trended data, HDD are decreasing 0.3% annually and CDD are increasing 0.7% annually. For the trended degree-day forecast, the calculated growth rates are applied to the 2015 twenty-year normal HDD and CDD.

d. Hydro Ottawa Limited ("Hydro Ottawa") elected to use a 20-year based normal after evaluating HDD and CDD trends. Graph 1 and 2 compare 10-year, 20-year, and 30-year HDD and CDD moving averages. While the 10-year HDD is lower, there is more month to month variation in the moving average. This is because a given month contributes 1/10 of the average over a 10-year period. One extremely cold month has a significant impact on calculated normal HDD. Similarly, one extremely hot summer month will have a significant impact on the calculated normal CDD series. Using a 10-year normal results in a normal weather series that can change significantly from year to year. Recognizing that temperatures are increasing over time, Hydro Ottawa elected to use a 20-year normal weather series.



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#20) ORG ORIGINAL Page 4 of 5



2016 Hydro Ottawa Limited Electricity Distribution Rate Application – Interrogatory Responses



1

Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#20) ORG ORIGINAL Page 5 of 5



2016 Hydro Ottawa Limited Electricity Distribution Rate Application - Interrogatory Responses



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#21) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 2

| 1 | | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #21 |
|----------|----------|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | <u>F</u> | Reference: E-C/Itron Report, pg. 12 |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | <u>c</u> | Question #21: |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | b | b. What is the source of the historical and forecast population values set out in Table 4? |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | С | e. Is there a more recent forecast from the Conference Board regarding the economic |
| 10 | С | outlook (GDP and RPI) for the Ottawa and Gatineau area? If so, please provide. |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | - | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | <u>F</u> | Response: |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | b. | The source of the historical and forecast population values as set out in Table 4 of |
| 17 | | Itron's Report is from the Conference Board of Canada ("CBofC"), the total Population |
| 18 | | of Ottawa and Gatineau. Please note at the time of the update in part c) of this |
| 19 | | question, the CBofC is forecasting to the end of 2019, the forecast for 2020 is |
| 20 | | calculated based on the trend of this forecasted data starting at 2015 using an average |
| 21 | | calculation. |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | C. | Please see below for updated values in Table for Population, GDP and RPI, this data |
| 24 | | was extracted from the CBotC in July 2015 and confirmed with Itron. |
| 25 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 28 | | |
| 20 | | |
| | | |
| 31 | | |
| 51 | | |

Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#21) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 2

| | Population | | GDP | | RPI | |
|---------|------------|-------|---------------|-------|---------------|-------|
| Year | (000's) | Chg | (Millions \$) | Chg | (Millions \$) | Chg |
| 2003 | 1140 | | 52,596 | | 36,692 | |
| 2004 | 1150 | 0.9% | 54,117 | 2.9% | 37,658 | 2.6% |
| 2005 | 1160 | 0.9% | 55,635 | 2.8% | 38,650 | 2.6% |
| 2006 | 1172 | 1.0% | 57,480 | 3.3% | 40,266 | 4.2% |
| 2007 | 1188 | 1.4% | 59,137 | 2.9% | 42,100 | 4.6% |
| 2008 | 1207 | 1.6% | 60,175 | 1.8% | 43,204 | 2.6% |
| 2009 | 1229 | 1.8% | 60,239 | 0.1% | 44,899 | 3.9% |
| 2010 | 1251 | 1.8% | 62,345 | 3.5% | 45,215 | 0.7% |
| 2011 | 1270 | 1.6% | 63,223 | 1.4% | 45,795 | 1.3% |
| 2012 | 1289 | 1.4% | 63,457 | 0.4% | 46,459 | 1.4% |
| 2013 | 1303 | 1.1% | 63,579 | 0.2% | 46,279 | -0.4% |
| 2014 | 1318 | 1.2% | 63,971 | 0.6% | 46,690 | 0.9% |
| 2015 | 1332 | 1.1% | 64,804 | 1.3% | 47,194 | 1.1% |
| 2016 | 1347 | 1.1% | 66,169 | 2.1% | 47,850 | 1.4% |
| 2017 | 1362 | 1.1% | 67,759 | 2.4% | 48,937 | 2.3% |
| 2018 | 1378 | 1.2% | 69,327 | 2.3% | 49,978 | 2.1% |
| 2019 | 1394 | 1.2% | 70,998 | 2.4% | 50,936 | 1.9% |
| 2020 | 1410 | 1.1% | 72,652 | 2.3% | 51,900 | 1.9% |
| 2003-14 | | 1.33% | | 1.80% | | 2.23% |
| 2015-20 | | 1.13% | | 2.14% | | 1.78% |

Table 4: Ottawa Regional Economic Forecast - Updated July 31, 2015

2

1

3

4

4



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#22) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 1

| 1 | <u>Resp</u> | onse to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #22 | | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | | | | | | |
| 3 | Reference: E-C/Itron Report, pg. 16-20 | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | |
| 5 | Quest | <u>ion #22:</u> | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | |
| 7 | a. | Please explain why the calendar-month HDD and CDD value were used starting | | | | |
| 8 | | in 2013. Was this when suitable AMI data was available to determine billed | | | | |
| 9 | | energy values on a calendar month basis? | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | |
| 11 | b. | Please explain the basis for the 50/50 weighting used for population and RPI. | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | |
| 15 | <u>Respo</u> | onse: | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | |
| 17 | Itron a | ssisted Hydro Ottawa Limited in the preparation of this response. | | | | |
| 18 | - | Class color data are based on AMI readings beginning in January 2012. The | | | | |
| 19 20 | a. | deta before this period are derived from accounting estimates that reflect weather | | | | |
| 20 | | carditions in the surrent month and up to two prior months. In estimating the | | | | |
| 21 | | for each models Hydro Ottawa Limited ("Hydro Ottawa") wanted to use HDD and | | | | |
| 22 | | CDD that are consistent with the billed sales period. As a result, calendar month | | | | |
| 23 24 | | HDD and CDD beginning in January 2013 to reflect the change in the billing | | | | |
| 2 4 25 | | neriod to a calendar-month definition was used | | | | |
| 25 26 | | | | | | |
| 20 27 | h | The economic driver in the residential model is a weighted average of population | | | | |
| 27 | 0. | and real income Population captures customer growth with real income | | | | |
| 20 29 | | capturing household economic activity. As there is no theoretical basis to | | | | |
| 30 | | determine which is more important, it was elected to give equal weighting to the | | | | |
| 31 | | concepts and allow the regression model to determine the overall importance of | | | | |
| 32 | | the model variables and contribution to the forecast. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#23) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 2

| 1 | <u>R</u> | esponse to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #23 | | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Reference: E-C/ltron Report, pg. 20-24 and 44-48 | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | |
| 5 | <u>Qu</u> | lestion #23: | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | a. Please explain the basis for the 50/50 weighting given to population and GDP. | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | b. Please describe the different explanatory variables used in the customer count | | | | | |
| 10 | | models for the GS1000I, GS1000NI, GS1500 and GS5000 classes. | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | |
| 14 | Re | sponse: | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | |
| 16 | ltro | on assisted Hydro Ottawa Limited in the preparation of this response. | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | | |
| 18 | a. | In the commercial models, the economic driver is a weighted average of population | | | | | |
| 19 | | and GDP. The logic is similar to that in the residential model, to capture both growth | | | | | |
| 20 | | in number of customers and customer usage as a result of changes in business | | | | | |
| 21 | | activity with GDP used as a proxy for business activity. As there is no theoretical | | | | | |
| 22 | | basis for determining the weights and therefore gave equal weighting to both | | | | | |
| 23 | | concepts. | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | |
| 25 | b. | The large commercial classes have either very few customers or are not correlated | | | | | |
| 26 | | with any economic indicator such as employment or population. Forecast for these | | | | | |
| 27 | | rate classes are based on simple trend specifications. The GS1000I customer | | | | | |
| 28 | | forecast is based on a simple linear trend with an auto regressive term to correct for | | | | | |
| 29 | | serial correlation. Serial correlation is when the error term in the current period is | | | | | |
| 30 | | partly a function of the error term in the prior period, the auto regressive term | | | | | |
| 31 | | corrects for this. The GS1000NI customer class is modeled with an exponential | | | | | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#23) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 2

1 smoothing model; customer growth is constant and there is no discernible seasonal 2 customer pattern. The GS1500 customer forecast is also based on a simple trend 3 model. The GS5000 customer class is modeled with an exponential smoothing 4 model, which holds the forecast constant; there was no discernible consistent pattern 5 of growth or seasonality. The GS5000 customer class has less than 90 customers.



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#24) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 2

| 1 | | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #24 |
|----|----------|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | <u>F</u> | Reference: E-C/Itron Report, pg. 24-26 and 49-52 |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | <u>(</u> | Question #24: |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | a | a. Were there any CDM programs implemented for Ottawa's Large User class during the |
| 8 | ł | nistorical period used to estimate the model? |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | k | b. If the response to part b) is affirmative, to what extent is the impact of these programs |
| 11 | C | captured by the "GDPxTrend" variable? |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | C | c. What is the "AR(1)" variable used in the Street Lighting sales model? |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | - | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | <u>F</u> | Response: |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | ŀ | tron assisted Hydro Ottawa Limited in the preparation of this response. |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | a. | Yes. |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | b. | There are 11 Large User customers that represent Hydro Ottawa Limited's largest |
| 24 | | customers. The GDPxTrend interaction variable captures the changing relationship of |
| 25 | | sales to GDP over the estimation period. Sales over the estimation period have been |
| 26 | | declining likely as a result of changes in business activity specific to these 11 |
| 27 | | customers, and efficiency improvements driven by productivity improvements, energy |
| 28 | | cost reduction, and Conservation Demand Management ("CDM") program activity. We |
| 29 | | cannot isolate the impact of the factors driving consumption downwards, and without |
| 30 | | an estimate of the historic CDM implemented for this class it is not possible to estimate |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#24) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 2

- to what extent CDM is captured by the GDPxTrend variable. It is important to note that
 no CDM adjustments are made to the forecast for this rate class.
 c. The AR(1) term is an auto regressive term to correct for serial correlation embedded in
 the monthly street light sales data. The serial correlation is likely the result of billing
 process and adjustments over the estimation period.
- 7
- 8



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#25) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 2

| 1 | <u>Resp</u> | onse to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #25 | | | | | |
|----|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Reference: E-C/ltron Report, pg. 6 and 26-28 | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | |
| 5 | <u>Quest</u> | ion #25 | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | |
| 7 | a. | Please provide a schedule that sets out the historical and forecast values for | | | | | |
| 8 | | each of the three SysEI variables used for the System Purchase equation. | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | |
| 10 | b. | Does Ottawa make any purchases from distributed generators in its service area | | | | | |
| 11 | | or other local distributors? If yes, how much has been purchased annually | | | | | |
| 12 | | (2005-2014) and have these purchases been included in the Purchase values | | | | | |
| 13 | | used to estimate the System Purchase equation? | | | | | |
| 14 | - | Is the Overtow Deely ferences wood at all in the determination of the ferencest billing | | | | | |
| 15 | C. | Is the System Peak forecast used at all in the determination of the forecast billing | | | | | |
| 10 | | determinants for 2016-2020? If So, How? | | | | | |
| 17 | Ь | For each of 2012 and 2013, please compare the model predicted values for | | | | | |
| 19 | ч. | system purchases using: | | | | | |
| 20 | | i. The actual HDD and CDD values for the year versus | | | | | |
| 21 | | ii. The weather normal HDD and CDD values for the year. | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | |
| 25 | <u>Respo</u> | onse: | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | |
| 27 | Itron a | ssisted Hydro Ottawa Limited in the preparation of this response. | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | |
| 29 | a. | Please see attachment Att-VECC-Q25-A for the historical and forecasted values | | | | | |
| 30 | | for each of the three SysEI variables used for the System Purchase equation. | | | | | |
| 31 | | | | | | | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#25) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 2

- 1 b. Please see Table 1 for a summary Hydro Ottawa Limited's ("Hydro Ottawa") 2 purchases from 2009 to 2014. The majority of Hydro Ottawa's purchases are 3 delivered directly from the Independent Electricity System Operator ("IESO").
- 4
- 5

Table 1 – Hydro Ottawa Purchases (MWh)

| | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| IESO | 7,249,121 | 7,301,954 | 7,307,001 | 7,248,379 | 7,125,113 | 7,058,674 |
| Hydro One | 399,251 | 417,759 | 427,943 | 472,596 | 447,805 | 438,422 |
| Generators | 136,351 | 120,152 | 118,214 | 135,228 | 149,234 | 139,059 |
| Total Purchase | 7,784,723 | 7,839,865 | 7,853,159 | 7,856,204 | 7,722,152 | 7,636,154 |

- 6
- 7

c. The System Peak is not used for billing determinates. It is however used to forecast Transmission Charges in the Cost of Power Expense.

8 9

10 d. Please see Table 2 for the predicted sales for 2012 and 2013 with actual weather 11 and what the model would predict with normal heating degree days ("HDD") and 12 cooling degree days ("CDD") for those years.

- 13
- 14

Table 2 – System Predicated Actual and Normal Weather (MWh)

| Year | System Predicted Actual Weather | System Predicted Normal Weather |
|------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 2012 | 7,844,323 | 7,793,780 |
| 2013 | 7,698,240 | 7,728,752 |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#26) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 1

| 1 | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #26 |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Reference: E-C/Itron Report, pg. 32-33 |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Question #26 |
| 6 | |
| 7 | a. What is the basis for the 1.0062 and 1.0338 loss factors used to convert |
| 8 | purchases to total sales? |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | Response: |
| 13 | |
| 14 | Please refer to Exhibit H-9-1 for Hydro Ottawa's proposed loss factor. Also, please see |
| 15 | response to VECC Interrogatory Question #14 part c. |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |



| 1 | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #27 |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Reference: E-C/Itron Report, pg. 33-35 |
| 4 | Attachment C-1-F-CDM Excel File |
| 5 | June Update, CDM Plan – Hydro Ottawa |
| 6 | |
| 7 | Question #27: |
| 8 | |
| 9 | a. Please confirm that the assumed annualized savings for 2014 from 2014 CDM |
| 10 | programs as used in the forecast is the 42,400,000 kWh value shown in Appendix 2-I. |
| 11 | |
| 12 | b. In order to help in understanding the calculation of the CDM adjustments (per the |
| 13 | CDM Excel File), please provide an explanation as to how Ottawa: |
| 14 | i. Determined the manual adjustment required to 2014 sales in order to |
| 15 | account for the impact of 2014 CDM programs implemented after August 2014. |
| 16 | ii. Determined the manual adjustment required to 2015-2020 sales to |
| 17 | account for the impact of 2014 CDM programs implemented after August 2014. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | c. Please provide any reports that Ottawa has received from the IESO/OPA regarding |
| 20 | the results of 2014 CDM programs. |
| 21 | |
| 22 | d. Please provide copies of any plans Ottawa has submitted to the IESO/ OPA regarding |
| 23 | how it intends to achieved its 2015-2020 CDM target (in addition to that filed with the |
| 24 | June Updates and submitted to the IESO in May 2015). |
| 25 | |
| 26 | e. Please provide a schedule that sets out the annual CDM savings for 2015-2020 as |
| 27 | shown in: i) the Application (Appendix 2-I) with the CDM savings for years 2015-2020 |
| 28 | and ii) the CDM Plan filed with the June Updates and submitted to the IESO in May |
| 29 | 2015. Where there are differences please explain and indicate the values Ottawa |
| 30 | proposes to use (now) for purposes of its Application. |



| 1 | f. P | lease provide copies of any reports/reviews prepared by the IESO/OPA regarding |
|----|-------|---|
| 2 | Otta | awa's 2015-2020 CDM plans. |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | g. F | Please provide a summary schedule that sets out the annual CDM adjustment made |
| 5 | to th | ne load forecast (as submitted) for the years 2015-2020 and for each year show the |
| 6 | con | tribution of CDM programs implemented in that year and each of the previous years. |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | h. F | Please provide a schedule that incorporates both Tables 2 and 3 from the Itron Report |
| 9 | (add | ding in the totals for each year) and which also shows the CDM by customer class for |
| 10 | eac | h year. |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | i. P | lease provide the equivalent of Table 1 (Exhibit C/Tab 1/Schedule 1, page 2) prior to |
| 13 | the | CDM adjustment total forecast sales for the years 2015-2020 both before and after |
| 14 | the | CDM adjustment. |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | Res | sponse: |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | a. | Hydro Ottawa Limited ("Hydro Ottawa") confirms that the Conservation Demand |
| 21 | | Management ("CDM") target for 2014 was 42,400,000 kWh or 42,000 MWh. As the |
| 22 | | forecast is built on 8 months of actual 2014 data Hydro Ottawa incorporated a |
| 23 | | proportional amount of the 2014 targeted into the last 4 months of the forecasted for |
| 24 | | 2014. |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | b. | |
| 27 | | i) In 2014, 4 months of the remaining CDM Target was incorporated into the |
| 28 | | 2014 forecast. In order to incorporate the remaining CDM into a monthly |
| 29 | | number, taking into consideration the 50% rule and the CDM initiatives that |
| 30 | | occurred from January to August would continue to have an impact on |



| 1 | | September to December; a unit per month was derived. The total amount for |
|--------|----|--|
| 2 | | the 4 months was determined to be 7,611 MWh. |
| 3 | | |
| 4 5 | | ii) The amount determined in part i) continued to reduce the forecast from 2015 to 2020. |
| 6 7 | C. | Please find as attachment Att-VECC-Q27-A, the preliminary Hydro Ottawa 2014 |
| 8 | | CDM Report from the IESO |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | d. | Please find as attachment Att-VECC-Q27-B, Hydro Ottawa's CDM Plan for 2015 to |
| 11 | | 2020. |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | e. | At the time of the rate application Hydro Ottawa forecasted the following for the |
| 14 | | completion of its CDM targets: |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | Hydro Ottawa's CDM Target for 2016 to 2020 would be 395,000 MWh |
| 17 | | 50% of the Target would be achieved by the end of 2017, 197,000 MWh |
| 18 | | i. 20% (of the 50%) would be achieved in 2015, 39,500 MWh |
| 19 | | ii. 40% (of the 50%) would be achieved in each of 2016 and 2017, |
| 20 | | 79,000 MWh |
| 21 | | The remaining 50% would be achieved by the end 2020, 197,000 MWh |
| 22 | | i. One third (of the 50%) would be achieved in each of the remaining |
| 23 | | years, 65,833 MWh |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | Th | e CDM Plan filed with the IESO in June 2015 has the following targets: |
| 26 | | Hydro Ottawa's CDM Target for 2016 to 2020 is 394,573 MWh |
| 27 | | • 2015 target is 72,181 MWh |
| 28 | | • 2016 target is 61,650 MWh |
| 29 | | • 2017 target is 64,630 MWh |
| 30 | | • 2018 target is 66,243 MWh |
| 31 | | • 2019 target is 66,243 MWh |
| 32 | | • 2020 target is 65,522 MWh |



EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#27) ORG ORIGINAL Page 4 of 7

- 1 Given the timing with the rate application Hydro Ottawa does not intend to update its
- load forecast for the CDM plan. In addition, the CDM Plan details do not give rate class
 specific anticipated CDM results.
- 4
- 5 f. Please see part d of this response.
- 6
- g. Please see Table 1 for the annual CDM adjustment made to the load forecast for theyears 2015 to 2020.



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#27) ORG ORIGINAL Page 5 of 7

| | Yearly Target | Savings related to Current Year | Savings Related to Previous Year | Total Savings in Year | Cumulative Savings |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | А | В | A+B | |
| 2014 | 42,400 | 7,611 | | 7,611 | 7,611 |
| 2015 | 39,500 | 19,750 | 20,656 | 40,406 | 48,017 |
| 2016 | 79,000 | 39,500 | 19,750 | 59,250 | 107,267 |
| 2017 | 79,000 | 39,500 | 39,500 | 79,000 | 186,267 |
| 2018 | 65,833 | 32,917 | 39,500 | 72,417 | 258,684 |
| 2019 | 65,833 | 32,917 | 32,917 | 65,834 | 324,518 |
| 2020 | 65,833 | 32,917 | 32,917 | 65,834 | 390,352 |
| 2015 to 2020 CD | M Impact | | | 390,352 | |

Table 1 - CDM Adjustment to Load Forecast (MWh)

4

5 h. Please find in Table 2, 3 and 4, the Sales Load Forecast without CDM adjustments, with CDM adjustments and the

difference between the two forecasts (the CDM Adjustments).

7

6

8

| Table 2 - Unadjusted Class Sales Forecas | t (MWh) |
|--|---------|
| | • |

| 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|-------------|----------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------|-------|-------------|
| | Year | Residential | GS <50KW | GS 50-1000KW Non Interval | GS 50-1000KW Interval | GS 1000- 1500KW | GS 1500- 5000 KW | LARGE USER | Street Lighting | Unmetered | DCL | Total Sales |
| | 2014 | 2,209,986 | 706,581 | 1,489,888 | 1,135,002 | 338,244 | 860,536 | 615,653 | 44,419 | 16,392 | 3,387 | 7,420,088 |
| | 2015 | 2,241,257 | 710,082 | 1,463,207 | 1,179,844 | 350,053 | 883,241 | 620,305 | 43,504 | 16,594 | 3,418 | 7,511,505 |
| | 2016 | 2,232,769 | 711,334 | 1,450,111 | 1,215,423 | 355,011 | 902,777 | 620,219 | 43,550 | 16,650 | 3,429 | 7,551,273 |
| | 2017 | 2,226,833 | 709,771 | 1,427,265 | 1,247,004 | 358,529 | 916,868 | 619,254 | 43,654 | 16,690 | 3,437 | 7,569,305 |
| | 2018 | 2,245,849 | 709,908 | 1,410,826 | 1,281,251 | 363,006 | 934,838 | 618,467 | 43,765 | 16,732 | 3,446 | 7,628,088 |
| | 2019 | 2,264,296 | 710,894 | 1,397,088 | 1,316,704 | 367,839 | 954,038 | 617,036 | 43,875 | 16,773 | 3,455 | 7,691,998 |
| | 2020 | 2,276,815 | 713,027 | 1,386,525 | 1,354,052 | 373,224 | 975,022 | 615,194 | 44,015 | 16,826 | 3,465 | 7,758,165 |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#27) ORG ORIGINAL Page 6 of 7

Table 3 - CDM Adjusted Class Sales Forecast (MWh)

1 2

| Year | Residential | GS <50KW | GS 50-1000KW Non Interval | GS 50-1000KW Interval | GS 1000- 1500KW | GS 1500- 5000 KW | LARGE USER | Street Lighting | Unmetered | DCL | Total Sales |
|------|-------------|----------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------|-------|-------------|
| 2014 | 2,208,503 | 705,819 | 1,487,331 | 1,132,841 | 337,596 | 860,536 | 615,653 | 44,419 | 16,392 | 3,387 | 7,412,477 |
| 2015 | 2,233,420 | 705,280 | 1,446,533 | 1,165,427 | 345,766 | 883,241 | 620,305 | 43,504 | 16,594 | 3,418 | 7,463,488 |
| 2016 | 2,216,044 | 700,607 | 1,412,731 | 1,182,652 | 345,345 | 902,777 | 620,219 | 43,550 | 16,650 | 3,429 | 7,444,004 |
| 2017 | 2,198,259 | 691,144 | 1,362,581 | 1,189,466 | 341,685 | 916,868 | 619,254 | 43,654 | 16,690 | 3,437 | 7,383,038 |
| 2018 | 2,206,412 | 684,039 | 1,321,314 | 1,200,798 | 339,592 | 934,838 | 618,467 | 43,765 | 16,732 | 3,446 | 7,369,403 |
| 2019 | 2,214,984 | 678,442 | 1,285,150 | 1,215,257 | 338,471 | 954,038 | 617,036 | 43,875 | 16,773 | 3,455 | 7,367,481 |
| 2020 | 2,217,629 | 673,992 | 1,252,266 | 1,231,479 | 337,928 | 975,022 | 615,194 | 44,015 | 16,826 | 3,465 | 7,367,816 |

3 4

5

6

Table 4 - CDM Adjustments to Class Sales Forecast (MWh)

| Year | Residential | GS <50KW | GS 50-1000KW Non Interval | GS 50-1000KW Interval | GS 1000- 1500KW | GS 1500- 5000 KW | LARGE USER | Street Lighting | Unmetered | DCL | Total Sales |
|------|-------------|----------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------|-----|-------------|
| 2014 | 1,483 | 762 | 2,557 | 2,161 | 648 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,611 |
| 2015 | 7,837 | 4,802 | 16,674 | 14,417 | 4,287 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48,017 |
| 2016 | 16,725 | 10,727 | 37,380 | 32,771 | 9,666 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107,269 |
| 2017 | 28,574 | 18,627 | 64,684 | 57,538 | 16,844 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 186,267 |
| 2018 | 39,437 | 25,869 | 89,512 | 80,453 | 23,414 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 258,685 |
| 2019 | 49,312 | 32,452 | 111,938 | 101,447 | 29,368 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 324,517 |
| 2020 | 59,186 | 39,035 | 134,259 | 122,573 | 35,296 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 390,349 |

7 8

9

i. Please find in Table 5 the Sales Load Forecast as presented in Exhibit C-1-1 (Table 1) prior to CDM adjustments.

10



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#27) ORG ORIGINAL Page 7 of 7

Table 5 - Class Sales Forecast prior to CDM Adjustments (MWh)

1 2

| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
|--|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|
| RESIDENTIAL | 2,232,770 | 2,226,833 | 2,245,848 | 2,264,296 | 2,276,814 |
| GENERAL SERVICE <50KW | 737,087 | 735,523 | 735,660 | 736,645 | 738,779 |
| GENERAL SERVICE 50-1000KW Non Interval | 1,424,357 | 1,401,511 | 1,385,076 | 1,371,335 | 1,360,773 |
| GENERAL SERVICE 50-1000KW Interval | 1,240,717 | 1,272,300 | 1,306,547 | 1,341,999 | 1,379,346 |
| GENERAL SERVICE 1000-1500KW | 369,184 | 372,700 | 377,178 | 382,012 | 387,396 |
| GENERAL SERVICE 1500-5000 KW | 863,309 | 877,400 | 895,369 | 914,569 | 935,554 |
| LARGE USER | 620,218 | 619,253 | 618,467 | 617,036 | 615,195 |
| STREETLIGHTING | 43 <i>,</i> 552 | 43,653 | 43,765 | 43 <i>,</i> 876 | 44,015 |
| MU | 16,651 | 16,690 | 16,731 | 16,772 | 16,827 |
| SENTINEL LIGHTS | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 |
| TOTAL MWH SALES | 7,547,893 | 7,565,911 | 7,624,689 | 7,688,588 | 7,754,747 |

3



Independent Electricity System Operator Conservation & Demand Management Status Report

Q4 2014 Preliminary Results Update

Hydro Ottawa Limited

| Unverified IESO-Contracted Province-Wide CDM Program Progress at a Glance | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|------------|------------|------------|------|------------|--|--|--|
| | Incremental O4 | Program-t | DEB Target | | | | | | |
| Unverified Progress to Targets | 2014 - | Scenario 1 | | Scenario 2 | | | | | |
| | | Savings | % | Savings | % | Scenario 2 | | | |
| Net Peak Demand Savings (MW) | 18.4 | 51.2 | 60% | 51.2 | 60% | 21 | | | |
| Net Energy Savings (GWh) | 11.8 | 380.4 | 102% | 380.4 | 102% | 32 | | | |

Program-to-Date Progress Towards Target: Combination of verified (2011-13) and unverified (2014) results. The 2014 Q4 report reflects the most up-to-date inputs from the 2013 program evaluations. To align with savings counted towards OEB targets, peak demand is represented by annual savings in 2014 and energy is represented by the cumulative savings from 2011-2014.

Scenario 1: Assumes that demand response resources have a persistence of 1 year. Official reporting policy for demand response resources.

Scenario 2: Assumes that demand response resources remain in the LDC territory until 2014. Used to better assess progress towards demand targets.

Rank: Sorts each LDC by % of peak demand or energy target achieved as of the current reporting period using Scenario 2.



Questions? Please check the "About this Report" Section on page 2, Table 5 on page 9 and "Reporting Methodology" on page 10. More Questions? Please contact LDC.Support@ieso.ca

Message from the Vice President

As we have reached the end of the 2011-2014 Conservation Framework, I'm pleased to present to you the Q4 2014 LDC status update. This quarter, we have achieved 98% (5,882 GWh) of the full OEB energy savings target. Peak demand savings increased to 798 MW, representing 60% of the 1,330 MW peak demand target.

Projects completed prior to December 31, 2014 will count towards your 2011-2014 CDM Targets and we encourage LDCs to submit these projects to the IESO prior to the initiative specific cut-off date. Please refer to the 2014 Final Results Reporting LDC.Support E-blast issued on March 3rd, 2015 for additional details.

Highlights from Q4 2014:

- LDCs achieved 334 MW peak demand and 186 GWh in incremental energy savings in Q4 2014.
- Bi-Annual COUPONS contributed over 100 GWh with 83% of energy savings coming from LEDs.
- RETROFIT participation in Q4 2014 increased by 25% over Q4 2013 contributing about 60 GWh in savings of Q4 2014
- Program enhancements, such as a new exterior lighting worksheet in RETROFIT and an increased cost cap in HOME ASSISTANCE are continuing to improve user experience.

We remain well positioned for continued success in the Conservation First Framework 2015-2020. Signed ECAs have been received from all LDCs and the IESO is in the process of reviewing and approving CDM Plans. As of March 31st, 2015 3 CDM Plans have been recieived and 2 have been approved. We look forward to continued successful collaboration to achieve and exceed our goals.

Please contact the IESO at LDC.Support@ieso.ca with any questions or comments regarding this report.

Sincerely,

Terry Young

About this Report

This report contains:

- Peak demand and energy savings for IESO-Contracted Province-Wide programs (does not include Ontario Energy Board (OEB) approved CDM programs or other LDC conservation efforts)
- Progress as of the end of Q4 2014 using unverified quarterly results for 2014 and final verified results for 2011-2013
- Program activity data (i.e. projects completed, appliances picked up) completed on or before December 31st, 2014 and received and entered to the IESO processing systems per the dates specified in Table 5
- Updates to the previous quarters' participation with additional data received
- Information to assist the LDC in reconciling internal data sources with the data contained in this report. Table 5 contains:
 - 1 The date in which savings are considered to 'start';
 - 2 The point at which the data becomes available to the IESO;
 - 3 The expected probability and magnitude of updates to the data as more information becomes available.
- iCON CRM Post Stage Retrofit Report data queried on January 6th, 2015
- Preliminary results for peaksaverPLUS[®] represent customers that have signed a Participant Agreement and have successfully uploaded information to the RDR settlement system
- peaksaverPLUS® device counts and corresponding savings for load control (switch/thermostat) and IHD are reported separately

2011-2014 Summary: Net Peak Demand Savings Achieved (MW)

This section provides a portfolio level view of net peak demand savings procured to date through LDC programs. Table 1 presents:

- Net peak demand savings results from 2011 to 2014 listed by implementation period, status (i.e. final or reported) and summarized by resource type (i.e. energy efficiency or demand response)
- Net annual peak demand savings that are expected to persist from program activity completed as of Q4 2014 using both Scenarios 1 and 2
- A comparison between reported, unverified results and final, verified results
- Energy efficiency resources reported with persistence according to the effective useful life of the technology Figure 1 presents:
 - Net peak demand savings results from 2011 to date using Scenario 1 for demand response resources (persistence of 1 year)

Please note: Demand response resources are only presented in the final quarter of each year and the current reporting quarter (i.e. Q4 2011, Q4 2012, Q4 2013 and Q4 2014). Figures below and tables 3B and 4B present demand response in each quarter to display any changes that may have occurred quarter over quarter.

| | Annual (MW) | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|-----------------|------|------|--|--|--|--|
| # Implementation Period | | Scenario 1 | | | | | | | |
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2014 | | | | |
| 1 2011 - Final | 12.7 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 8.3 | 8.3 | | | | |
| 2 2012 - Final† | -0.2 | 16.6 | 8.5 | 8.4 | 8.4 | | | | |
| 3 2013 - Final† | 0.0 | 0.5 | 22.5 | 8.8 | 8.8 | | | | |
| 4 2014 - Reported - Quarter 1 | | | | 2.1 | 2.1 | | | | |
| 5 2014 - Reported - Quarter 2 | | | | 2.3 | 2.3 | | | | |
| 6 2014 - Reported - Quarter 3 | | | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | | |
| 4 2014 - Reported - Quarter 4 | | | | 18.4 | 18.4 | | | | |
| Energy Efficiency | 8.7 | 18.0 | 26.5 | 34.6 | 34.6 | | | | |
| Demand Response | 3.8 | 8.0 | 13.5 | 16.6 | 16.6 | | | | |
| Net Annual Peak Demand Savings | 12.5 | 25.9 | 39.9 | 51.2 | 51.2 | | | | |
| Unver | ified Net Annual | Peak Demand Sa | avings in 2014: | 51.2 | 51.2 | | | | |
| 2014 A | nnual Peak Dem | and Savings Targ | get as per OEB: | 85.3 | 85.3 | | | | |
| Unverified 2014 Peak Demand Savings Target Achieved (%): 60% 60% | | | | | | | | | |
| Incremental Reported (Unverified) | 8.3 | 16.8 | 20.2 | 25.8 | | | | | |
| Incremental Final (Verified) | 12.7 | 16.6 | 22.5 | n/a | | | | | |

Table 1A: Net Peak Demand Savings at the End-User Level (MW)

Decline in savings due to demand response persistence assumption (scenario 1) and energy efficiency persistence decline

+ Includes adjustments to previous year's verified results

Sums may not be exact due to rounding

Table 1B: Peak Demand Savings from DR3 Resources

| Reported DR3 (Ex Ante) (MW) | 1.4 |
|-----------------------------|-----|
| Contracted DR3 (MW) | 2.1 |
| | |



Figure 1: Net Peak Demand Savings (MW)

2011-2014 Summary: Net Energy Savings Achieved (GWh)

This section provides a portfolio level view of net energy savings procured to date through LDC programs.

Table 2 presents net annual energy savings results from 2011 to date listed by implementation period, status (i.e. final or reported) and summarized by resource type. This table presents 2011-2014 net cumulative energy savings expected in 2014 from program activity completed to date. At the bottom of the table a comparison is made between reported results (unverified) and final results (verified) for 2011, 2012, and 2013.

| # | Implementation Period | | Cumulative (GWh) | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2011-2014 | | | | | |
| 1 2011 - Final | | 35.8 | 35.8 | 35.7 | 34.0 | 141.4 | | | | | |
| 2 | 2012 - Final† | 0.2 | 35.1 | 34.9 | 34.4 | 104.6 | | | | | |
| 3 2013 - Final ⁺ | | 0.0 | 2.4 | 42.6 | 41.4 | 86.4 | | | | | |
| 4 2014 - Reported - Quarter 1 | | i | | | 8.8 | 8.8 | | | | | |
| 5 2014 - Reported - Quarter 2 | | | | | 12.1 | 12.1 | | | | | |
| 6 2014 - Reported - Quarter 3 7 2014 - Reported - Quarter 4 | | i | | | 15.3 | 15.3 | | | | | |
| | | | | | 11.8 | 11.8 | | | | | |
| Energy Efficiency | | 36.1 | 73.2 | 113.1 | 157.8 | 380.2 | | | | | |
| Demand Response | | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | | | | | |
| Net Energy Savings | | 36.1 | 73.3 | 113.2 | 157.8 | 380.4 | | | | | |
| | | Unver | ified Net Cumul | ative Energy Sav | ings 2011-2014: | 380.4 | | | | | |
| 2011-2014 Cumulative Energy Savings Target as per OEB: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Unverified 2011 | 1-2014 Cumulati | ve Energy Target | t Achieved (%): | 102% | | | | | |
| Incr | emental Reported (Unverified) | 19.8 | 35.8 | 35.7 | 48.0 | | | | | | |
| Incr | emental Final (Verified) | 35.8 | 35.1 | 42.6 | n/a | | | | | | |

Table 2: Net Energy Savings at the End-User Level (GWh)

† Includes adjustments to previous year's verified results

Sums may not be exact due to rounding

Figure 2: Net Cumulative Energy Savings (GWh)



| # | Initiative | Unit | (new program a | Incrementa activity occurring perio | l Activity within the speci d) | fied reporting | Net Inco (new peak dema | remental Peak and savings fro reporting | Demand Savings m activity within g period) | (kW) the specified | Ne (new energy sav | t Incremental En vings from activit peri | Program-to-Date Un Target (exi 2014 Net Annual Peak Demand Savings | verified Progress to cludes DR) 2011-2014 Net Cumulative Energy Sovings (JWb) | | |
|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|---|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|---|---|-------------|-------------|
| | | | 2011 Adj.* | 2012 Adj.* | 2013 | 2014 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 |
| Cor | nsumer Program | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | · | | |
| 1 | Appliance Betirement | Appliances | 4,110 | 2.604 | 1.602 | 1.643 | 246 | 146 | 104 | 107 | 1,754,416 | 1.040.845 | 681,703 | 700.263 | 594 | 12,196,698 |
| 2 | Appliance Exchange | Appliances | 183 | 178 | 191 | 196 | 19 | 25 | 40 | 41 | 22,795 | 43,987 | 70,563 | 72,532 | 113 | 426.461 |
| 3 | HVAC Incentives | Equipment | 7.863 | 7,269 | 6.674 | 6,439 | 2.880 | 1.606 | 1.448 | 1.473 | 5,465,411 | 2,835,583 | 2,563,561 | 2,573,292 | 7.408 | 38.068.804 |
| 4 | Conservation Instant Coupon Booklet | Measures | 29,787 | 1,728 | 19,410 | 33,733 | 69 | 13 | 29 | 51 | 1,104,610 | 78,235 | 431,268 | 790,610 | 162 | 6,306,293 |
| 5 | Bi-Annual Retailer Event | Measures | 53,276 | 59,361 | 52,864 | 271,329 | 94 | 83 | 66 | 357 | 1,644,342 | 1,498,537 | 961,278 | 5,601,945 | 600 | 18,597,480 |
| 6 | Retailer Co-op | Items | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 7 | Residential Demand Response (switch/pstat) [†] | Devices | 5,701 | 16,134 | 23,018 | 27,515 | 3,193 | 7,249 | 11,608 | 14,892 | 8,266 | 55,891 | 48,406 | - | 14,892 | 112,564 |
| 8 | Residential Demand Response (IHD) ⁺ | Devices | - | 9,659 | 18,720 | 23,908 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 9 | Residential New Construction | Homes | - | - | 2 | 768 | - | - | 2 | 31 | - | - | 16,548 | 1,762,884 | 32 | 1,795,981 |
| Со | nsumer Program Total | | | | | | 6,500 | 9,122 | 13,296 | 16,951 | 9,999,841 | 5,553,079 | 4,773,328 | 11,501,527 | 23,800 | 77,504,281 |
| Bus | siness Program | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | |
| 10 | Retrofit | Projects | 338 | 594 | 777 | 709 | 2.832 | 5,116 | 4,897 | 4.460 | 14.868.304 | 22,549,482 | 26,220,638 | 25,316,142 | 16.889 | 203.342.622 |
| 11 | Direct Install Lighting | Projects | 1 063 | 1 107 | 1 133 | 2 471 | 1 416 | 843 | 1,011 | 2 304 | 3 870 853 | 3 365 166 | 3 655 868 | 8 386 165 | 4 936 | 39 343 347 |
| 12 | Building Commissioning | Buildings | - | - | - | | - | - | - | 2,001 | - | | | | - | |
| 13 | New Construction | Buildings | - | 5 | 9 | 6 | - | 14 | 125 | 53 | - | 16.176 | 117.105 | 157.508 | 192 | 440.246 |
| 14 | Energy Audit | Audits | 13 | 25 | 48 | 17 | - | 124 | 423 | 59 | - | 604,230 | 2.325.637 | 273,380 | 606 | 6.737.344 |
| 15 | Small Commercial Demand Response (switch/ostat)† | Devices | 7 | 33 | 215 | 426 | 4 | 21 | 138 | 273 | 16 | 120 | 46 | | 273 | 182 |
| 16 | Small Commercial Demand Response (IHD) ⁺ | Devices | - | - | 25 | 50 | - | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 17 | Demand Response 3 ⁺ | Facilities | 10 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 597 | 644 | 1,520 | 1,256 | 23,305 | 9,354 | 24,274 | - | 1,256 | 56,934 |
| Bu | siness Program Total | | - | | | | 4,850 | 6,761 | 8,115 | 8,404 | 18,762,479 | 26,544,529 | 32,343,568 | 34,133,195 | 24,151 | 249,920,676 |
| Ind | lustrial Program | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | Process & System Lingrades | Projects | - | - | - | - | - | - [| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 10 | Monitoring & Targeting | Projects | | _ | _ | _ | | - | - | - | _ | - | - | _ | - | - |
| 20 | Energy Manager | Projects | | _ | 17 | 36 | | - | 109 | 199 | _ | - | 816 987 | 1 954 076 | 260 | 3 291 384 |
| 21 | Retrofit | Projects | 12 | _ | 1/ | 50 | 81 | - | 105 | 155 | 533 952 | - | 010,507 | 1,554,070 | 81 | 2 135 807 |
| 22 | Demand Response 31 | Facilities | | 1 | 2 | 2 | | 42 | 189 | 189 | - | 1 010 | 4 299 | - | 189 | 5 309 |
| Ind | Justrial Program Total | rucintics | | | | - | 81 | 42 | 297 | 388 | 533 952 | 1,010 | 821 286 | 1 954 076 | 530 | 5 432 500 |
| Ho | me Assistance Program | | | | | | 01 | | 257 | 500 | 555,552 | 1,010 | 021,200 | 2,554,676 | 550 | 5,152,555 |
| 22 | | Userses | | 204 | 524 | 045 | | 26 | 22 | 24 | | 210 700 | 204.041 | 440.214 | 01 | 2 172 171 |
| Ho | me Assistance Program Total | Homes | - | 594 | 554 | 945 | - | 20 | 32 | 34 | - | 219,700 | 294 041 | 446,514 | 91 | 2,1/3,1/1 |
| A | | | | | | | | 20 | J2 | 34 | | 315,700 | 304,041 | 440,014 | 51 | 2,173,171 |
| AU | | Ulamaa | | T | I | 1 | | | | 0 | | | | 1 (72) | 0 | 1 (72) |
| 24 | Aboriginal Program Total | Homes | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 1,672 | 0 | 1,672 |
| AD | | | | | | | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | | 1,072 | 0 | 1,072 |
| Pre | -2011 Programs completed in 2011 | De la la | 4 | | | | 0.2.1 | | 1 | | 1 000 075 | | | | | 40 500 555 |
| 25 | Electricity Retrofit Incentive Program | Projects | 1/5 | - | - | - | 934 | - | - | - | 4,899,976 | - | - | - | 934 | 19,599,902 |
| 26 | High Performance New Construction | Projects | 16 | 12 | 1 | - | 321 | 807 | 286 | - | 1,651,092 | 2,431,058 | 1,899,180 | - | 1,415 | 17,695,901 |
| 27 | Toronto Comprenensive | Projects | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 20 | Nutrianity Energy Efficiency Rebates | Projects | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 29 Pre | 2011 Programs completed in 2011 Total | Projects | - | - | - | - | 1 255 | - 907 | - 296 | - | 6 551 069 | 2 421 059 | 1 900 190 | - | 2 2/9 | 27 205 902 |
| | | | | | | | 1,255 | 807 | 280 | - | 0,331,008 | 2,431,038 | 1,855,180 | - | 2,340 | 37,233,803 |
| Oth | ner | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 30 | Program Enabled Savings | Projects | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 31 | I Ime-ot-Use Savings | Homes | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | - | - | - |
| Utl | neriotai | | | | | | - | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Adjustment to Previous Year's Verified Results | | | | | | - | (209) | 478 | - | - | 244,069 | 2,376,882 | - | 256 | 8,069,609 | |
| Energy Efficiency Total | | | | | | | 8,893 | 8,803 | 8,571 | 9,167 | 35,815,751 | 34,783,066 | 40,144,378 | 48,038,783 | 34,312 | 372,153,114 |
| De | mand Response Total (Scenario 1) | | | | | | 3,794 | 7,956 | 13,455 | 16,609 | 31,588 | 66,376 | 77,026 | - | 16,609 | 174,989 |
| IES | O-Contracted LDC Portfolio Total | | | | | | 12,687 | 16,550 | 22,503 | 25,777 | 35,847,339 | 35,093,510 | 42,598,285 | 48,038,784 | 51,177 | 380,397,713 |
| †Ac the | tivity and savings for Demand Response resources for each year and q savings from all active facilities or devices contracted since January 1, | The IHD line item of information is made | on the 2013 annual de available. | report has been le | ft blank pending a | a results update from | evaluations; resu | lts will be updated | once sufficient | | | I | Full OEB Target: | 85,260 | 374,730,000 | |
| | | *Includes adjustm | ents after Final Rep | orts were issued | | | | | | % of | Full OEB Target | Achieved to Da | te (Scenario 1): | 60% | 102% | |

Table 3A: Hydro Ottawa Limited Initiative and Program Level Savings by Year

| # | Initiative | Unit | (new program a | Incrementa ctivity occurring wit | al Activity hin the specified re | porting period) | Ne (new peak dema | t Incremental Peak D and savings from acti perio | emand Savings (k\ vity within the spe d) | N) cified reporting | Net Incremental Energy Savings (kWh) (new energy savings from activity within the specified reporting period) | | | | | |
|-------|--|------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--|--|------------------------|--|------------|------------|------------|--|--|
| | | | Q1 2014 | Q2 2014 | Q3 2014 | Q4 2014 | Q1 2014 | Q2 2014 | Q3 2014 | Q4 2014 | Q1 2014 | Q2 2014 | Q3 2014 | Q4 2014 | | |
| Cons | umer Program | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Appliance Retirement | Appliances | 176 | 347 | 384 | 735 | 11 | 23 | 25 | 48 | 75,110 | 148,253 | 162,809 | 314,091 | | |
| 2 | Appliance Exchange | Appliances | - | - | - | 196 | - | - | - | 41 | - | - | - | 72,532 | | |
| 3 | HVAC Incentives | Equipment | 1,219 | 2,404 | 2,029 | 788 | 349 | 455 | 460 | 210 | 657,053 | 728,758 | 800,170 | 387,310 | | |
| 4 | Conservation Instant Coupon Booklet | Measures | 4,623 | 8,881 | 12,175 | 8,054 | 6 | 14 | 19 | 12 | 92,254 | 211,708 | 295,715 | 190,932 | | |
| 5 | Bi-Annual Retailer Event | Measures | 203 | 74,636 | 1,720 | 194,770 | 0 | 98 | 2 | 256 | 4,398 | 1,490,948 | 34,445 | 4,072,154 | | |
| 6 | Retailer Co-op | Items | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| 7 | Residential Demand Response (switch/pstat)† | Devices | 24,999 | 25,937 | 27,184 | 27,515 | 13,524 | 14,034 | 14,712 | 14,892 | - | - | - | - | | |
| 8 | Residential Demand Response (IHD) ⁺ | Devices | 20,365 | 21,610 | 23,469 | 23,908 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| 9 | Residential New Construction | Homes | 13 | 301 | 240 | 214 | 0 | 22 | 4 | 5 | 27,601 | 641,463 | 466,044 | 627,776 | | |
| Cons | sumer Program Total | | | | | | 13,890 | 14,644 | 15,222 | 15,464 | 856,416 | 3,221,131 | 1,759,184 | 5,664,796 | | |
| Busi | ness Program | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Retrofit | Projects | 164 | 159 | 245 | 141 | 1.099 | 750 | 1,969 | 641 | 5,556,165 | 4,381,386 | 11.479.033 | 3.899.558 | | |
| 11 | Direct Install Lighting | Projects | 559 | 817 | 524 | 571 | 505 | 780 | 453 | 566 | 1.832.701 | 2.810.121 | 1.657.108 | 2.086.234 | | |
| 12 | Building Commissioning | Buildings | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| 13 | New Construction | Buildings | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | 27 | 23 | 3 | - | 43,704 | 108.425 | 5.379 | - | | |
| 14 | Energy Audit | Audits | 7 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 24 | 3 | 24 | 7 | 112,568 | 16.081 | 112,568 | 32.162 | | |
| 15 | Small Commercial Demand Response (switch/pstat)† | Devices | 225 | 326 | 415 | 426 | 144 | 209 | 266 | 273 | - | - | - | - | | |
| 16 | Small Commercial Demand Response (IHD)† | Devices | 30 | 37 | 47 | 50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| 17 | Demand Response 3 ⁺ | Facilities | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 1,721 | 1,721 | 1,520 | 1,256 | - | - | - | - | | |
| Busi | ness Program Total | | | | | | 3,520 | 3,486 | 4,235 | 2,742 | 7,545,138 | 7,316,014 | 13,254,088 | 6,017,955 | | |
| Indu | strial Program | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | Process & System Lingrades | Projects | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | |
| 19 | Monitoring & Targeting | Projects | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | |
| 20 | Energy Manager | Projects | 8 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 30 | 144 | 10 | 15 | 321 508 | 1 527 268 | 31 934 | 73 367 | | |
| 21 | Retrofit | Projects | - | - | - | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - | | | |
| 22 | Demand Response 3† | Facilities | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 203 | 201 | 189 | 189 | - | - | - | - | | |
| Indu | strial Program Total | rucintics | - | - | - | - | 232 | 345 | 199 | 203 | 321,508 | 1.527.268 | 31,934 | 73.367 | | |
| Hom | e Assistance Program | | | | | | | | | | , | -,, | , | | | |
| 23 | Home Assistance Program | Homos | 160 | 01 | 410 | 284 | 7 | 4 | 17 | 6 | 101 222 | 52 546 | 222 216 | 70.220 | | |
| Hom | none Assistance Program Total | nomes | 100 | 51 | 410 | 204 | 7 | 4 | 17 | 6 | 101,222 | 53,540 | 223,210 | 70,330 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 17 | v | 101,222 | 53,540 | 223,210 | 70,550 | | |
| ADOI | iginal Program | | | | | | - | | 0 | | | 1 | 4 (72) | | | |
| 24 . | Aboriginal Program | Homes | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 1,672 | | | |
| AUU | | | | | | | - | - | U | - | - | - | 1,672 | - | | |
| Pre-2 | 2011 Programs completed in 2011 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | I | | | | |
| 25 | Electricity Retrofit Incentive Program | Projects | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | |
| 26 | High Performance New Construction | Projects | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | |
| 27 | Toronto Comprehensive | Projects | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| 28 | Multifamily Energy Efficiency Rebates | Projects | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| 29 | LDC Custom Programs | Projects | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Pre- | 2011 Programs completed in 2011 Total | | | | | | - | • | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Othe | r | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 30 | Program Enabled Savings | Projects | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| 31 | Time-of-Use Savings | Homes | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Othe | er lotal | | | | | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Adjı | stment to Previous Year's Verified Results | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ener | gy Efficiency Total | | | | | | 2,059 | 2,315 | 2,987 | 1,806 | 8,824,284 | 12,117,958 | 15,270,094 | 11,826,447 | | |
| Dem | and Response Total (Scenario 1) | | | | | | 15,591 | 16,164 | 16,687 | 16,609 | - | - | - | - | | |
| IESO | -Contracted LDC Portfolio Total | | | | | | 17,649 | 18,479 | 19,673 | 18,415 | 8,824,284 | 12,117,959 | 15,270,094 | 11,826,448 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 3B: Hydro Ottawa Limited Initiative and Program Level Savings by Quarter for current reporting year**

[†]Activity and savings for Demand Response resources for each year and quarter represent the savings from all active facilities or devices contracted since January 1, 2011.

Updates to the previous quarter's participation may occur as a result of further data received

| # | Initiative | Unit | (new program a | Incrementa activity occurring perio | Net Inc. (new peak dema | remental Peak De and savings from reporting p | emand Savings activity within period) | ; (kW) h the specified | Ne (new energy sa | et Incremental En wings from activit peri | Program-to-Date Un Tar 2014 Net Annual Peak Demand Savings (kw) | verified Progress to get 2011-2014 Net Cumulative Energy Savings (kW/b) | | | | |
|-------|--|------------|----------------|---|----------------------------|---|---|---------------------------|----------------------|---|---|---|-------------|--------------|---------|---------------|
| | | | 2011 Adj.* | 2012 Adj.* | 2013 | 2014 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 |
| Con | nsumer Program | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Appliance Retirement | Appliances | 56,110 | 34,146 | 20,952 | 22,365 | 3,299 | 2,011 | 1,433 | 1,547 | 23,005,812 | 13,424,518 | 8,713,107 | 9,245,202 | 8,151 | 158,848,273 |
| 2 | Appliance Exchange | Appliances | 3,688 | 3,836 | 5,337 | 3,685 | 371 | 556 | 1,106 | 764 | 450,187 | 974,621 | 1,971,701 | 1,361,386 | 2,559 | 9,817,312 |
| 3 | HVAC Incentives | Equipment | 92,743 | 87,427 | 91,581 | 92,618 | 32,037 | 19,060 | 19,552 | 20,853 | 59,437,670 | 32,841,283 | 33,923,592 | 36,056,671 | 91,502 | 440,178,385 |
| 4 | Conservation Instant Coupon Booklet | Measures | 567,678 | 30,891 | 346,896 | 662,997 | 1,344 | 230 | 517 | 994 | 21,211,537 | 1,398,202 | 7,707,573 | 15,299,793 | 3,085 | 119,755,693 |
| 5 | Bi-Annual Retailer Event | Measures | 952,149 | 1,060,901 | 944,772 | 4,849,164 | 1,681 | 1,480 | 1,184 | 6,372 | 29,387,468 | 26,781,674 | 17,179,841 | 100,117,270 | 10,717 | 332,371,849 |
| 6 | Retailer Co-op | Items | 152 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 2,652 | - | - | - | 0 | 10,607 |
| 0 | Residential Demand Response (SWItch/pstat) | Devices | 19,550 | 98,388 | 1/1,/33 | 213,493 | 10,947 | 49,038 | 93,076 | 115,509 | 24,870 | 359,408 | 390,303 | - | 115,509 | //4,582 |
| 0 | Residential Demand Response (IHD) | Homes | - 26 | 49,089 | 133,057 | 178,080 | - | - 2 | - 18 | - 454 | - 7/3 | 17 152 | 163 690 | 8 173 646 | - 474 | 8 555 /157 |
| Cor | nsumer Program Total | nomes | 20 | 15 | 80 | 1,795 | 49 681 | 72 377 | 116 886 | 146 492 | 133 520 941 | 75 796 859 | 70 049 807 | 170 253 968 | 231 998 | 1 070 312 157 |
| Ruc | in occ Drogram | | | | | | 43,001 | 72,377 | 110,000 | 140,452 | 133,320,341 | 73,750,035 | 10,045,007 | 170,233,500 | 231,550 | 1,070,312,137 |
| 10 | Potrofit | Projects | 2 819 | 6 134 | 8 785 | 8 797 | 24.467 | 61 147 | 59.678 | 58 377 | 136 002 258 | 31/ 922 /68 | 345 346 008 | 351 079 954 | 201 208 | 2 519 577 657 |
| 11 | Direct Install Lighting | Projects | 2,013 | 18 691 | 17 782 | 23 120 | 23,724 | 15 284 | 18 708 | 23 827 | 61 076 701 | 57 345 798 | 64 315 558 | 83 720 596 | 73 713 | 603 413 953 |
| 12 | Building Commissioning | Buildings | | - | | - | - | - | - | - | | - | - | | - | |
| 13 | New Construction | Buildings | 22 | 69 | 87 | 122 | 123 | 764 | 1.584 | 1.533 | 411.717 | 1.814.721 | 4.959.266 | 6.001.243 | 4.005 | 23.010.807 |
| 14 | Energy Audit | Audits | 198 | 345 | 319 | 284 | - | 1,450 | 2,811 | 981 | - | 7,049,351 | 15,455,795 | 4,567,059 | 5,242 | 56,626,703 |
| 15 | Small Commercial Demand Response (switch/pstat) [†] | Devices | 132 | 294 | 1,211 | 2,688 | 84 | 187 | 773 | 1,709 | 157 | 1,068 | 373 | - | 1,709 | 1,597 |
| 16 | Small Commercial Demand Response (IHD) ⁺ | Devices | - | - | 378 | 658 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 17 | Demand Response 3 ⁺ | Facilities | 145 | 151 | 175 | 180 | 16,218 | 19,389 | 23,706 | 22,822 | 633,421 | 281,823 | 346,659 | - | 22,822 | 1,261,903 |
| Bus | siness Program Total | | | | | | 64,617 | 98,221 | 107,261 | 109,249 | 198,124,253 | 381,415,230 | 430,423,659 | 445,368,853 | 308,698 | 3,203,892,619 |
| Ind | ustrial Program | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | Process & System Upgrades | Projects | - | - | 3 | 7 | - | - | 294 | 12,384 | - | - | 2,603,764 | 89,544,578 | 12,678 | 94,752,105 |
| 19 | Monitoring & Targeting | Projects | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 20 | Energy Manager | Projects | - | 42 | 205 | 236 | - | 1,086 | 3,558 | 2,771 | - | 7,372,108 | 21,994,263 | 21,713,663 | 5,964 | 76,602,233 |
| 21 | Retrofit | Projects | 433 | - | - | - | 4,615 | - | - | - | 28,866,840 | - | - | - | 4,613 | 115,462,282 |
| 22 | Demand Response 3 ⁺ | Facilities | 124 | 185 | 281 | 336 | 52,484 | 74,056 | 162,543 | 165,646 | 3,080,737 | 1,784,712 | 4,309,160 | - | 165,646 | 9,174,609 |
| Ind | ustrial Program Total | | | | | | 57,098 | 75,141 | 166,395 | 180,800 | 31,947,577 | 9,156,820 | 28,907,187 | 111,258,240 | 188,901 | 295,991,229 |
| Hor | ne Assistance Program | 1 | | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | Home Assistance Program | Homes | 46 | 5,033 | 26,756 | 15,799 | 2 | 566 | 2,361 | 1,426 | 39,283 | 5,442,232 | 20,987,275 | 12,529,701 | 4,329 | 70,479,614 |
| HO | me Assistance Program Total | | | | | | 2 | 566 | 2,361 | 1,426 | 39,283 | 5,442,232 | 20,987,275 | 12,529,701 | 4,329 | 70,479,614 |
| Abo | original Program | 1 | | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | Aboriginal Program | Homes | - | - | 584 | 943 | - | - | 267 | 561 | - | - | 1,609,393 | 3,612,886 | 828 | 6,831,672 |
| Abo | original Program Total | | | | | | - | - | 267 | 561 | - | - | 1,609,393 | 3,612,886 | 828 | 6,831,672 |
| Pre | -2011 Programs completed in 2011 | 1 | | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | Electricity Retrofit Incentive Program | Projects | 2,028 | - | - | - | 21,662 | - | - | - | 121,138,219 | - | - | - | 21,662 | 484,552,876 |
| 25 | High Performance New Construction | Projects | 179 | 69 | 4 | - | 5,098 | 3,251 | 772 | - | 26,185,591 | 11,901,944 | 3,522,240 | - | 9,121 | 147,492,677 |
| 26 | Toronto Comprehensive | Projects | 5// | - | - | - | 15,805 | - | - | - | 86,964,886 | - | - | - | 15,805 | 347,859,545 |
| 27 | Nultifamily Energy Efficiency Rebates | Projects | 110 | - | - | - | 1,981 | - | - | - | 7,595,083 | - | - | - | 1,981 | 30,382,733 |
| Pre | -2011 Programs completed in 2011 Total | Projects | 0 | - | - | - | 44 945 | 3 251 | 772 | - | 243 251 550 | 11 901 944 | 3 522 240 | - | 48 967 | 1 015 756 510 |
| 046 | | | | | | | 44,545 | 3,231 | //2 | - | 243,231,330 | 11,501,544 | 3,322,240 | - | 40,507 | 1,013,730,310 |
| 20 | Brogram Enabled Savings | Brojecto | 14 | FC | 12 | | | 2 204 | 2.602 | | | 1 100 202 | 4.075.303 | | E OOC | 11 715 850 |
| 29 | Time_of_Lise Savings | Homes | 14 | סכ | 13 | - | | 2,304 | 3,092 | - | - | 1,188,302 | 4,075,382 | - | 2,330 | 11,/15,850 |
| Oth | ner Total | nomes | - | - | | - | - | 2 304 | 3 692 | - | - | 1,188 362 | 4,075 382 | - | 5 996 | 11 715 850 |
| A -11 | urtmont to Droviour Voorle Verified Decultr | | | | | | | 2,304 | 5,052 | _ | | 10 000 000 | 43 604 98 | | 3,350 | 11,713,630 |
| Eng | argy Efficiency Total | | | | | | 126 610 | 1,406 | 0,901 | 122 842 | 602 144 410 | 18,089,081 | 43,084,221 | 742 022 640 | 7,976 | 207,151,978 |
| De | mand Response Total (Scenario 1) | | | | | | 79 722 | 142 670 | 280.000 | 305.695 | 3 739 195 | 402,474,435 | 5 046 495 | 745,025,049 | 404,033 | 3,003,700,960 |
| IES | O-Contracted LDC Portfolio Total | | | | | | 216 343 | 253 267 | 404 536 | 438 578 | 606 883 604 | 503 590 526 | 603 259 163 | 743 023 649 | 797 694 | 5 882 131 629 |
| | | | | | | | 210,343 | 233,207 | ,550 | +30,328 | 000,003,004 | 303,330,320 | 505,255,105 | , 43,023,045 | 757,054 | 3,002,131,023 |

Table 4A: Province-Wide Initiative and Program Level Savings by Year (Scenario 1)

*Activity and savings for Demand Response resources for each year and quarter represent the savings from all active facilities or devices contracted since January 1, 2011.

The IHD line item on the 2013 annual report has been left blank pending a results update from evaluations; results will be updated once sufficient information is made available.

Full OEB Target: 1,330,000 6,000,000,000 60%

98%

*Includes adjustments after Final Reports were issued

% of Full OEB Target Achieved to Date (Scenario 1):
| # | Initiative | Unit | (new program ac | Increment tivity occurring wit | al Activity hin the specified repo | orting period) | Ne (new peak dema | t Incremental Peak and savings from act peri | Demand Savings (kW tivity within the spec od) | V) cified reporting | Net Incremental Energy Savings (kWh) (new energy savings from activity within the specified reporting period) | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|--|---|------------------------|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|--|
| | | | Q1 2014 | Q2 2014 | Q3 2014 | Q4 2014 | Q1 2014 | Q2 2014 | Q3 2014 | Q4 2014 | Q1 2014 | Q2 2014 | Q3 2014 | Q4 2014 | | |
| Con | sumer Program | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Appliance Retirement | Appliances | 2,436 | 5,229 | 7,449 | 7,251 | 161 | 367 | 523 | 496 | 1,024,177 | 2,149,010 | 3,057,830 | 3,014,185 | | |
| 2 | Appliance Exchange | Appliances | - | - | - | 3,685 | - | - | - | 764 | - | - | - | 1,361,386 | | |
| 3 | HVAC Incentives | Equipment | 19,792 | 35,336 | 26,919 | 10,570 | 5,327 | 6,827 | 5,903 | 2,795 | 9,844,276 | 10,987,207 | 10,097,536 | 5,127,652 | | |
| 4 | Conservation Instant Coupon Booklet | Measures | 90,864 | 174,551 | 239,287 | 158,297 | 113 | 270 | 375 | 235 | 1,785,297 | 4,096,950 | 5,722,646 | 3,694,901 | | |
| 5 | Bi-Annual Retailer Event | Measures | 3,636 | 1,333,884 | 30,738 | 3,480,907 | 5 | 1,745 | 43 | 4,579 | 78,601 | 26,646,044 | 615,602 | 72,777,023 | | |
| 6 | Retailer Co-op | Items | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| 7 | Residential Demand Response (switch/pstat)† | Devices | 188,190 | 198,381 | 208,755 | 213,493 | 101,915 | 107,360 | 112,955 | 115,509 | - | - | - | - | | |
| 8 | Residential Demand Response (IHD) ⁺ | Devices | 152,019 | 162,554 | 173,365 | 178,086 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| 9 | Residential New Construction | Homes | 27 | 305 | 246 | 1,217 | 3 | 22 | 4 | 425 | 35,350 | 654,323 | 467,843 | 7,016,129 | | |
| Con | isumer Program Total | | | | | | 107,524 | 116,591 | 119,803 | 124,803 | 12,767,702 | 44,533,534 | 19,961,456 | 92,991,276 | | |
| Busi | iness Program | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Retrofit | Projects | 2,113 | 2,472 | 2,642 | 1,570 | 14,633 | 15,813 | 16,715 | 11,216 | 100,924,922 | 95,019,369 | 95,854,171 | 59,281,492 | | |
| 11 | Direct Install Lighting | Projects | 5,473 | 6,147 | 5,661 5,839 | | 5,961 | 6,424 | 5,587 | 5,855 | 20,848,414 | 22,541,443 | 19,681,481 | 20,649,259 | | |
| 12 | Building Commissioning | Buildings | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| 13 | New Construction | Buildings | 33 | 56 | 24 | 9 | 507 | 481 | 298 | 247 | 1,975,271 | 1,902,760 | 1,019,494 | 1,103,718 | | |
| 14 | Energy Audit | Audits | 86 | 83 | 94 | 21 | 297 | 287 | 325 | 73 | 1,382,983 | 1,334,739 | 1,511,632 | 337,705 | | |
| 15 | Small Commercial Demand Response (switch/pstat) ⁺ | Devices | 1,289 | 1,488 | 2,008 | 2,688 | 820 | 946 | 1,274 | 1,709 | - | - | - | - | | |
| 16 | Small Commercial Demand Response (IHD) ⁺ | Devices | 429 | 479 | 580 | 658 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| 17 | Demand Response 3 ⁺ | Facilities | 179 | 178 | 178 | 180 | 25,609 | 25,387 | 24,037 | 22,822 | - | - | - | - | | |
| Business Program Total | | | | | | | 47,826 | 49,337 | 48,236 | 41,921 | 125,131,590 | 120,798,312 | 118,066,778 | 81,372,173 | | |
| Indu | ustrial Program | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | Process & System Upgrades | Projects | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 237 | 3,778 | 7,501 | 868 | 2,092,778 | 31,209,000 | 48,692,800 | 7,550,000 | | |
| 19 | Monitoring & Targeting | Projects | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| 20 | Energy Manager | Projects | 50 | 86 | 86 | 14 | 610 | 1,396 | 579 | 185 | 4,504,954 | 9,693,954 | 5,188,171 | 2,326,584 | | |
| 21 | Retrofit | Projects | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| 22 | Demand Response 3 ⁺ | Facilities | 301 | 324 | 335 | 336 | 167,962 | 171,552 | 177,630 | 165,646 | - | - | - | - | | |
| Ind | ustrial Program Total | | | | | | 168,809 | 176,726 | 185,710 | 166,699 | 6,597,732 | 40,902,954 | 53,880,971 | 9,876,584 | | |
| Hon | ne Assistance Program | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | Home Assistance Program | Homes | 5,859 | 4,365 | 3,326 | 2,249 | 591 | 485 | 222 | 128 | 4,737,618 | 4,058,973 | 2,329,163 | 1,403,947 | | |
| Hor | ne Assistance Program Total | | - | | | | 591 | 485 | 222 | 128 | 4,737,618 | 4,058,973 | 2,329,163 | 1,403,947 | | |
| Aho | riginal Program | | | | | | • | | | | • | · · · · · | · · · · · | | | |
| 24 | Aboriginal Program | Homes | 64 | 161 | 479 | 239 | 102 | 75 | 291 | 92 | 308 516 | 673 102 | 1 869 579 | 761 689 | | |
| Abc | priginal Program Total | nomes | 0. | 101 | | 200 | 102 | 75 | 291 | 92 | 308,516 | 673,102 | 1,869,579 | 761,689 | | |
| Dro | 2011 Programs completed in 2011 | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | _/==== | | | |
| 24 | Electricity Potrofit Incentive Program | Projects | | _ | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | High Performance New Construction | Projects | | | | | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 25 | Toronto Comprehensive | Projects | | | | | _ | - | | - | | | | | | |
| 27 | Multifamily Energy Efficiency Rebates | Projects | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | |
| 28 | IDC Custom Programs | Projects | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Pre | -2011 Programs completed in 2011 Total | | - | | | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Oth | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | Drogram Enabled Sovings | Brojects | | | | | 1 | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | |
| 29 | Time of Lice Savings | Homes | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | - | - | - | | |
| Oth | er Total | nomes | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| 500 | | | | | | | - | - | - | | - | - | - | | | |
| Adj | ustment to Previous Year's Verified Results | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ene | rgy Efficiency Total | | | | | | 28,547 | 37,970 | 38,367 | 27,958 | 149,543,157 | 210,966,874 | 196,107,948 | 186,405,670 | | |
| Der | nand Kesponse Total (Scenario 1) | | | | | | 296,305 | 305,245 | 315,896 | 305,685 | - | - | - | - | | |
| IESO-Contracted LDC Portfolio Total | | | | | | | 324,852 | 343,215 | 354,262 | 333,644 | 149,543,157 | 210,966,874 | 196,107,948 | 186,405,670 | | |

Table 4B: Province-Wide Initiative and Program Level Savings by Quarter for Current Reporting Year**

*Activity and savings for Demand Response resources for each year and quarter represent the savings from all active facilities or devices contracted since January 1, 2011.

Updates to the previous quarter's participation may occur as a result of additional data received

Table 5: Data Qualifiers for Initiatives Currently In-Market & Likelihood of Additional Data

Data included in the Q4 2014 report includes all program activity completed (as per the savings 'start' date) on or before December 31st, 2014.

| Initiative | Savings 'start' Date | Data Available | Additional Data Likely |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| | | Consumer Program | |
| Appliance Retirement | Pick-up date | When database is queried. Up to date information is available. | Moderate |
| Appliance Exchange | Exchange event date | Once data is submitted to the IESO by retailers and undergoes QA/QC by IESO staff. Typically 3 - 6 months to receive and process all data. | High |
| HVAC Incentives | Installation date | Rebate Status = Approved, Cheque Issued and Cheque Cashed; Typically 1 - 4 months delay. | High |
| Conservation Instant Coupon Booklet | Coupon redemption year | Once data is submitted to the IESO by retailers and undergoes QA/QC by IESO staff. Typically 3 - | High |
| Bi-Annual Retailer Event | Year and quarter of the event | 6 months to receive and process all data. | High |
| Retailer co-op activities | Will vary by specific project | Will vary by specific project | Low |
| Residential Demand Response | Device installation date | Data uploaded to RDR settlement system as of December 31, 2014 | High |
| Residential New Construction | Project completion | Preliminary Billing Report submitted to IESO | Low |
| | Busine | ss (Commercial & Institutional) Program | |
| Retrofit | Actual project completion date | In the "Post Project Submission" Stage (excluding "Payment Denied by LDC", "Returned for Edit(s) by Participant" and "Participant Incentive Not Approved by LDC") within iCON CRM as of December 31st, 2014 | Low |
| Direct Installed Lighting Retrofit date | | Work-order: invoiced, approved and paid to LDC. Typically 1.5 - 2 months delay. Any projects that are flagged as duplicates will not appear in reports until duplicates have been resolved. | High |
| Building Commissioning | Hand off date | Preliminary Billing Report submitted to IESO and reviewed | Moderate |
| New Construction | Actual project completion date | Preliminary Billing Report submitted to IESO and reviewed | Moderate |
| Energy Audit | Audit completion date | Preliminary Billing Report submitted to IESO and reviewed | Moderate |
| Small Commercial Demand Response | Device installation date | Data uploaded to RDR settlement system as of December 31st, 2014 | Moderate |
| Demand Response 3 | Facility is available under contract | Facility available under contract with aggregator | Low |
| | | Industrial Program | |
| Process & System Upgrades | In-service date | Preliminary Billing Report submitted to IESO and reviewed | Low |
| Monitoring & Targeting | Project completion date | Preliminary Billing Report submitted to IESO and reviewed | Low |
| Energy Manager (EEM or REM) | Project completion date | Completed, non-incented projects submitted quarterly by Energy Manager. | High |
| Retrofit | | All Retrofit projects are now reported under the Business Program | |
| Demand Response 3 | Facility is available under contract | Facility available under contract with aggregator. | Low |
| | | Home Assistance Program | |
| Home Assistance Program | Project completion date | Preliminary Billing Report submitted to IESO and reviewed | High |
| | Pi | re-2011 Projects Completed in 2011 | |
| High Performance New Construction | Project completion date | Reviewed and processed from delivery agent, guarterly | Moderate |

Reporting Glossary

Annual: the peak demand or energy savings that occur in a given year (includes resource savings from new program activity in a given year and resource savings persisting from previous years). Annual savings for Demand Response resources represent the savings from all active facilities contracted since January 1, 2011.

Cumulative Energy Savings: represents the sum of the annual energy savings that accrue over a defined period (in the context of this report the defined period is 2011 - 2014). This concept does not apply to peak demand savings.

Current Reporting Period: the calendar quarter specified on page 1 of this report.

Effective Useful Life: determines the persistence of savings for a given technology or initiative. Factors that may effect the useful life of a technology are typical use and operating hours, upcoming code changes, etc. Demand response resources are assumed to have a persistence of 1 year.

End-User Level: resource savings in this report are measured at the customer level as opposed to the generator level (the difference being line losses). All savings presented in this report are at the end-user level.

Final or Verified Savings: savings achieved that have undergone annual Evaluation, Measurement & Verification (EM&V) and thus have had activity audited and savings assumptions measured and verified.

Implementation Period: the particular calendar quarter or calendar year that conservation activity is achieved based on when the savings are considered to 'start' (please see table 5).

Incremental: the new resource savings attributable to activity procured in a particular reporting period based on when the savings are considered to 'start' (please see table 5). Incremental savings for Demand Response resources represent the savings from all active facilities contracted since January 1, 2011 (i.e. Incremental = Annual for demand response only).

Initiative: a Conservation & Demand Management offering focusing on a particular opportunity or customer end-use (i.e. Retrofit, Fridge & Freezer Pickup).

Net Energy Savings (MWh): energy savings attributable to conservation and demand management activities net of free-riders, etc. Please refer to the webinars in the "Reporting Methodology" section for more information.

Net Peak Demand Savings (MW): peak demand savings attributable to conservation and demand management activities net of freeriders, etc. Please refer to the webinars in the "Reporting Methodology" section for more information.

Program-to-Date: the reporting period from January 1, 2011 until the end of the Current Reporting Period.

Program: a group of initiatives that target a particular market sector (i.e. Consumer, Industrial).

Reported or Unverified Savings: savings achieved that are based on reported activity and forecasted or best available savings assumptions. These savings are not verified, i.e. have not undergone the Evaluation, Measurement & Verification processes.

Unit: for a specific initiative, the relevant type of activity acquired in the market place (i.e. appliances picked up, projects completed, coupons redeemed).

Reporting Methodology (Quarterly, Unverified results):

There are several resources on reporting that are available to LDCs:

- Reporting Policy & FAQ Document found on the iCON Portal in the "Other Program Materials" under "Reporting Tools"
- LDC Consumer Program Tracking Tool found on the iCON Portal in "Other Program Materials" under "Reporting Tools"
- Webinars (available at the following link: http://www.snwebcastcenter.com/custom events/opa-20111781/site/index.php)

OVERVIEW OF CDM PLAN

This CDM Plan must be used by the LDC in submitting a CDM Plan to the IESO under the Energy Conservation Agreement between the LDC and the IESO The CDM Plan will consist of the information provided in this document and any additional information and supporting documents provided by the LDC to the IESO in support of this CDM Plan. Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the meaning ascribed to them in the Energy Conservation Agreement as may be applicable.

Complete all fields within the CDM Plan that are applicable. Where additional space is required to complete a section of the CDM Plan, please append additional pages as required. The LDC should indicate that additional information has been attached in the related question field on the CDM Plan. Please refer to the CDM Plan Submission and Review Criteria Rules for further information.

A. General Information

| 1. | CDM Plan Submission Date: (DD-Mon-YYYY) | 1-May-2015 |
|----|--|------------|
| | CDM Plan Version | 1 |

2.

| | LDC INFORMATION | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | LDC 1 | LDC 2 | LDC 3 | LDC 4 | LDC 5 | LCD 6 | LCD 7 | LCD 8 | LCD 9 | LCD 10 | | | | | |
| LDC Name: | Hydro Ottawa Limited | Renfrew Hydro Inc. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Company Representative: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Name: | Roger Marsh | Tom Freemark | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Title: | Chief Energy Management Officer Hydro Ottawa | President-Renfrew Hydro | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Email Address: | rogermarsh@hydroottawa.com | jtfreemark@renfrewhydro.com | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Phone Number (XXX-XXX-XXXX): | 613 738 5499 Ex 342 | 613 432-4884 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| 3. | Primary Contact for CDM Plan | | | | | | |
|----|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Name: | Bruce Bibby | | | | | |
| | LDC Name: | Hydro Ottawa Limited | | | | | |
| | Title: | Manager Conservation and Demand Management | | | | | |
| | Email Address: | brucebibby@hydroottawa.com | | | | | |
| | Phone Number (XXX-XXX-XXXX): | 613 738 5499 Ex 379 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Estimated Start Date of CDM Plan: | 1-Aug-2015 |
|-----------------------------------|------------|
| (DD-Mon-YYYY) | 1-Aug-2013 |
| | |

| LDC CONFIRMATION FOR CDM PLAN | | | |
|---|-----------|--|--|
| Each LDC to this CDM Plan has executed the Energy Conservation Agreement. | Yes | | |
| A completed Cost-Effectiveness Tool is attached and forms part of the CDM Plan. | Yes | | |
| A completed Achievable Potential Tool is attached and forms part of the CDM Plan. | Yes | | |
| All customer segments in each LDC's service area are served by the Programs set out in this CDM Plan. | Yes | | |
| The CDM Plan includes all electricity savings attributable to all Programs and pilot programs that have in-service dates between Jan 1, 2015 and December 31, 2020. | Yes | | |
| The CDM Plan Budget for each LDC includes all eligible funding under the full cost recovery and pay-for-performance mechanisms for Programs under its CDM Plan. | Yes | | |
| Frequency of LDC Invoicing to IESO (subsequent changes to the frequency should be notified to us by email). | Quarterly | | |

COMPLETE FOR CDM PLAN AMENDMENTS ONLY

Select the reason(s) for CDM Plan amendment, as per ECA. One time each calendar year of the term

| LDC wishes to request an adjustment to the CDM Plan Budget | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The amendments to a provision of the ECA or any Rules will have a material effect on the CDM Plan | | | | | | | | | |
| LDC's actual spending under CDM Plan has exceeded (or is reasonably expected to exceed) the portion of the CDM Plan Budget allocated to the current year of the term | | | | | | | | | |
| Under a joint CDM Plan, LDCs that are parties to a joint CDM Plan reallocate any portion of their respective CDM Plan Targets and CDM Plan Budgets | | | | | | | | | |
| [Reallocation not subject to IESO approval] | | | | | | | | | |
| IESO has triggered remedies under Article 5 of the ECA | | | | | | | | | |
| LDC seeking to change its selection of the type of funding that it wishes to receive for each Program in the CDM Plan [ECA, section 4.1] | | | | | | | | | |
| Other (Please specify reason) | | | | | | | | | |



B. LDC Authorization

Date (DD-Mon-YYYY)

LDC DECLARATION

Please complete the declaration for each LDC that is listed in this CDM Plan. A separate page with each LDC's signed declaration should be included as part of the CDM Plan submission.

| LDC | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| I represent that the information contained in a terms and conditions: (1) if this CDM Plan is incorporated by reference into the Energy Co customers in its service area; and (3) the LD | this CDM Plan as it relates to the LDC is complete, true, and accurate in all respects. I acknowledge and a approved by the IESO and accepted by each LDC to this CDM Plan, the CDM Plan together with any cond onservation Agreement between the LDC and the IESO (2) the LDC will offer the Programs set out in Table IC of will implement this CDM Plan in accordance with the CDM Plan Budget. | | | | | | | | |
| LDC's Legal Name: | Renfrew Hydro Inc. | | | | | | | | |
| Company Representative: | Tom Freemark | | | | | | | | |
| Signature | | | | | | | | | |
| | I/We have the authority to bind the Corporation. | | | | | | | | |

25-May-2015



agree to the following ditions to that approval is e 2 of this CDM Plan to

> B. LDC Authorization Page 2 of 9

C. CDM Plan Summary

| | | | TABL | E 1: SUMMARY OF | F CDM PORTFO | LIO SAVINGS AND E | BUDGET | | | | | |
|----------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------|----------------|-------|-------|--------|
| | | CDM PLAN TOTAL | LDC 1 | LDC 2 | LDC 3 | LDC 4 | LDC 5 | LCD 6 | LCD 7 | LCD 8 | LCD 9 | LCD 10 |
| a. | Allocated LDC CDM Plan Target (MWh) Indicate total CDM Plan Target allocated to LDC(s) | 398,710 | 394,540.0 | 4,170.0 | | | | | | | | |
| b. | CDM Plan MWh Savings Calculated as part of CDM Plan | 398,757 | 394,573 | 4,184 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| c. | Allocated LDC CDM Plan Budget (\$) Indicate total budget allocated to LDC | \$106,312,729 | \$105,242,155.00 | \$1,070,574.00 | | | | | | | | |
| d. | Total CDM Plan Budget (\$) Calculated as part of CDM Plan | \$106,312,728 | \$105,242,155 | 1,070,574 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| f. | CDM Plan Cost Effectiveness | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Tot | al Resource Cost (TRC) | | Program / | Administrator Cost (PAC | :) | Levelized Cost | | | |
| | | Program Year | Benefits (\$) | Costs (\$) | Ratio | Benefits (\$) | Costs (\$) | Ratio | (\$/kWh) | | | |
| | Indicate annual portfolio-level Cost Effectiveness for CDM Plan | 2015 | \$55,764,480.01 | \$38,561,858.48 | 1.4 | \$48,489,332.53 | \$1,382,444.10 | 35.1 | \$0.001 | | | |
| | as determined by LDC(s) using output from Cost-Effectiveness | 2016 | \$53,854,356.98 | \$29,017,726.63 | 1.9 | \$46,713,248.89 | \$17,632,866.14 | 2.6 | \$0.024 | | | |
| | 1001 | 2017 | \$56,081,242.02 | \$27,259,282.19 | 2.1 | \$48,648,150.79 | \$17,314,240.00 | 2.8 | \$0.026 | | | |
| | | 2018 | \$79,064,316.28 | \$42,454,281.01 | 1.9 | \$68,633,433.36 | \$21,635,918.56 | 3.2 | \$0.032 | | | |
| | | 2019 | \$79,719,834.20 | \$41,725,918.96 | 1.9 | \$69,203,448.82 | \$21,315,758.70 | 3.2 | \$0.033 | | | |
| | | 2020 | \$79,340,421.05 | \$40,747,310.47 | 1.9 | \$68,873,524.47 | \$20,739,381.97 | 3.3 | \$0.033 | | | |
| <u> </u> | | CDM Plan Total | \$403,824,651 | Ş219,766,378 | 1.8 | \$350,561,139 | \$100,020,609 | 3.5 | | | | |
| g | Plan Cost Effectiveness-Exceptions Rationale | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | complete this section if proposed plun <u>does not</u> meet | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Submission and Paview Criteria Pulas | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | שלא איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז אי | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

D. CDM Plan Detailed List of Programs, Election of Funding Mechanism, and Annual Milestones

| | | | NOTES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 1. CDM Plan | an Complete Table 2 for all Programs for which will contribute towards the CDM Plan Target. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Program Name | Province-wide LDC Program na applicable) and consistent thro | ames are found in the applicable Prog oughout this CDM Plan. | gram Rules. Regional & local Pro | gram names should be consis | stent with tho | ose included i | n approve | d business | cases (if | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Anticipated Annual Budget | Include annual budgets for eac 2015 (and not funded as part o | ch Program to be allocated against the of the 2011-2014 Master CDM Progra | e CDM Plan Budget by funding n am Agreement) should be includ | nechanism. Note: LDC Eligible ed in 2015 Annual anticipated | e Expenses inc I budget amo | curred in 201 ounts. | 4 for prog | rams deliv | vered in | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Target Gap | Portion of the CDM Plan Targe addition to the CDM Plan Budg | et that the LDC reasonably expects, ba get. | ased on qualified independent th | ird party analysis as accepted | l by the IESO o | could only be | achieved | with fund | ing in | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LDC 1: | Hydro Ottawa Limited | |] | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | - | | | | | | | TARIE 2 DR | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | T | | | | | | | | | | | | | | n Cabadula /A | | had Dudgat Q | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Cu | ustomer Seg | ments Ta | rgeted by | y Program | | | | Program li | mplementatio | n Schedule (A | | ted Budget & | | | cones by Progr | | | |
| Funding Mechanism | Approved Province Wide Programs | Approved Local, Regional, or Pilot Programs | Proposed Pilots or Programs | Program Start Date (DD-Mon-YYYY) | | | Aulti-F. | | | 2 | 015 | 20 | 16 | 20 | 017 | 20 | 18 | 20 | 019 | 2 | 020 | Total 2 | .015 - 2020 |
| | | | | | sidential | w-income all business | mmercial (inc. N | ricultural | titutional Justrial | Anticipated Annua Budget (\$) | I Energy Savings (MWh) | Anticipated Annual Budget (\$) | Energy Savings) (MWh) | Anticipated Annual Budget (\$) | Energy Savings) (MWh) | Total CDM Plan Budget (\$) | Total Persisting Energy Savings in 2020 (MWh) |
| | Retrofit | | | 1-Aug-2015 | | ی آد Yes | ි Yes | ¥ ¥es | Yes Yes | \$1,332,250 | 2,144.4 | \$6,142,186 | 23,530.4 | \$6,845,940 | 27,612.8 | \$6,077,380 | 23,530.4 | \$6,118,828 | 23,530.4 | \$6,160,645 | 23,530.4 | \$32,677,229 | 123,878.7 |
| | Heating and Cooling Progra | am | | 1-Jan-2016 1-Jan-2016 | Yes Yes | Yes Yes | | | | | | \$3,308,912 \$848,923 | 3,376.0 2,234.0 | \$1,743,407 \$852,955 | 312.0 2,234.0 | \$1,742,068 \$852,343 | 312.0 2,234.0 | \$1,754,737 \$856,425 | 312.0 2,234.0 | \$1,797,520 \$860,543 | 312.0 2,234.0 | \$10,346,644 \$4,271,189 | 4,624.0 11,170.0 |
| | New Construction Program | | | 1-Aug-2015 | Yes | | | | | \$10,000 | 0.0 | \$588,780 | 920.0 | \$592,408 | 920.0 | \$596,855 | 920.0 | \$600,685 | 920.0 | \$604,550 | 920.0 | \$2,993,278 | 4,600.0 |
| | Home Assistance Program Audit Funding Program | | | 1-Jan-2016 1-Jan-2016 | | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes Yes | | | \$608,077 \$178,890 | 784.0 759.0 | \$612,320 \$179,707 | 784.0 759.0 | \$617,520 \$180,708 | 784.0 759.0 | \$622,000 \$181,570 | 784.0 759.0 | \$626,520 \$182,441 | 784.0 759.0 | \$3,086,437 \$903,316 | 3,920.0 3,036.0 |
| | High Performance New Construction | | | 1-Aug-2015 | | | Yes | | Yes Yes | \$10,000 | 0.0 | \$1,888,110 | 4,275.0 | \$1,900,361 | 4,275.0 | \$1,915,376 | 4,275.0 | \$1,928,313 | 4,275.0 | \$1,941,364 | 4,275.0 | \$9,583,524 | 21,375.0 |
| | Process and Systems | | | 1-Aug-2015 | | | | | Yes Yes | \$10,000 | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | \$10,000 | 0.0 |
| | Monitoring and Targeting Program | | | 1-Aug-2015 | | | Yes | | Yes Yes | \$10,000 | 0.0 | \$225,000 | 4,200.0 | | | | | | | | | \$235,000 | 4,200.0 |
| | Energy Manager Program | | Enhanced Small Commore | 1-Jan-2016 | | | Yes | | Yes Yes | | | \$450,000 | 5,250.0 | \$450,000 | 5,250.0 | \$450,000 | 5,250.0 | \$450,000 | 5,250.0 | \$450,000 | 5,250.0 | \$2,250,000 | 26,250.0 |
| | | | Direct Install | ai 1-Jan-2016 | | Yes | | | | | | | 4,322.0 | | 6,483.0 | | 7,204.0 | | 7,204.0 | | 6,483.0 | | 31,696.0 |
| | | Regulation (CVR) Leveraging AMI Data Pilot | | 1-May-2015 | Yes | Yes Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes Yes | \$0 | 5,000.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 5,000.0 |
| Full Cost Recovery | | | Proposed Conservation Voltage Regulation (CVR) Leveraging AMI Data | 1-Jan-2016 | Yes | Yes Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes Yes | | | | 12,000.0 | | 16,000.0 | | 0.0 | | 0.0 | | 0.0 | | 28,000.0 |
| riograms | | | Shortfall-Consumer | 1-Jan-2018 | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | 15,407.0 | | 15,407.0 | | 15,407.0 | | 46,221.0 |
| | | Residential Demand Response Wi-Fi Thermostat Pilot | Shortfall-Commercial | 1-Jan-2018 1-May-2015 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes Yes | \$0 | 20.0 | | | | | | 5,568.0 | | 5,568.0 | | 5,568.0 | | 20.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FCR TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | \$1,372,250 | 7,164.4 | \$17,788,198 | 61,650.4 | \$17,826,321 | 64,629.8 | \$22,733,396 | 66,243.4 | \$22,845,395 | 66,243.4 | \$22,676,595 | 65,522.4 | \$105,242,155 | 330,694.7 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Day for Derformance | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Programs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| P4P TOTAL | | | | | | | - | | | \$0 | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0 |
| | peaksaverPLUS | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| | Bi-Annual Retailer Event | | | | | | | | | | 1,656.0 | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | 1,656.0 |
| | Booklet | | | | | | | | | | 578 | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | 578 |
| | Energy Manager (PSUI) | | | | | | | | | | 7,350.0 | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | 7,350.0 |
| 2011-2014 CDM | | | | | | | | | | | 3 386 | | | | | | | | | | | | 3 386 |
| extension of 2011-2014 | High Performance New | | | | | | | | | | 855 | | | | | | | | | | | | 855 |
| Master CDM Agreement) (Not funded through | Construction Low Income Home | | | | | | | | | | 784 | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | 78/ |
| 2015-2020 CDM Framework) | Assistance Program Monitoring and Targeting | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | 0.0 |
| | (PSUI) Process and Systems | - | | | | | | | | | 20,800,0 | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | 20,800,0 |
| | Upgrades Program | | | | | | | | | | 20,000.0 | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | 20,000.0 |
| | Retrofit Initiative | | | | | | | | | | 20,346.0 | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | 20,346.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2011-2014 CDM Framewo | ork (and 2015 extension) TOTAI | L | | | | | | | | \$0 | 65,016.6 | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | 63,878.6 |
| TARGET GAP TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | |
| CDM PLAN TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | \$1,372,250 | 72,181.0 | \$17,788,198 | 61,650.4 | \$17,826,321 | 64,629.8 | \$22,733,396 | 66,243.4 | \$22,845,395 | 66,243.4 | \$22,676,595 | 65,522.4 | \$105,242,155 | 394,573.3 |
| MINIMUM ANNUAL SAVI | NGS CHECK | | | | | | | | | | True | | True | | True | | True | | True | | True | | |

D. CDM Plan Detailed List of Programs, Election of Funding Mechanism, and Annual Milestones

| | NOTES |
|---------------------------------|--|
| 1. CDM Plan | Complete Table 2 for all Programs for which will contribute towards the CDM Plan Target. |
| 2. Program Name | Province-wide LDC Program names are found in the applicable Program Rules. Regional & local P (if applicable) and consistent throughout this CDM Plan. |
| 3. Anticipated Annual Budget | Include annual budgets for each Program to be allocated against the CDM Plan Budget by funding in 2015 (and not funded as part of the 2011-2014 Master CDM Program Agreement) should be in |
| 4. Target Gap | Portion of the CDM Plan Target that the LDC reasonably expects, based on qualified independent addition to the CDM Plan Budget. |
| | |
| | |

LDC 2: Renfrew Hydro Inc.

| | | | | | | | | | | TABLE 2. PR | OGRAM AND M | ILESTONE SCHEI | DULE | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Program Im | plementatior | n Schedule (Aı | nnual Anticipa | ated Budget & | Incremental A | nnual Miles | ones by Progra | ım) | | |
| | | | | | | 6 | | | | | | | - | | | | - | 1 | | | - | | |
| | | | | | Custome | er Segme | ents Targ | geted by P | Program | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ApprovedApprovedProvince WideLocal, Regional, or PilotProgramsPrograms | | | | | | | | | 20 | 15 | 20 | 16 | 20 | 017 | 2018 | | 20 | 19 | 202 | 20 | Total 2015 - 2020 | |
| Funding Mechanism | | | Proposed | Program Start Date (DD-Mon-YYYY) | | | ti-F | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| | | | Pliots or Programs | | | | Mul | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| | | | | | | ess | (inc. | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | ntial | usine | ercial | tural | ional ial | Anticipated | Energy Savings | Total CDM Plan | Total Persisting Energy |
| | | | | | sider w-in | hall b | mme | gricul | stitut dustr | Annual Budget (\$) | (MWh) | Annual Budget (\$) | (MWh) | Annual Budget (\$) |) (MWh) | Annual Budget (\$) |) (MWh) | Annual Budget (\$) | (MWh) | Annual Budget (\$) | (MWh) | Budget (\$) | Savings in 2020 (MWh) |
| | Retrofit | | | 1-Aug-2015 | Lo Re | ্য Yes | ပိ Yes | YesY | ⊆⊆⊆ ∕es Yes | \$10.194 | 50.6 | \$102.049 | 470.8 | \$111.372 | 521.4 | \$110.534 | 521.4 | \$110.672 | 521.4 | \$110.811 | 521.4 | \$555.634 | 2.607.0 |
| | Heating and Cooling | | | 1-Jan-2016 | Yes Yes | | | | | \$0 | 0.0 | \$32,578 | 40.0 | \$12,978 | 2.0 | \$13,031 | 2.0 | \$13,163 | 2.0 | \$13,296 | 2.0 | \$85,046 | 48.0 |
| | Coupon Program | | | 1-Jan-2016 | Yes Yes | | | | | \$0 | 0.0 | \$9,989 | 22.0 | \$10,030 | 22.0 | \$10,069 | 22.0 | \$10,107 | 22.0 | \$10,144 | 22.0 | \$50,339 | 110.0 |
| | Homo Assistance Program | | | 1- Jap-2016 | Yes Yes | | | | | \$0 \$0 | 0.0 | \$4,300 | 0.0 | \$4,424 | 0.0 | \$4,409 | 6.0 | \$4,507 | 12.0 | \$4,540 \$8,640 | 12.0 | \$22,334 | <u> </u> |
| | Audit Funding Program | | | 1-Jan-2016 | 165 | | Yes | Yes Y | /es Yes | \$U | 0.0 | \$8,430 | 12.0 | \$0,490 | 12.0 | \$6,330 | 12.0 | \$6,595 | 12.0 | \$8,040 | 12.0 | <u>\$42,735</u> | 0.0 |
| | High Performance New | | | 1-Aug-2015 | | | Yes | Y | ′es Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| | Process and Systems | | | 1-Aug-2015 | | | | Y | /es Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| | Upgrades Program Monitoring and Targeting | | | 1 Ave 0045 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Program | | Tabaaaad Small Commercial | 1-Aug-2015 | | | Yes | Ŷ | res res | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| | | | Direct Install | 1-Jan-2016 | | Yes | | | | | 0.0 | | 72.0 | | 72.0 | | 72.0 | | 72.0 | | 72.0 | | 360.0 |
| | | | Shortfall-Consumer | 1-Jan-2018 | Yes Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes V | les Ves | | | | | | | | 154.1 | | 154.1 | | 115.5 32 1 | | 423.7 |
| Full Cost Recovery | | | | 1 5411 2010 | | 103 | 103 | | | | | | | | | | 52.1 | | 02.1 | | 52.1 | | 30.4 |
| Programs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | \$10.194 | E0.6 | \$107.225 | 622.9 | ¢197.412 | 625.4 | \$226.914 | 921.6 | 6227 469 | 921 6 | \$221.250 | 702 1 | ¢1 070 574 | 2 725 0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | \$10,194 | 50.0 | \$157,525 | 022.0 | \$107,412 | 055.4 | \$220,014 | 821.0 | 3227,408 | 821.0 | \$221,335 | 785.1 | 31,070,374 | 3,733.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pay for Performance | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Programs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| P4P TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | \$0 | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0 |
| | peaksaverPLUS | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | [| | | 0.0 |
| | Audit Funding | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| | Conservation Instant Coupon | | | | | | | | | | 6.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 6.0 |
| | Booklet | | | | | | | | | | 72.0 | | | | | | | | | | | · | 72.0 |
| | Energy Manager (PSUI) | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| 2011-2014 CDM | Other | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| Framework (and 2015 extension of 2011-2014 | Heating and Cooling Initiative | | | | | | | | | | 53.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 53.0 |
| Master CDM Agreement) | Construction | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| (Not funded through 2015-2020 CDM | Low Income Home Assistance Program | | | | | | | | | | 12.0 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 12.0 |
| Framework) | Monitoring and Targeting | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | I | 0.0 |
| | Process and Systems | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| | Upgrades Program | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | [| 0.0 |
| | Residential New Construction | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 289.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 289.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ļ | | | |
| 2011-2014 CDM Framewo | rk (and 2015 extension) TOTAL | | | | | | | | | \$0 | 449.0 | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | 449.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | |
| CDM PLAN TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | \$10,194 | 499.6 | \$197,325 | 622.8 | \$187,412 | 635.4 | \$226,814 | 821.6 | \$227,468 | 821.6 | \$221,359 | 783.1 | \$1,070,574 | 4,184.0 |
| MINIMUM ANNUAL SAVINGS CHECK | | | | | |] | True |] | True |] | True |] | True |] | True |] [| True | I | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | TABLE 2. PF | ROGRAM AND M | | DULE | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------|----------|-------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Program In | nplementatior | n Schedule (A | nnual Anticipa | ted Budget & | Incremental A | nnual Miles | tones by Progra | am) | | |
| | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | - | · · · | - | | | | | - | | |
| | | | | | Customer Segm | ents Tar | geted by | / Program | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Approved Approved | | | | | | | 2 | 015 | 20 | 016 | 20 | 017 | 2018 2019 | | 19 | 202 | 20 | Total 2015 - 2020 | | | |
| Funding Mechanism | Approved Approved Province Wide Local, Regional, or Pilot | Proposed | Program Start Date | | Ľ. | | | - | | | | _ | •=; | | | | | | | | 010 1010 | |
| | Programs | Programs | Pilots or Programs | (DD-Mon-YYYY) | | Mult | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | S ² | inc. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | sines | cial (| ıral | onal al | Anticipated | Energy Savings | Anticipated | Energy Savings | Anticipated | Energy Savings | Anticipated | Energy Savings | Anticipated | Energy Savings | Anticipated | Energy Savings | Total CDM Plan | Total Persisting Energy |
| | | | | | ident inco | umer | icultu | itutio | Annual Budget (\$ | i) (MWh) | Annual Budget (\$) | (MWh) | Annual Budget (\$) |) (MWh) | Annual Budget (\$) | (MWh) | Annual Budget (\$) | (MWh) | Annual Budget (\$) | (MWh) | Budget (\$) | Savings in 2020 (MWh) |
| | | | | | Res | Con | Agr | Inst Ind | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Retrofit Heating and Cooling | | | 1-Aug-2015 1-Jan-2016 | Yes Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes Yes | <u>\$10,194</u> \$0 | 50.6 | \$102,049 \$32.578 | 470.8 | <u>\$111,372</u> \$12,978 | <u> </u> | \$110,534 \$13.031 | <u>521.4</u> 2.0 | \$110,672 \$13,163 | <u> </u> | \$110,811 \$13,296 | <u>521.4</u> 2.0 | \$555,634 \$85.046 | 2,607.0 |
| | Coupon Program | | | 1-Jan-2016 | Yes Yes | | | | \$0 | 0.0 | \$9,989 | 22.0 | \$10,030 | 22.0 | \$10,069 | 22.0 | \$10,107 | 22.0 | \$10,144 | 22.0 | \$50,339 | 110.0 |
| | New Construction Progra | m | | 1-Aug-2015 | Yes Yes | | | | \$0 | 0.0 | \$4,388 | 6.0 | \$4,424 | 6.0 | \$4,469 | 6.0 | \$4,507 | 6.0 | \$4,546 | 6.0 | \$22,334 | 30.0 |
| | Home Assistance Progra | m | | 1-Jan-2016 | Yes | | | | \$0 | 0.0 | \$8,456 | 12.0 | \$8,498 | 12.0 | \$8,550 | 12.0 | \$8,595 | 12.0 | \$8,640 | 12.0 | \$42,739 | 60.0 |
| | Audit Funding Program | | | 1-Jan-2016 | | Yes | Yes | Yes Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| | Construction | | | 1-Aug-2015 | | Yes | | Yes Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| | Upgrades Program | | | 1-Aug-2015 | | | | Yes Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| | Monitoring and Targeting | | | 1-Aug-2015 | | Yes | | Yes Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| | . rogram | | Enhanced Small Commercial | 1-Jan-2016 | Ves | | | | | 0.0 | | 72.0 | | 72.0 | | 72 0 | | 72 0 | | 72.0 | | 360.0 |
| | | | Direct Install Shortfall-Consumer | 1-Jan-2018 | Yes Yes | | | | | 0.0 | | 12.0 | | 12.0 | | 154.1 | | 154.1 | | 115.5 | | 423.7 |
| | | | Shortfall-Commercial | 1-Jan-2018 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes Yes | | | | | | | | 32.1 | | 32.1 | | 32.1 | | 96.4 |
| Full Cost Recovery | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Programs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | ¢10.104 | 50.6 | ¢107.225 | 622.8 | ¢197.410 | 625.4 | ¢226.814 | 821.0 | ¢227.469 | 821.6 | 6221.250 | 702.1 | ¢1 070 574 | 2 725 0 |
| PCRIDIAL | | | | | | | | | \$10,194 | 50.0 | \$197,325 | 022.8 | \$187,412 | 035.4 | \$220,814 | 821.0 | <i>Ş221,</i> 408 | 821.0 | \$221,559 | 783.1 | \$1,070,574 | 3,735.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pay for Performance | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Programs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| P4P TOTAL | | | | | | | | | \$0 | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0 |
| | Paglaguer DL LIQ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | I | | I | | | |
| | peaksaverPLUS Audit Funding | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| | Bi-Annual Retailer Event | | | | | | | | | 17.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 17.0 |
| | Conservation Instant Cou Booklet | pon | | | | | | | | 6.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 6.0 |
| | Direct Install Lighting | | | | | | | | | 72.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 72.0 |
| | Other | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| 2011-2014 CDM Framework (and 2015 | Heating and Cooling Initia | ative | | | | | | | | 53.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 53.0 |
| extension of 2011-2014 | High Performance New | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| Master CDM Agreement) (Not funded through | Construction | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| 2015-2020 CDM | Assistance Program | | | | | | | | | 12.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 12.0 |
| Framework) | Monitoring and Targeting (PSUI) | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| | Process and Systems | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| | Residential New Constru | ation | | | | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| | Retrofit Initiativa | | | | | | | | | 280.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | 209.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 209.0 |
| 2014 2014 2017 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2011-2014 CDM Framewo | rk (and 2015 extension) TOT | AL | | | | | | | \$0 | 449.0 | L | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | 449.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TARGET GAP TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | |
| CDM PLAN TOTAL | | | | | | | | | \$10,194 | 499.6 | \$197,325 | 622.8 | \$187,412 | 635.4 | \$226,814 | 821.6 | \$227,468 | 821.6 | \$221,359 | 783.1 | \$1,070,574 | 4,184.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, | | ı ๅ | | | |
| MINIMUM ANNUAL SAVIN | GS CHECK | | | | | | | | | True | 1 | True | | True | | True | | True | J | True | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | TABLE 2. PF | OGRAM AND N | IILESTONE SCHEI | DULE | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------|--|--------------------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Program Im | nplementation | Schedule (Ai | nnual Anticipat | ted Budget & | Incremental / | Annual Milest | ones by Progra | am) | | |
| | | | | | | | C | - | D | | | | - | | | | _ | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Cu | stomer | Segments | Targeted b | y Program | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Approved | Approved | | | | | | | | 2 | 015 | 20 | 16 | 20 | 2017 2018 | | 18 | 2019 | | 20 | 20 | Total 20 | 15 - 2020 |
| Funding Mechanism | Province Wide | Local, Regional, or Pilot | Proposed | Program Start Date | | | L | ti-F | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Programs | Programs | Phots of Programs | | | | | . Mul | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 0 | ess | l (inc | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | ntial | Some | usin | ercial tural | iona ial | Anticipated | Energy Savings | Anticipated | Energy Savings | Anticipated | Energy Savings | Anticipated | Energy Savings | Anticipated | Energy Savings | Anticipated | Energy Savings | Total CDM Plan | Total Persisting Energy |
| | | | | | side | w-in | nall b | gricul | stitut dustr | Annual Budget (\$ |) (MWh) | Annual Budget (\$) | (MWh) | Annual Budget (\$) | (MWh) | Annual Budget (\$) | (MWh) | Annual Budget (\$) | (MWh) | Annual Budget (\$) | (MWh) | Budget (\$) | Savings in 2020 (MWh) |
| | Retrofit | | | 1-Aug-2015 | Re | , Lo | ් Yes Yes | o ₹ s Yes | | \$10.194 | 50.6 | \$102.049 | 470.8 | \$111.372 | 521.4 | \$110.534 | 521.4 | \$110.672 | 521.4 | \$110.811 | 521.4 | \$555.634 | 2.607.0 |
| - | Heating and Cooling | | | 1-Jan-2016 | Yes | Yes | | | | \$0 | 0.0 | \$32,578 | 40.0 | \$12,978 | 2.0 | \$13,031 | 2.0 | \$13,163 | 2.0 | \$13,296 | 2.0 | \$85,046 | 48.0 |
| - | Coupon Program | | | 1-Jan-2016 | Yes | Yes | | | | \$0 | 0.0 | \$9,989 | 22.0 | \$10,030 | 22.0 | \$10,069 | 22.0 | \$10,107 | 22.0 | \$10,144 | 22.0 | \$50,339 | 110.0 |
| - | Home Assistance Program | | | 1-Aug-2015 | res | Vos | | | | \$0 | 0.0 | \$9,456 | 0.0 | \$8.408 | 0.0 | \$4,409 | 0.0 | \$4,507 | 0.0 | \$4,540 | 0.0 | \$22,334 | 30.0 60.0 |
| - | Audit Funding Program | | | 1-Jan-2016 | | 165 | Yes | es Yes | Yes Yes | \$0 | 0.0 | \$0,400 | 12.0 | φ0,490 | 12.0 | φ0,000 | 12.0 | \$6,595 | 12.0 | \$0,040 | 12.0 | Ş42,735 | 0.0 |
| | High Performance New | | | 1-Aug-2015 | | | Yes | es | Yes Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| - | Process and Systems | | | 1-Aug-2015 | | | | | Yes Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| - | Upgrades Program Monitoring and Targeting | | | 4 Aug 2015 | | | N.c. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| - | Program | | Enhanced Small Commercial | 1-Aug-2015 | | | res | es | res res | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| | | | Direct Install | 1-Jan-2016 | | , | Yes | | | | 0.0 | | 72.0 | | 72.0 | | 72.0 | | 72.0 | | 72.0 | | 360.0 |
| - | | | Shortfall-Consumer Shortfall-Commercial | 1-Jan-2018 | Yes | Yes | Yes Yes | es Yes | Yes Yes | | | | | | | | 154.1 32 1 | | 154.1 32.1 | | 115.5 32 1 | | 423.7 96.4 |
| - Full Cost Recovery | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 02.1 | | 02.1 | | 02.1 | | |
| Programs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| -CR TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | \$10.194 | 50.6 | \$197,325 | 622.8 | \$187.412 | 635.4 | \$226,814 | 821.6 | \$227,468 | 821.6 | \$221,359 | 783.1 | \$1.070.574 | 3.735.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | . , | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pay for Performance | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Programs - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| P4P TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | \$0 | 0.0 | Ş0 | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0 |
| | peaksaverPLUS | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| - | Audit Funding Bi-Annual Retailer Event | - | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| - | Conservation Instant Coupon | | | | | | | | | | 6.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 6.0 |
| - | Booklet Direct Install Lighting | - | | | | | | | | | 72.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 72.0 |
| - | Energy Manager (PSUI) | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| 2011-2014 CDM | Other Heating and Cooling Initiative | | | | | | | | | | 53.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 53.0 |
| Framework (and 2015 extension of 2011-2014 | High Performance New | | | | | | | | | | 33.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 00.0 |
| Master CDM Agreement) | Construction | _ | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| 2015-2020 CDM | Assistance Program | | | | | | | | | | 12.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 12.0 |
| Framework) | Monitoring and Targeting (PSUI) | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| | Process and Systems | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| - | Residential New Construction | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| ł | Retrofit Initiative | - | | | | | | | | | 289.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 289.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2011-2014 CDM Framewor | k (and 2015 extension) TOTAL | | | | | | | | | \$0 | 449.0 | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | 449.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | ŶŸ | | | | 1 | 1 | <u> </u> | | | I | | | 0.0 | 1,5,0 |
| TARGET GAP TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | |
| ΩΟΜ ΡΙΔΝ ΤΟΤΔΙ | | | | | | | | | | \$10,194 | 499.6 | \$197,325 | 622.8 | \$187,412 | 635.4 | \$226,814 | 821.6 | \$227,468 | 821.6 | \$221,359 | 783.1 | \$1,070,574 | 4,184.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | - |] | T | | - |] [| • | | T | | - | | |
| MINIMUM ANNUAL SAVIN | GS CHECK | | | | | | | | | | True | | frue | | True | J | Irue | | frue | l | Irue | | |

Program names should be consistent with those included in approved business cases ng mechanism. Note: LDC Eligible Expenses incurred in 2014 for programs delivered included in 2015 Annual anticipated budget amounts. It third party analysis as accepted by the IESO, could only be achieved with funding in

E. Proposed Local and Regional Pilot CDM Programs

Complete the following Table(s) for each proposed local and regional Program or Pilot Program in the the Program Development and Rule Revision Guideline and the Business Case Template for full details on For the process for receiving funding for a Pilot Program, refer to the LDC Program Innovation Guideline.

| | TABLE 3a. PROPOSED LOCAL AND REGIONAL CDM PROGRAMS / PILOTS | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| a. | Program Name | Proposed Conservation Voltage Regulation | Use same "Program name" ind | cluded in other worksheets | | | | | | | |
| b. | Program Type | Proposed Local Program | | | | | | | | | |
| b. | Estimated Business Case Submission Date (DD-Mon-YYYY) | 1-Dec-2015 | | | | | | | | | |
| C. | Customer Segment(s) Served by Programs | Residential | Commercial (inc. Multi-Family) | Other | | | | | | | |
| d. | Participating LDCs (<i>if applicable</i>) | Hydro Ottawa Limited | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| e. | Overview of Proposed Program or Pilot | This program will utilize the installed smart me service point voltages to deliver reduced voltage | ters and automated metering infrastruct je. Operating at reduced voltage will res | ture to provide CVR software with ult in lower energy consumption. | | | | | | | |
| | Provide overview of key objectives and elements of proposed program or pilot. | This program will impact all customer classes. | | | | | | | | | |

| | TABLE 3c. | PROPOSED LOCAL AND REGIONAL CDN | M PROGRAMS / PILOTS | |
|----|---|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| a. | Program Name | Enhanced Small Commercial Direct Install | Use same "Program name" inc | luded in other worksheets |
| b. | Program Type | Proposed Regional Program | | |
| b. | Estimated Business Case Submission Date (DD-Mon-YYYY) | TBD | | |
| C. | Customer Segment(s) Served by Programs | Small Business | | |
| d. | Participating LDCs (if applicable) | Hydro Ottawa Limited | Renfrew Hydro Inc. | |
| | | | | |
| e. | Overview of Proposed Program or Pilot | LDC Working Group will be sbmitting the busir | ness case for this program. | |
| | Provide overview of key objectives and elements of proposed program or pilot. | | | |

| | | PROPOSED LOCAL AND REGIONAL COM PROGRAMS / PILOTS | |
|----------|---|---|---------------|
| 2 | Program Name | Use same "Program name" included in oth | ar workshaats |
| а. b. | Program Type | | |
| b. | Estimated Business Case Submission Date (DD-Mon-YYYY) | | |
| C. | Customer Segment(s) Served by Programs | | |
| d. | Participating LDCs (if applicable) | | |
| | | | |
| e. | Overview of Proposed Program or Pilot | | |
| | Provide overview of key objectives and elements of proposed program or pilot. | | |

| | TABLE 3g. | PROPOSED LOCAL AND F |
|----|--|----------------------|
| a. | Program Name | |
| b. | Program Type | |
| b. | Estimated Business Case Submission Date (DD-Mon-YYYY) | |
| C. | Customer Segment(s) Served by Programs | |
| d. | Participating LDCs (<i>if applicable</i>) | |
| e. | Overview of Proposed Program or Pilot | |
| | <i>Provide overview of key objectives and elements of proposed program or pilot.</i> | |

| | TABLE 3i. | PROPOSED LOCAL AND R |
|----|--|----------------------|
| a. | Program Name | |
| b. | Program Type | |
| b. | Estimated Business Case Submission Date (DD-Mon-YYYY) | |
| C. | Customer Segment(s) Served by Programs | |
| d. | Participating LDCs (if applicable) | |
| | | |
| e. | Overview of Proposed Program or Pilot | |
| | <i>Provide overview of key objectives and elements of proposed program or pilot.</i> | |



| otes |
|--|
| e CDM Plan for which a business case has NOT previously been approved by the IESO. Please refer to |
| ils on requirements and submission of a business case for approval of a local or regional Program. |
| |

| REGIONAL CDI | M PROGRAMS / PILOTS | |
|--------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Use same "Program name" inc | cluded in other worksheets |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| M PROGRAMS / PILOTS | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Use same "Program name" inc | luded in other worksheets |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| | TABLE 3b. PROPOSED LOCAL AND REGIONAL CDM PROGRAMS / PILOTS | | | |
|----|--|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| a. | Program Name | | Use same "Program name" i | ncluded in other worksheets |
| b. | Program Type | | | |
| b. | Estimated Business Case Submission Date (DD-Mon-YYYY) | | | |
| C. | Customer Segment(s) Served by Programs | | | |
| d. | Participating LDCs (if applicable) | | | |
| | | | | |
| e. | Overview of Proposed Program or Pilot Provide overview of key objectives and elements of proposed program or pilot. | | | |

| | TABLE 3d. PROF | POSED LOCAL AND REGIONAL CDM PROGRA | MS / PILOTS | |
|----|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| a. | Program Name | | Use same "Program name" i | ncluded in other worksheets |
| b. | Program Type | | | |
| b. | Estimated Business Case Submission Date (DD-Mon-YYYY) | | | |
| C. | Customer Segment(s) Served by Programs | | | |
| d. | Participating LDCs (if applicable) | | | |
| | | | | |
| e. | Overview of Proposed Program or Pilot | | | |
| | Provide overview of key objectives and elements of proposed program or pilot. | | | |

| | TABLE 3f. PROPOSED LOCAL AND REGIONAL CDM PROGRAMS / PILOTS | | | |
|----|---|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| a. | Program Name | | Use same "Program name" i | ncluded in other worksheets |
| b. | Program Type | | | |
| b. | Estimated Business Case Submission Date (DD-Mon-YYYY) | | | |
| C. | Customer Segment(s) Served by Programs | | | |
| d. | Participating LDCs (if applicable) | | | |
| | | | | |
| e. | Overview of Proposed Program or Pilot | | | |
| | Provide overview of key objectives and elements of proposed program or pilot. | | | |

| | TABLE 3h. PROF | POSED LOCAL AND REGIONAL CDM PROGRA | MS / PILOTS | |
|----|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| a. | Program Name | | Use same "Program name" i | ncluded in other worksheets |
| b. | Program Type | | | |
| b. | Estimated Business Case Submission Date (DD-Mon-YYYY) | | | |
| C. | Customer Segment(s) Served by Programs | | | |
| d. | Participating LDCs (if applicable) | | | |
| | | | | |
| e. | Overview of Proposed Program or Pilot | | | |
| | Provide overview of key objectives and elements of proposed program or pilot. | | | |

| | TABLE 3j. PROPOSED LOCAL AND REGIONAL CDM PROGRAMS / PILOTS | | | |
|----|---|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| a. | Program Name | | Use same "Program name" i | ncluded in other worksheets |
| b. | Program Type | | | |
| b. | Estimated Business Case Submission Date (DD-Mon-YYYY) | | | |
| C. | Customer Segment(s) Served by Programs | | | |
| d. | Participating LDCs (if applicable) | | | |
| | | | | |
| e. | Overview of Proposed Program or Pilot | | | |
| | Provide overview of key objectives and elements of proposed program or pilot. | | | |

F. Detailed Information on Collaboration and Regional Planning

| | ADDITIONAL DETAILED INFORMATION |
|--|---|
| Regional LDC(s) Collaboration <i>Description of how the LDC(s) will collaborate with other LDCs. If</i> <i>collaboration will not occur, description of why it will not occur.</i> | Hydro Ottawa/Renfrew Hydro will continue to work collaboratively with other LDC's in Eastern Onta and soft skills training, marketing creatives, and other support as needed. Will also consider collaboratively |
| Gas Collaboration Description of how the LDC(s) will collaborate with other gas utility programs delivered in service area (if applicable). If collaboration will not occur, description of why it will not occur. | Hydro Ottawa/Renfrew Hydro will continue to collaborate with the gas company where possible suc possibilites will be pursued. |
| CDM Contribution to Regional Planning Description of how the CDM Plan considers the electricity needs and investments identified in other plans or planned initiatives, completed or underway within the LDC(s)' service area or region. This may included Integrated Regional Resource Plans or Municipal Community Energy Plans. | Hydro Ottawa's Manager of Energy Conservation and Demand Management actively participates or regional resource planning meetings in Eastern Ontario. The objective of this participation is to ma Plan towards overall resource requirements and to consider CDM in the IRRP process as a first alt alignment between the CDM Plan and commitments required as part of the IRRP. This work will be |



ario in ways such as: french language translation, technical poration on new program designs.

ch as the Home Assistance Program. Any future

on behalf of both Hydro Ottawa and Renfrew Hydro in ake other participants aware of the contribution of the CDM ternative to meet resource needs. We will work to gain be resourced by CDM engineering and support staff.

> F. Detailed Information Page 7 of 9

G. Additional Documentation for CDM Plan (If applicable)

| | ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DOCUMENTATION |
|---|--|
| Programs Opportunity to provide any additional information on assumptions used for budgets and/or savings for approved 2015-2020 province- wide programs | Assumption summary provided. |
| Approved Local and/or Regional Programs and Pilot Programs Opportunity to provide any additional information on assumptions used for budgets and/or savings for approved 2015-2020 local or regional programs or pilot programs | Included in the assumptions summary provided. |
| Proposed Local and/or Regional Programs and Pilot Programs Opportunity to provide additional information on assumptions used for forecast budgets and/or savings for proposed programs or pilot programs | Included in the assumptions summary provided. |
| Programs from 2011-2014/2015 CDM Framework <i>Opportunity to provide any additional information on assumptions</i> <i>used for budgets and/or savings from existing 2011-2014/2015 CDM</i> <i>Programs</i> | Used historical performance, therefore no additional information require |
| Programs funded through Pay-for-Performance <i>Opportunity to provide any additional information on assumptions</i> <i>used for budgets and/or savings for Pay for Performance Programs</i> | n/a |
| Other Additional assumptions used in the CDM Plan | n/a |

Independent Electricity System Operator

Summary of Changes to CDM Template

| Version No. | Date | Tab | Change Summary |
|----------------|-----------|---------------------------------|--|
| 2 | 20-Jan-15 | | Inclusion of "Company Name" for Primary Contact |
| | | | Inclusion of frequency of invoicing (monthly vs. quarterly) |
| | | A. General Information | Update date format to eliminate confusion |
| | | | Change reference to OPA |
| | | | Additional LDCs for joint plan |
| | | B. LDC Authorization | Update date format to eliminate confusion |
| | | | Additional line items for FRC program names |
| | | | Additional LDCs for joint plan |
| | | | Update on the program names |
| | | D. CDM Plan Milestone I DC 1 10 | Update date format to eliminate confusion |
| | | D. CDM Plan Milestone LDC 1-10 | Update column headers: |
| | | | - "Province Wide Program Name" |
| | | | - "Proposed Regional or Local CDM Program or Pilot Program Name" |
| | | | Change reference to OPA |
| | | | Update Header and Footer |
| | | E Proposed Program&Pilots | Additional boxes for proposed programs |
| | | | Update date format to eliminate confusion |
| | | O. Detailed Information | Clarity if it is primary LDC or all LDCs in a joint CDM Plan. |







Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#28) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 2

| 1 | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #28 |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Reference E-C/Itron Report, pg. 33-35 |
| 4 | Appendix 2-I – last table |
| 5 | |
| 6 | Question #28 |
| 7 | |
| 8 | a. Appendix 2-I indicates that the amount to be used for the 2015 LRAMVA is |
| 9 | 39,500,000 kWh. Please clarify whether this is correct and, if so, why Ottawa would not |
| 10 | use for any LRAM claim for 2015 the CDM included in its last (EB-2011-0054) rate |
| 11 | application. |
| 12 | |
| 13 | b. Please provide a schedule that sets out the LRAMVA amounts (i.e. total kWh) |
| 14 | that Ottawa would propose for 2016-2020. In doing so, please explain how each of the |
| 15 | annual values were derived from its currently proposed load forecast and CDM |
| 16 | adjustments – recognizing that the LRAMVA amounts are based on annualized savings. |
| 17 | |
| 18 | c. Please provide a breakdown by customer class of the LRAMVA amounts for |
| 19 | each year per part (b) and explain how the values were established. |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | Response: |
| 24 | |
| 25 | a. Hydro Ottawa Limited ("Hydro Ottawa") indicated in Appendix 2-I how the 2016 to |
| 26 | 2020 load forecast was adjusted for conservation demand management targets |
| 27 | ("CDM") and how the forecast would impact future lost revenue adjustment |
| 28 | mechanism ("LRAM") calculations. For the purpose of the 2016 to 2020 LRAM |
| 29 | calculations the 2015 target is being used. Hydro Ottawa will use the CDM target |
| 30 | as per EB-2011-0054 in calculating its 2015 LRAM. Please note the updated |
| 31 | Chapter 2 Appendices were not available at the time Hydro Ottawa submitted its |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#28) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 2

2016 to 2020 rate application, as a result Appendix 2-I assumes a rebase year of 2015.

2 3 4

5

6 7 8

9

10

11

1

 b. Please see response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #27 table 4 for the total kWh Hydro Ottawa would use of the 2016 to 2020 LRAM calculation.

Please see response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #27 part b, e and g for how Hydro Ottawa derived it's 2016 to 2020 CDM targets and allocated them to the yearly forecast.

c. Please see response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory
 Question #27 table 4 for the kWh by class. Hydro Ottawa forecasted the total
 saving would be a achieved in the following distribution; 15% in the residential
 class, 10% in the small commercial class and 75% in the commercial classes
 greater than 50 KW.



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-1-1 (3-VECC#29) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 1

| 1 | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #29 |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Reference: E-A/T2/S1, pg. 12 |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Question #29: |
| 6 | |
| 7 | a. Please confirm that Hydro Ottawa's CIR proposal does not include any future updates |
| 8 | or revisions to the load forecast over the 2016-2020 period. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | Response: |
| 13 | |
| 14 | a. Hydro Ottawa confirms that its 2016-2020 Custom IR proposal, as currently structured, |
| 15 | does not contemplate future updates or revisions to its load forecast over the 2016- |
| 16 | 2020 period. |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-2-1 (3-VECC#30) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 2

| 1 | <u>Resp</u> | onse to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #30 |
|----|---------------|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | <u>Refere</u> | ence: E-C/T2/S1 |
| 4 | | Appendix 2-H |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | <u>Quest</u> | ion #30 |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | a. | Please provide the year to date Other Revenue for 2015 (broken down per |
| 9 | | Appendix 2-H) and indicate what months are included. |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | b. | Please provide a breakdown of the Other Revenues forecast for 2017-2020 (per |
| 12 | | the RRWFs) per the categories used in Appendix 2-H. |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | <u>Respo</u> | onse: |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | a. | Please refer to response to Energy Probe interrogatory question # 13 c) and d). |
| 19 | | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:C-2-1 (3-VECC#30) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 2

- 1
- 2
- b. Please see table below for 2017-2020 Other Revenue forecast broken down per
- Appendix 2-H.
- 3 4
- 5 6

|--|

| USoA # | USoA Description | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
|--------------------------|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Reporting Basis | MIFRS | MIFRS | MIFRS | MIFRS |
| 4235 | Miscellaneous Service Revenues | 5,934,229 | 6,014,982 | 6,039,578 | 6,064,123 |
| 4225 | Late Payment Charges | 720,000 | 720,000 | 720,000 | 720,000 |
| 4082 | Retail Services Revenues | 167,732 | 180,981 | 177,267 | 181,683 |
| 4084 | Service Transaction Requests (STR) Revenues | 5,912 | 5,946 | 6,192 | 6,200 |
| 4086 | SSS Administration Revenue | 902,964 | 914,049 | 925,018 | 935,849 |
| 4090 | Electric Services Incidental to Energy Sales | 349,836 | 368,760 | 383,388 | 405,937 |
| 4315 | Revenues from Electric Plant Leased to Others | 1,857,897 | 1,876,476 | 1,895,241 | 1,914,193 |
| 4325 | Revenues from Merchandise, Jobbing, Etc. | 5,514,031 | 5,569,172 | 5,624,863 | 5,681,112 |
| 4330 | Costs and Expenses of Merchandising, Jobbing, Etc. | (4,085,470) | (4,126,325) | (4,167,588) | (4,209,264) |
| 4355 | Gain on Disposition of Utility and Other Property | - | - | - | - |
| 4360 | Loss on Disposition of Utility and Other Property | - | - | - | - |
| 4362 | Property | 198,000 | 198,000 | 198,000 | 198,000 |
| 4375 | Revenues from Non-Utility Operations | | | | |
| 4405 | Interest and Dividend Income | - | - | - | - |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Specific Service Charges | ſ. | 5,934,229 | 6,014,982 | 6,039,578 | 6,064,123 |
| Late Payment Charges | | 720,000 | 720,000 | 720,000 | 720,000 |
| Other Operating | | 1 426 444 | 1 /60 736 | 1 /01 865 | 1 520 660 |
| Other Income or | | 1,420,444 | 1,403,730 | 1,431,003 | 1,523,003 |
| Deductions | | 3,484,458 | 3,517,323 | 3,550,516 | 3,584,041 |
| Total | | 11,565,131 | 11,722,041 | 11,801,959 | 11,897,833 |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:B-1-2 (4-VECC#31) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 1

| <u>Respor</u> | se to Vulnerable Energ | gy Consume | ers Coalitic | on Interroga | tory Quest | ion #31 |
|---------------|----------------------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|----------|
| | | | | | | |
| Referen | <u>:e:</u> E-B-T1/S2/pg.35 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Questio | <u>1 #31</u> | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| a. P | lease provide the annu | al members | hip and as | sociated co | sts for eac | h of the |
| y | ears 2011 through 2016 | for: | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| i. | Electricity Distribut | tors Associat | ion | | | |
| ii. | Electrical Contract | or Associatio | n | | | |
| ii | . Canadian Standard | ds Associatio | on | | | |
| i∿ | . Center for Energy | Advancemer | nt | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Respons | <u>se:</u> | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| a. R | efer to the table below | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Table 1: Ann | ual Member | ship Costs | s (in dollars) |) | |
| Annual N | embership & Associated | 2011 - | 2012 - | 2013 - | 2014 - | 2015 - |

| Annual Membership & Associated Costs | 2011 - Actuals | 2012 - Actuals | 2013 - Actuals | 2014 - Actuals | 2015 - Budget | 2016 - Budget |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Electricity Distributors Association (EDA) | \$101,000 | \$106,500 | \$103,171 | \$106,700 | \$116,400 | \$118,740 |
| Electrical Contractor Association (ECA)* | | | | | | |
| Canadian Standards Association (CSA) | 1,300 | 2,150 | 1,500 | 1,513 | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| Center for Energy Advancement* | | | | | | |
| Total Memberships | \$102,300 | \$108,650 | \$104,671 | \$108,213 | \$117,900 | \$120,240 |

* no annual membership



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:D-1-3 (4-VECC#32) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 2

| 1 | <u>Resp</u> | onse to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #32 |
|----|--------------|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | Refere | ence: E-D/T1/S3/pg.11 |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | <u>Quest</u> | ion #32 |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | a. | Please provide the vegetation management program costs for each of 2012 |
| 8 | | through 2016. |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | b. | Please explain how Ottawa measures the reduction in outages associated with |
| 11 | | the vegetation program. |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | C. | Please provide the tree contact outage reduction targets associated with this |
| 14 | | program. |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | <u>Respo</u> | onse: |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | a. | The vegetation management program costs are provided in Exhibit D-1-3 Table |
| 21 | | 4. |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | b. | Hydro Ottawa performs reliability analyses to determine cause and effect of |
| 24 | | system outages. For more details on the process for reporting outages refer to |
| 25 | | Attachment B-1(B) – Annual Planning Report - 2014 Reliability Plan. Hydro |
| 26 | | Ottawa is required to trim vegetation near its plant under Ontario Regulation |
| 27 | | 22/04 - Electrical Distribution Safety 4. (4) 3. and Electricity Act, 1998 c. 15, |
| 28 | | Sched, A s.40 (4). Since Hydro Ottawa is mandated to trim there is no baseline |
| 29 | | for tree related outages if no trimming occurred. |
| 30 | | |



1

2

3

4

5

6

Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:D-1-3 (4-VECC#32) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 2

Hydro Ottawa will continue to complete reliability analyses to trend the influence of the Storm Hardening Project on tree and adverse weather related outages. For historical reliability figures by primary outage cause please see Interrogatory Response to SIA Question #15. For more information on our vegetation management program, please see Interrogatory Response to CCC Question #37.

7 c. Predicting reduction of outages from the Storm Hardening project is an extremely 8 difficult task as there are many unpredictable external forces such as wind and 9 freezing rain that affect vegetation. Hydro Ottawa proceeded with Storm 10 Hardening Project as a recommendation of a Vegetation Consultant (refer to Att-11 CCC-Q37-B - SRL Corp Report Veg Management). Hydro Ottawa was 12 influenced by the 2013 Toronto ice storm to remove overhang in order to storm 13 harden its system. Removing the overhang vegetation will make the system more 14 resilient to major storms; ice storms and extreme wind storms. Hydro Ottawa is 15 going to continue to analyze vegetation related outages including outages during 16 major storm events to confirm the effectiveness of the project. For more 17 information on our Vegetation Management Program please see Interrogatory 18 Response to CCC Question #37.



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:D-1-3(4-VECC#33) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 1

| 1 | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #33 |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Reference: E-D/T1/pg.7/Table 8 |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Question #33 |
| 6 | |
| 7 | a. Please provide the OM&A amounts for 2012 through 2016 for Customer & |
| 8 | Community Relations showing separately the amounts for: (1) call center, (2) |
| 9 | web site costs, and (3) other community relations. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | Response: |
| 14 | |
| 15 | Please see Interrogatory Response to CCC Question #14. |



| 1 | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #34 |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Reference: E-D/T1/S4 |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Question #34: |
| 6 | |
| 7 | a. Please explain how the forecast of defective equipment contribution to SAIFI is |
| 8 | derived. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | Response: |
| 13 | |
| 14 | a. The defective equipment contribution to SAIFI is forecasted based on the following |
| 15 | steps, along with example diagrams. The diagrams and more information on Asset |
| 16 | Replacement Projections can be found in Attachment B-1(B) – Annual Planning |
| 17 | Report, 2014 Asset Management Plan section 5.3, page 15. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | 1. Weibull analysis is conducted on the following major asset classes to estimate |
| 20 | the expected end-of-life. Note that the historic values shown in the chart |
| 21 | represent only this subset of major assets as well. For more information on |
| 22 | Weibull analysis refer to Interrogatory Response to OEB #17 part xvi. |
| 23 | Polemount transformers; |
| 24 | Padmount transformers; |
| 25 | Padmount switches; |
| 26 | XLPE Cable; |
| 27 | PILC Cable; and |
| 28 | Poles |
| 29 | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:D-1-4 (4-VECC#34) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 3



Figure VECC #34 – 1: Example Weibull Curves



3

4

5 6

7

1

 Using the failure curves from the Weibull analysis, scenarios are created to show the impact of run-to-failure versus varying levels of proactive replacement, in this case the replacement levels for current spending levels compared to proposed levels.







Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:D-1-4 (4-VECC#34) ORG ORIGINAL Page 3 of 3

- The forecasted annual failure rates are multiplied by the historic average number
 of customers interrupted due to the failure of each asset class listed in Step 1 to
 develop the annual number of customers interrupted. Summing each of the asset
 classes together provides an estimated annual number of customers interrupted
 due to Defective Equipment.
 - The estimated annual number of customers interrupted is then divided by the annual forecasted customer count to develop the forecasted SAIFI due to Defective Equipment.
- 10

6 7

8



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:D-1-4 (4-VECC#35) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 2

| | | Voor 4 | | | | | | |
|---------|----------|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | Collective Agreement 2010-2013 | Collective Agreement 2013-2017 | | | | |
| 25 | | . , (,,, | | | | | | |
| 24 | | 31, 2017 (current) collec | tive agreement. | • • | | | | |
| 23 | | 2010 to March 31, 2013 (previous) collective agreement and the April 1, 2013 to March | | | | | | |
| 22 | b. | b. The table below provides the annual and average wage increases as per the April 1. | | | | | | |
| 21 | | , <u>-</u> | | - | | | | |
| 20 | | such, there is no Board approved breakdown of compensation costs for 2012. | | | | | | |
| 19 | a. | Hydro Ottawa's 2012 O | M&A was approved by the Bo | oard on an envelope basis. As | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | R | esponse: | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | _ | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | o. Boos not provide po | | | | | | |
| 12 | | c Does HOL provide po | st-retirement henefits to all now | vemplovees? | | | | |
| 11 | | agreement. | | | | | | |
| 10 | | tor 2013-2016 are on a | average 10% lower than the | previous three year collective | | | | |
| 9 10 | | b. Please show the calcu | ulation which underpins the sta | tement that the wage increases | | | | |
| 8 | | h Diana ah 11 - | detter oddele og til 1. de st | term and the table as a final | | | | |
| 7 | | a. Please amend Append | dix 2-K to show Board approve | d for 2012 | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | <u>Q</u> | uestion #35: | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | <u>R</u> | eference: E-D1/S8/pg.1 | -6 | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | <u> </u> | Response to Vulnerable | e Energy Consumers Coalitio | n Interrogatory Question #35 | | | | |

| | 2010-2013 | 2013-2017 |
|--------------|---|------------------|
| Year 1 | 3% | 2.6% |
| Year 2 | 3% | 2.7% |
| Year 3 | Year 3 3% | |
| Year 4 | n/a 2.8% | |
| Average Wage | Wage 9% over 3 years = 3% 10.8% over 4 years | |
| Increase | average per year | average per year |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:D-1-4 (4-VECC#35) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 2

1

The difference between the 3% average wage increase in the previous collective agreement and the 2.7% average wage increase in the current collective agreement is 0.3%, which results in a 10% lower average wage increase.

5

6 c. As outlined in Exhibit D, Tab 1, Schedule 8, Page 5, Hydro Ottawa's post-retirement
7 benefits for eligible employees consist only of life insurance and a small retirement
8 grant. All employees are eligible for the life insurance, however, must have a minimum
9 of 10 years of service to qualify. Unionized employees are eligible for the retirement
10 grant, which is primarily linked to positive attendance and for which an employee must
11 have a minimum of 25 years of service to qualify.

- 12
- 13



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:D-1-8 (4-VECC#36) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 1

| 1 | <u>R</u> | esponse to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #36 |
|----|-----------|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | <u>Re</u> | ference: E-D1/S8/pg.1-6 |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | <u>Q</u> | lestion #36 |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | a. Appendix 2-M appears to show that HOL is not seeking to recover any one-time |
| 8 | | regulatory costs associated with this application. Please confirm whether this is |
| 9 | | correct. |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | b. Please explain the ongoing intervenor and consultant costs (\$131,722 and |
| 12 | | \$160,711 respectively). |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | c. In the alternative please provide a table (below 2-M) which shows all one-time |
| 15 | | costs associated with this application. Please provide an update showing the |
| 16 | | legal and consulting costs incurred to date for the application. |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | <u>Re</u> | sponse: |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | a. | Confirmed. |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | b. | Ongoing intervenor and consultant costs for the 2016 test year include expenses that |
| 25 | | Hydro Ottawa Limited ("Hydro Ottawa") forecasts as the new normal based on an |
| 26 | | increasing number of regulatory consultations and proceedings that Hydro Ottawa is |
| 27 | | involved in, which require both intervenor and consultant costs. |
| 28 | | |
| 29 | c. | No update required as per the response to parts a) and b) of this question, also |
| 30 | | please see Interrogatory Response to CCC Question #49 for the costs incurred / |
| 31 | | projected to incur for this application. |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:D-5-2 (4-VECC#37) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 6

| 1 | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #37 |
|----------|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | <u>Reference:</u> E-D/T5/S2 – Table 2 Appendix D-5(A) |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Question #37: |
| 6 | |
| 7 | a. With respect to Table 2, please revise so as to include the kWh savings attributable |
| 8 | to the non-Residential programs and reconcile the totals for each year with those |
| 9 | reported by the OPA. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | b. Please reconcile the reported kWh results by program as shown in Table 2 with the |
| 12 | results set out in the OPA Report (Table 1). For example, the 2011 and 2012 totals for |
| 13 | Residential do not appear to be consistent. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | c. Please provide a schedule that sets out how the reported kW results as shown in |
| 16 | Table 2 were derived from the results set out in the OPA Report (Table 1). |
| 17 | |
| 18 | d. Please confirm that the kW values reported by the OPA represent the impact on the |
| 19 | annual peak as opposed to the impact on monthly peak demand. |
| 20 | |
| 21 | e. Please indicate how Ottawa derived the impact on billing kW from the OPA reported |
| 22 | results. |
| 23 | |
| 24 25 | |
| 25 | Pearance |
| 20 | <u>Response:</u> |
| 21 28 | a Hydro Ottawa Limited ("Hydro Ottawa") has undated Table 2 of Exhibit D.5.2 to |
| 20 29 | include the kW/h's for GS-50 Customer Class Below is a copy of the updated table |
| 30 | |
| 31 | |
| 51 | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:D-5-2 (4-VECC#37) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 6

Exhibit D-5-2, Table 2 - Updated July 31, 2015

| | Net Incremental Peak Demand Savings | Net Incremental Peak Demand Savings | Net Incremental Peak Demand Savings | Net Incremental Energy Savings (kWh) - | Net Incremental Energy Savings (kWh) - | Net Incremental Energy Savings (kWh) - |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Customer Class - Program / Initiative | (kW) - 2011 | (kW) - 2012 | (kW) - 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Residential | | | | | | |
| | | | | 1,754,416 | 1,040,845 | 681,703 |
| Appliance Exchange | | | | 22,795 | 43,987 | 70,563 |
| HVAC Incentives | | | | 4,496,665 | 2,946,491 | 2,563,561 |
| Booklet | | | | 1,120,034 | 78,235 | 431,268 |
| Bi-Annual Retailer Event | | | | 1,766,511 | 1,498,537 | 961,278 |
| Residential Demand Response | | | | 8,266 | 55,891 | 48,406 |
| Residential New Construction | | | | 0 | 0 | 16,548 |
| Residential Program Total | - | - | - | 9,168,688 | 5,663,987 | 4,773,328 |
| GS< 50 kW | | | | | | |
| Direct Install Lighting | | | | 3,979,730 | 3,428,701 | 3,655,868 |
| Small Commercial Demand Response | | | | 16 | 120 | 46 |
| GS< 50 kW Total | - | - | - | 3,979,746 | 3,428,821 | 3,655,914 |
| Commercial | | | | | | |
| Retrofit - Business | 35,933 | 66,187 | 58,770 | | | |
| New Construction | 0 | 163 | 1,500 | | | |
| Energy Audit | 311 | 1,553 | 5,076 | | | |
| Demand Response 3 - Business | 7,163 | 7,723 | 18,245 | | | |
| Energy Manager | 0 | 0 | 1,303 | | | |
| Retrofit - Industrial | 973 | | | | | |
| Demand Response 3 - Industrial | 0 | 503 | 2,266 | | | |
| Home Assistance Program Electricity Retrofit Incentive | 0 | 316 | 380 | | | |
| Program | 11,530 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Construction | 4,378 | 9,686 | 3,432 | | | |
| Commercial Total | 60,288 | 86,131 | 90,971 | - | - | - |
| | 60.288 | 86 131 | 90 971 | 13 148 434 | 9 092 808 | 8 429 242 |

¹

2 b. The kWh's reported in Table 2 of Exhibit D-5-2 are net figures from the OPA report,

3 attachment D-5(A), Table 1: Hydro Ottawa Initiative and Program Level Net Savings

4 by Year (Scenario 1) and Table 2: Adjustments to Hydro Ottawa Limited Net Verified

5 Results due to Variances on pages 4 and 5 respectively. Hydro Ottawa has



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:D-5-2 (4-VECC#37) ORG ORIGINAL Page 3 of 6

| 1 | | calculated the figures in Table 2 by netting the Results and Adjustments by year by |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | | Customer Class and Program please see the response to part c of this question for a |
| 3 | | more detailed breakdown. |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | C. | The schedules below provide details as to how the kWh's and kW's in Table 2 are |
| 6 | | derived. Note the kW's, being the highest peak in the year are multiplied by 12 as |
| 7 | | the customer would save this amount of demand on each of the 12 monthly bills in a |
| 8 | | given year. |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| 29 | | |
| 30 | | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:D-5-2 (4-VECC#37) ORG ORIGINAL Page 4 of 6

IR - VECC#37 part c - Schedule Reported Results from Table 2 in Exhibit D-5-2 as set out in OPA Tables 1 and 2 - kWh's

| Customer Class - Program / Initiative | | Net Incremental Energy Savings (kWh) - 2011 | Net Incremental Energy Savings (kWh) - 2012 | Net Incremental Energy Savings (kWh) - 2013 |
|--|-----------|---|---|---|
| Residential | | | | |
| Appliance Retirement Total as per Table 2 - Exhibit D-5-2 (OPA Table 1 - No Adjustments) | | 1,754,416 | 1,040,845 | 681,703 |
| Appliance Exchange Total as per Table 2 - Exhibit D-5-2 (OPA Table 1 - No Adjustments) | | 22,795 | 43,987 | 70,563 |
| HVAC Incentives | - | | | |
| OPA Table 1 - Net Incremental Energy Savings (kWh) | | 5,465,411 | 2,835,583 | 2,563,561 |
| OPA Table 2 - Adjustments to Net Incremental Energy Savings (kWh) | | -968,746 | 110,909 | 0 |
| HVAC Incentives Total as per Table 2 - Exhibit D-5-2 | Ī | 4,496,665 | 2,946,491 | 2,563,561 |
| Conservation Instant Coupon Booklet | - | | | |
| OPA Table 1 - Net Incremental Energy Savings (kWh) | | 1,104,610 | 78,235 | 431,268 |
| OPA Table 2 - Adjustments to Net Incremental Energy Savings (kWh) | | 15,424 | 0 | 0 |
| Conservation Instant Coupon Booklet Total as per Table 2 - Exhibit D-5-2 | | 1,120,034 | 78,235 | 431,268 |
| Bi-Annual Retailer Event | - | | | |
| OPA Table 1 - Net Incremental Energy Savings (kWh) | | 1,644,342 | 1,498,537 | 961,278 |
| OPA Table 2 - Adjustments to Net Incremental Energy Savings (kWh) | | 122,169 | 0 | 0 |
| Bi-Annual Retailer Event Total as per Table 2 - Exhibit D-5-2 | | 1,766,511 | 1,498,537 | 961,278 |
| Residential Demand Response Total as per Table 2 - Exhibit D-5-2 (OPA Table 1 - No Adjustments) | 1 | 8,266 | 55,891 | 48,406 |
| Residential New Construction Total as per Table 2 - Exhibit D-5-2 (OPA Table 1 - No Adjustments) | | 0 | 0 | 16,548 |
| Residential Program Total | | 9,168,688 | 5,663,987 | 4,773,328 |
| GS< 50 kW | - | | | · · |
| Direct Install Lighting Total | | | | |
| OPA Table 1 - Net Incremental Energy Savings (kWh) | | 3,870,853 | 3,365,166 | 3,655,868 |
| OPA Table 2 - Adjustments to Net Incremental Energy Savings (kWh) | | 108,877 | 63,534 | 0 |
| Direct Install Lighting Total as per Table 2 - Exhibit D-5-2 - Updated July 31, 2015 | | 3,979,730 | 3,428,701 | 3,655,868 |
| Small Commercial Demand Response Total as per Table 2 - Exhibit D-5-2 (OPA Table 1 - No Adjustments) | 1 | 16 | 120 | 46 |
| GS< 50 kW Total | | 3,979,746 | 3,428,821 | 3,655,914 |
| GR/ | AND TOTAL | 13,148,434 | 9,092,808 | 8,429,242 |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:D-5-2 (4-VECC#37) ORG ORIGINAL Page 5 of 6

IR - VECC#37 part c - Schedule Reported Results from Table 2 in Exhibit D-5-2 as set out in OPA Tables 1 and 2 - kW's

| Net Incremental N | Not Incromontal | Net |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|
| Peak Demand | Peak Demand | Peak Demand |
| Customer Class - Program / Initiative 2011 | Savings (kW) - 2012 | Savings (kW) - 2013 |
| Commercial | | |
| Retrofit - Business | | |
| OPA Table 1 - Net Incremental Energy Savings (kW) monthly x 12 = annual 33,989 | 61,395 | 58,770 |
| OPA Table 2 - Adjustments to Net Incremental Energy Savings (kW) monthly x 12 = annual 1,944 | 4,792 | 0 |
| Retrofit - Business Total as per Table 2 - Exhibit D-5-2 35,933 | 66,187 | 58,770 |
| New Construction Total as per Table 2 - Exhibit D-5-2 (OPA Table 1 (kW) monthly x 12 = annual; No Adjustments) 0 | 163 | 1,500 |
| Energy Audit | | |
| OPA Table 1 - Net Incremental Energy Savings (kW) monthly x 12 = annual 0 | 1,491 | 5,076 |
| OPA Table 2 - Adjustments to Net Incremental Energy Savings (kW) monthly x 12 = annual311 | 62 | 0 |
| Energy Audit Total as per Table 2 - Exhibit D-5-2 311 | 1,553 | 5,076 |
| Demand Response 3 - Business Total as per Table 2 - Exhibit D-5-2 (OPA Table 1 (kW) monthly x 12 = annual; No Adjustments) 7 163 | 7 723 | 18 245 |
| Energy Manager Total as ner Table 2 - Exhibit D-5-2 (OPA Table 1 (kW) monthly x 12 - annual: No Adjustments) | 1,125 | 1 303 |
| Retrofit - Industrial Total as per Table 2 - Exhibit D-5-2 (OPA Table 1 (kW) monthly x 12 - annual: No Adjustments) 973 | 0 | 1,000 |
| Demand Response 3 - Industrial Total as per Table 2 - Exhibit D-5-2 (OPA Table 1 (kW) monthly x 12 = annual; No | Ŭ | Ŭ |
| Adjustments) Home Assistance Program Total as per Table 2 - Exhibit D-5-2 (OPA Table 1 (kW) monthly x 12 - annual: No | 503 | 2,266 |
| Adjustments) | 316 | 380 |
| Electricity Retrofit Incentive Program | | |
| OPA Table 1 - Net Incremental Energy Savings (kW) monthly x 12 = annual 11,205 | 0 | 0 |
| OPA Table 2 - Adjustments to Net Incremental Energy Savings (kW) monthly x 12 = annual 325 | 0 | 0 |
| Electricity Retrofit Incentive Program Total as per Table 2 - Exhibit D-5-2 11,530 | 0 | 0 |
| High Performance New Construction | | |
| OPA Table 1 - Net Incremental Energy Savings (kW) monthly x 12 = annual 3,858 | 9,686 | 3,432 |
| OPA Table 2 - Adjustments to Net Incremental Energy Savings (kW) monthly x 12 = annual 521 | 0 | 0 |
| High Performance New Construction Total as per Table 2 - Exhibit D-5-2 4,378 | 9,686 | 3,432 |
| Commercial Total 60,288 | 86,131 | 90,971 |
| | 86 131 | 90 971 |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:D-5-2 (4-VECC#37) ORG ORIGINAL Page 6 of 6

- d. The kW values reported by the OPA represent an annual peak demand. For the
 purposes of determining total demand savings this amount is multiplied by 12
 monthly billing periods.
- 4
- 6 Please refer to the schedule in response to part c and d of this question for details on
 how the impact on billing kW's is derived from the OPA report.
- 7 8



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:D-5-2 (4-VECC#38) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 4

| 1 | <u>Resp</u> | onse to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #38 |
|----|--------------|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | Refere | ence E-D/T5/S2- Table 3 |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | <u>Quest</u> | <u>ion #38</u> |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | a. | Please provide a schedule that sets out the total CDM adjustments included in |
| 8 | | the load forecasts underpinning Ottawa's approved rates for 2011 through 2013 |
| 9 | | and provide references to the relevant rate application filing supporting each. In |
| 10 | | each case, please indicate program years the adjustments were meant to |
| 11 | | capture (e.g. did the load forecast underpinning the 2012 (and 2013) approved |
| 12 | | rates included a manual adjustment for the impact of 2011 CDM programs?). |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | b. | Please provide a schedule that sets out the breakdown of the total adjustments |
| 15 | | per part (a) by customer class as incorporated in the load forecast for each of |
| 16 | | these years and provide references to the relevant rate applications. |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | C. | Please provide a schedule that calculates , by year (2011-2013) and customer |
| 19 | | class, the difference between the actual reported impact for 2011-2013 CDM |
| 20 | | results from programs implemented in 2011-2013 and the CDM adjustment |
| 21 | | incorporate in the load forecast underpinning the year's rates. |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | d. | Please reconcile results per part c) with the units of energy or demand used in |
| 24 | | Table 3. |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | <u>Respo</u> | <u>onse:</u> |
| 28 | | |
| 29 | a. | Hydro Ottawa Limited ("Hydro Ottawa") did not have any CDM adjustments in |
| 30 | | approved rates for 2011, please refer to EB-2007-0713 Exhibit C1-2-1 Section |
| 31 | | 3.0. In 2012 CDM adjustments were initially 165 GW's during the rate application |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:D-5-2 (4-VECC#38) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 4

1 proceedings this was reduced by 75 GW's to arrive at an adjusted amount of 90 2 GW's. Please refer to EB-2011-0054 Hydro Ottawa's Settlement Agreement 3 Filed November 1, 2011, Section 3.3, page 13 for further details. The 2013 CDM 4 adjustments are maintained the same as the 2012 CDM adjustments. 5 b. The following schedule outlines the total adjustments by customer class related 6 7 to billing determents for each of these years. Please refer to part a) of this 8 question for the references to the relevant rate applications. 9 10

| Class | MWh's/kW's | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
|----------------|------------|------|---------|---------|
| Residential | MWh's | - | 20,681 | 20,681 |
| GS< 50 | MWh's | - | 6,993 | 6,993 |
| UMSL | MWh's | - | 158 | 158 |
| TOTAL | MWh's | - | 27,832 | 27,832 |
| Streetlighting | kW's | - | 3,758 | 3,758 |
| Commercial | kW's | - | 319,193 | 319,193 |
| TOTAL | kW's | - | 322,951 | 322,951 |

CDM Forecast by Customer Class

11

- 12
- 13
- 14 15

16

17

c. Please refer to the Lost Revenue table below for a schedule that calculates, by year (2011-2013) and customer class, the difference between the actual reported impact for 2011-2013 CDM results from programs implemented in 2011-2013 and the CDM adjustment incorporate in the load forecast underpinning the year's rates.

18 19

Lost Revenue by Class (2011 to 2013)



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:D-5-2 (4-VECC#38) ORG ORIGINAL Page 3 of 4

| | | 2011 | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| Customer Class | Demand (kW) or Energy (kWh) | Original CDM built into forecast (units) [a] | Units of Demand or Energy [b] | Variable Rate ¹ [c] | Original CDM built into forecast (\$) [d = a x c] | Actual Lost Revenue (\$) [e = b x c] | Lost Revenue [f = d - e] |
| Residential | kWh | 0 | 9,168,688 | \$0.0204 | \$0 | \$187,041 | (187,041) |
| GS< 50 kW | kWh | 0 | 3,979,746 | \$0.0182 | \$0 | \$72,564 | (72,564) |
| Commercial | kWh | 0 | | | | | 0 |
| Unmetered | kWh | 0 | 0 | \$0.0197 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 |
| Streetlighting | kWh | 0 | 0 | | | | 0 |
| Sub-Total | | 0 | 13,148,434 | | \$0 | \$259,605 | \$(\$259,605) |
| Commercial | kW | 0 | 60,288 | \$2.8557 | \$0 | \$172,163 | (172,163) |
| Streetlighting | kW | 0 | 0 | \$3.3916 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 |
| Sub-Total | | 0 | 60,288 | | \$0 | \$172,163 | \$(\$172,163) |
| TOTAL | | | | | \$0 | \$431,768 | \$(431,768) |
| | | | | | 2012 | | |
| Customer Class | Demand (kW) or | Original CDM built into | Units of | | Original CDM | | |
| | Energy (kWh) | forecast (units) [a] | Demand or Energy [b] | Variable Rate ¹ [c] | built into forecast (\$) [d = a x c] | Actual Lost Revenue (\$) [e = b x c] | Lost Revenue [f = d - e] |
| Residential | kWh | forecast (units) [a] 22,228,164 | Demand or Energy [b] 14,824,409 | Variable Rate ¹ [c] \$0.0231 | built into forecast (\$) [d = a x c] \$513,174 | Actual Lost Revenue (\$) [e = b x c] \$342,246 | Lost Revenue [f = d - e] 170,928 |
| Residential GS< 50 kW | kWh kWh | forecast (units) [a] 22,228,164 6,993,000 | Demand or Energy [b] 14,824,409 7,408,550 | Variable Rate ¹ [c] \$0.0231 \$0.0203 | built into forecast (\$) [d = a x c] \$513,174 \$141,958 | Actual Lost Revenue (\$) [e = b x c] \$342,246 \$150,394 | Lost Revenue [f = d - e] 170,928 (8,436) |
| Residential GS< 50 kW Commercial | kWh kWh kWh kWh | forecast (units) [a] 22,228,164 6,993,000 44,653,660 | Demand or Energy [b] 14,824,409 7,408,550 | Variable Rate ¹ [c] \$0.0231 \$0.0203 | built into forecast (\$) [d = a x c] \$513,174 \$141,958 | Actual Lost Revenue (\$) [e = b x c] \$342,246 \$150,394 | Lost Revenue [f = d - e] 170,928 (8,436) |
| Residential GS< 50 kW Commercial Unmetered | kWh kWh kWh kWh kWh | forecast (units) [a] 22,228,164 6,993,000 44,653,660 169,801 | Demand or Energy [b] 14,824,409 7,408,550 | Variable Rate ¹ [c] \$0.0231 \$0.0203 \$0.0211 | built into forecast (\$) [d = a x c] \$513,174 \$141,958 \$3,583 | Actual Lost Revenue (\$) [e = b x c] \$342,246 \$150,394 \$0 | Lost Revenue [f = d - e] 170,928 (8,436) 3,583 |
| Residential GS< 50 kW Commercial Unmetered Streetlighting | kWh kWh kWh kWh kWh kWh | forecast (units) [a] 22,228,164 6,993,000 44,653,660 169,801 402,528 | Demand or Energy [b] 14,824,409 7,408,550 0 | Variable Rate ¹ [c] \$0.0231 \$0.0203 \$0.0211 | built into forecast (\$) [d = a x c] \$513,174 \$141,958 \$3,583 | Actual Lost Revenue (\$) [e = b x c] \$342,246 \$150,394 \$0 | Lost Revenue [f = d - e] 170,928 (8,436) 3,583 |
| Residential GS< 50 kW Commercial Unmetered Streetlighting Sub-Total | kWh kWh kWh kWh kWh kWh | forecast (units) [a] 22,228,164 6,993,000 44,653,660 169,801 402,528 74,447,153 | Demand or Energy [b] 14,824,409 7,408,550 0 22,232,960 | Variable Rate ¹ [c] \$0.0231 \$0.0203 \$0.0211 | built into forecast (\$) [d = a x c] \$513,174 \$141,958 \$3,583 \$658,715 | Actual Lost Revenue (\$) [e = b x c] \$342,246 \$150,394 \$0 \$492,640 | Lost Revenue [f = d - e] 170,928 (8,436) 3,583 \$166,075 |
| Residential GS< 50 kW Commercial Unmetered Streetlighting Sub-Total Commercial | kWh kWh kWh kWh kWh kWh kWh | forecast (units) [a] 22,228,164 6,993,000 44,653,660 169,801 402,528 74,447,153 319,193 | Demand or Energy [b] 14,824,409 7,408,550 0 22,232,960 139,255 | Variable Rate ¹ [c] \$0.0231 \$0.0203 \$0.0211 \$3.3690 | built into forecast (\$) [d = a x c] \$513,174 \$141,958 \$3,583 \$3,583 \$658,715 \$1,075,358 | Actual Lost Revenue (\$) [e = b x c] \$342,246 \$150,394 \$0 \$492,640 \$469,150 | Lost Revenue [f = d - e] 170,928 (8,436) 3,583 \$166,075 606,207 |
| Residential GS< 50 kW Commercial Unmetered Streetlighting Sub-Total Commercial Streetlighting | kWh kWh kWh kWh kWh kWh kWh kWh | forecast (units) [a] 22,228,164 6,993,000 44,653,660 169,801 402,528 74,447,153 319,193 3,758 | Demand or Energy [b] 14,824,409 7,408,550 0 22,232,960 139,255 0 | Variable Rate ¹ [c] \$0.0231 \$0.0203 \$0.0211 \$3.3690 \$3.8880 | built into forecast (\$) [d = a x c] \$513,174 \$141,958 \$3,583 \$3,583 \$658,715 \$1,075,358 \$14,611 | Actual Lost Revenue (\$) [e = b x c] \$342,246 \$150,394 \$0 \$492,640 \$469,150 \$0 | Lost Revenue [f = d - e] 170,928 (8,436) 3,583 \$166,075 606,207 14,611 |
| Residential GS< 50 kW Commercial Unmetered Streetlighting Sub-Total Streetlighting Sub-Total | kWh kWh kWh kWh kWh kWh kWh kW | forecast (units) [a] 22,228,164 6,993,000 44,653,660 169,801 402,528 74,447,153 319,193 3,758 322,951 | Demand or Energy [b] 14,824,409 7,408,550 0 22,232,960 139,255 0 139,255 | Variable Rate ¹ [c] \$0.0231 \$0.0203 \$0.0211 \$3.3690 \$3.8880 | built into forecast (\$) [d = a x c] \$513,174 \$141,958 \$3,583 \$3,583 \$4,583 \$1,075,358 \$14,611 \$1,089,969 | Actual Lost Revenue (\$) [e = b x c] \$342,246 \$150,394 \$0 \$492,640 \$469,150 \$0 \$469,150 | Lost Revenue [f = d - e] 170,928 (8,436) 3,583 \$166,075 606,207 14,611 \$620,819 |

¹ Variable Rate Rounded to 4 decimal places

'


Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:D-5-2 (4-VECC#38) ORG ORIGINAL Page 4 of 4

| | | | | | 2013 | | |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|
| Customer Class | Demand (kW) or Energy (kWh) | Original CDM built into forecast (units) [a] | Units of Demand or Energy [b] | Variable Rate ¹ [c] | Original CDM built into forecast (\$) [d = a x c] | Actual Lost Revenue (\$) [e = b x c] | Lost Revenue [f = d - e] |
| Residential | kWh | 22,228,164 | 19,541,846 | \$0.0228 | \$506,802 | \$445,554 | 61,248 |
| GS< 50 kW | kWh | 6,993,000 | 11,064,344 | \$0.0204 | \$142,657 | \$225,713 | (83,055) |
| Commercial | kWh | 44,653,660 | | | | | |
| Unmetered | kWh | 169,801 | 0 | \$0.0213 | \$3,617 | \$0 | 3,617 |
| Streetlighting | kWh | 402,528 | | | | | |
| Sub-Total | | 74,447,153 | 30,606,190 | | \$653,076 | \$671,267 | \$(\$18,191) |
| Commercial | kW | 319,193 | 222,001 | \$3.3654 | \$1,074,223 | \$747,131 | 327,092 |
| Streetlighting | kW | 3,758 | 0 | \$3.8939 | \$14,633 | \$0 | 14,633 |
| Sub-Total | | 322,951 | 222,001 | | \$1,088,856 | \$747,131 | \$341,725 |
| TOTAL | | | | | \$1,741,932 | \$1,418,398 | \$ 323,534 |

¹ Variable Rate Rounded to 4 decimal places

1

2 3 d. Please refer to the response to part c) of this question to reconcile results per

part c) with the units of energy or demand.



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:D-5-2 (4-VECC#39) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 3

| 1 | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #39 |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Reference E-D/T5/S2 – Tables 2 and 3 Appendix D-5(A) |
| 4 | EB-2014-0099, Exhibit 4, Appendix 4-N, pg. 3 |
| 5 | |
| 6 | Question #39 |
| 7 | |
| 8 | a. Does Ottawa agree that the kW values reported for Demand Response programs |
| 9 | represent kW under contract and that the contracted kW may not have been exercised in |
| 10 | each month of the actual years in question, if at all? If not, why not? |
| 11 | |
| 12 | b. Like many other electricity distributors, North Bay Hydro Distribution Limited |
| 13 | contracted with a 3rd party (in their case IndEco Strategic Consulting Inc.) to perform the |
| 14 | LRAMVA calculations for its recent COS Application. In its Report (referenced above), |
| 15 | IndEco offered the following explanation for excluding the kW impact of Demand |
| 16 | Response Programs from the LRAMVA calculations: |
| 17 | For customer classes where the LDC charges for distribution based on |
| 18 | the customer's peak monthly demand (kW in the month), the system |
| 19 | peak reductions are only partially relevant. For initiatives like lighting |
| 20 | upgrades in businesses operating during normal business hours, the |
| 21 | peak demand reductions are likely to be maintained throughout the |
| 22 | year, including during the customer's monthly peaks, and so may be |
| 23 | used to estimate lost revenue. For other programs, in particular demand |
| 24 | response programs, the customer's monthly peak may not correspond |
| 25 | to the system's peak. Further, even if they are coincident, if a demand |
| 26 | response event is called, and the customer's monthly peak is shaved, it |
| 27 | is likely that the customer's second highest peak in the month is only |
| 28 | slightly less than their highest peak. Thus, the impact on distribution |
| 29 | revenues of the demand response program is likely to be minimal, and |
| 30 | is assumed to have zero impact on lost load. |
| 31 | Thus, no distribution revenues are estimated to be lost from large |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:D-5-2 (4-VECC#39) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 3

| 1 | 9 | general service customers' participation in demand response programs. |
|----|--------|---|
| 2 | Does | Ottawa concur with this rationale and agree that the impact of demand response |
| 3 | progra | ms should be excluded? If not, why not? |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | Respo | onse: |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | a. | Hydro Ottawa Limited ("Hydro Ottawa") believes the KW values for Demand |
| 10 | | Response programs related to the LRAM calculation are under contract. As |
| 11 | | Hydro Ottawa is not managing the contract or its process, Hydro Ottawa cannot |
| 12 | | validate that the contracts are in place, when they are exercised or how often |
| 13 | | they are exercised as it is not privy to all these details. |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | b. | According to the Ministry of Energy, "Demand response provides an excellent |
| 16 | | example of leveraging the economic value of conservation. More broadly, |
| 17 | | demand management initiatives provide price or financial incentives to |
| 18 | | residential, commercial and industrial users to shift or reduce their electricity |
| 19 | | usage away from peak periods. As well as benefiting the electricity system, |
| 20 | | demand response lowers energy costs for consumers and allows businesses to |
| 21 | | operate more competitively ¹ ". |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | In addition the Independent Electricity System Operator states "Demand |
| 24 | | response, which enables consumers to reduce their electricity consumption in |
| 25 | | response to prices and system needs, is playing an increasing role in Ontario's |
| 26 | | electricity sector. It has already had a significant impact on energy demand and |

¹ <u>http://www.energy.gov.on.ca/en/conservation-first/</u>



1

2

3

9

Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:D-5-2 (4-VECC#39) ORG ORIGINAL Page 3 of 3

helped reduced peaks, providing a valuable and cost-effective resource to the system.²"

Hydro Ottawa has calculated the LRAM amount according to the Guidelines for
Electricity Distributor Conservation and Demand Management EB-2012-0003.
As can be seen in Hydro Ottawa's preliminary 2014 CDM Report from the IESO,
provided in Interrogatory Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition
#27, demand response activities are occurring at least quarterly.

10 As stated in part a) of this response Hydro Ottawa is not privy to the details 11 around demand response programs under contract. As a result, Hydro Ottawa 12 cannot determine if the customer's second largest peak is significantly different 13 than what the customer's highest peak would have been. In addition, other 14 factors such as the Global Adjustment can impact customer behaviour which can 15 result in peak load reduction outside the CDM programs. As such, the measures 16 in determining the LRAM calculation are based on the CDM Report from the 17 IESO, formerly the OPA which track customers CDM activities.

² <u>http://iesoqa-public.sharepoint.com/Pages/Ontario's-Power-System/Evolving-the-Markets/Demand-Response.aspx</u>



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:D-5-2 (4-VECC#40) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 1

| 1 | <u>R</u> | esponse to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #40 |
|----|-----------|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | <u>Re</u> | ference E-D/T5/S2- Table 3 |
| 4 | | E-I/T8/S1, pg. 9 – Table 4 |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | <u>Qu</u> | lestion #40 |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | a. Please reconcile the differences between the LRAM amounts by customer |
| 9 | | class set out in Table 4 (E-I/T8/S1) with the results in Table 3 of E-D/T5/S2. |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | <u>Re</u> | esponse: |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | a. | The net total for lost revenue from 2011 to 2013 in Table 3 of Exhibit D-5-2 is \$678k, |
| 16 | | please refer to the total Table 1 of Exhibit D-5-2, these tables are based on a kWh |
| 17 | | and kW breakdown by class. Table 4 of Exhibit I-8-1 provides a similar total of |
| 18 | | \$679k, the difference is due to rounding of rates. This table is for the Rate Riders for |
| 19 | | Deferral and Variance accounts for the Lost Revenue Adjustment Mechanism |
| 20 | | ("LRAM"), the rate riders were based on customer numbers. |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | Please see Interrogatory Response to OEB Staff question #1 for updated rate riders. |
| 23 | | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:E-1-1 (5-VECC#41) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 1

| 1 | <u>Resp</u> | oonse to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #41 |
|----|--------------|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | <u>Refer</u> | ence: E-E/T1/S1/pg.1 |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | <u>Quest</u> | tion #41 |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | a. | Please provide Appendix 2-OA for 2013 and 2014. |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | <u>Respo</u> | onse: |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | a. | Please find the 2013 and 2014 information in attachment Att-VECC-Q41-A. |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |

Year: 2013 (Actual)

| Line No. | Particulars | Capitaliza | ation Ratio | Cost Rate | Return |
|-------------|------------------|------------|---------------|-----------|--------------|
| | | (%) | | (%) | |
| | Debt | | | | |
| 1 | Long-term Debt | 53.88% | \$356,445,274 | 4.91% | \$17,506,311 |
| 2 | Short-term Debt | 5.36% (1) | \$35,469,318 | 1.96% | \$695,553 |
| 3 | Total Debt | 59.2% | \$391,914,592 | 4.64% | \$18,201,864 |
| | Equity | | | | |
| 4 | Common Equity | 40.75% | \$269,594,000 | 9.44% | \$25,449,674 |
| 5 | Preferred Shares | | \$ - | | \$ - |
| 6 | Total Equity | 40.8% | \$269,594,000 | 9.44% | \$25,449,674 |
| 7 | Total | 100.0% | \$661,508,592 | 6.60% | \$43,651,538 |

Notes

4.0% unless an applicant has proposed or been approved for a different amount.

Year:

| Line No. | Particulars | Capitali | zation Ratio | Cost Rate | Return |
|-------------|---|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| | Debt | (%) | | (%) | |
| 1 2 3 | Long-term Debt Short-term Debt Total Debt | 56.66% 2.57% (1 59.2% | \$392,527,466 1) \$17,782,329 \$410,309,795 | 4.77% 2.14% 4.66% | \$18,742,582 \$380,720 \$19,123,302 |
| 4 5 6 | Equity Common Equity Preferred Shares Total Equity | 40.77% | \$282,465,000 \$ - \$282,465,000 | 9.87% 9.87% | \$27,879,296 \$ - \$27,879,296 |
| 7 | Total | 100.0% | \$692,774,795 | 6.78% | \$47,002,597 |

2014 (Actual)

<u>Notes</u>

(1)

4.0% unless an applicant has proposed or been approved for a different amount.

⁽¹⁾



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:E-1-1 (5-VECC#42) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 2

| 1 | <u>Res</u> | ponse to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #42 |
|----------|-------------|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | <u>Refe</u> | rence: E-E/T1 |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | Ques | stion #42 |
| 6 | | |
| 7 8 | a. | Please clarify the cost of capital adjustment formula: Is the proposal to recalculate the revenue requirement with an adjustment for changes to long-term |
| 9 | | debt (forecast and embedded) only in 2018? If so why has HOL included its |
| 10 | | forecast for 2019 and 2020? |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | b. | With respect to long-term debt what is the principle/rationale underpinning an |
| 13 | | adjustment to debt using a forecast as opposed to making the adjustment based |
| 14 | | on actual embedded debt at the time of the annual adjustment? |
| 15 16 | | |
| 17 | с. | What is the principle/rationale underpinning an adjustment to long-term debt, but |
| 18 | | not short -term debt or return on equity? |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | <u>Resp</u> | oonse: |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | a. Pl | ease refer to the response for OEB-Q26 regarding the cost of capital calculations |
| 26 | and t | he response to EP-39(a) regarding the cost of capital update process. The 5 year |
| 27 | custo | m rate application reflects the forecast for 2019 to 2020 to facilitate the |
| 28 | deter | mination of the 2019 & 2020 total revenue requirement and the resulting customer |
| 29 | rates | · |
| 3U 21 | h ^- | outlined in E. 1.1. contion 2.2. Long Torm Data in the channel of outproof financian |
| 31 22 | D. AS | added or actual debt). Hydro Ottowo amulates the 2000 Cost of Orsidel Desert |
| 32 | (emb | equed of actual debuy, myoro Ottawa emulates the 2009 Cost of Capital Report |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:E-1-1 (5-VECC#42) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 2

1 calculation, which uses both historical and forecast rates to calculate the cost of long 2 term debt. The calculation in the model uses the Consensus 10-year Government of 3 Canada forecast as a direct driver in determining the long term debt rate. As there is no 4 actual or embedded debt rate in place for future borrowing requirements, the 2009 5 Report calculation is used for future forecasts, providing the forecast rate using the data available at that time. By using this approach, Hydro Ottawa primarily uses actual 6 7 embedded debt but follows the deemed cost of capital calculation using the Consensus 8 Forecast for current and forecast debt in the absence of external financing at the Holding 9 Company. The adjustment in 2018 will reflect the, then current, actual / embedded cost 10 of long term debt as well as utilizing the deemed cost of capital calculation incorporating 11 the Consensus Forecast for the 2019 to 2020 borrowing requirements.

12

c. Hydro Ottawa's proposal is to "lock in" all of the Cost of Capital parameters (long
term debt rate, short term debt rate and return on equity) for the 3-year period, ending
December 31, 2018 as outlined in E-1-1. In 2018, Hydro Ottawa will review and update
all of its Cost of Capital parameters and these updated parameters would then remain in
effect for the 2-year period 2019 to 2020.

18



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:E-1-1 (5-VECC#43) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 1

| 1 | <u>Resp</u> | onse to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #43 |
|----|--------------|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | Refere | ence: E-E1/T1/S1 |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | <u>Quest</u> | <u>ion #43</u> |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | а | . For the table labeled 2015, please confirm the rates for all Promissory Notes |
| 8 | | which show a start date of July 15. |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | b | . Have all these notes been finalized? |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | <u>Respo</u> | onse: |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | a. | Please see interrogatory response to Energy Probe Q41 (a). |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | b. | Please see interrogatory response to Energy Probe-Q41 (a). |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:G-1-1 (7-VECC#44) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 2

| 1 | <u>Resp</u> | onse to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #44 |
|----------|--------------|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | Refere | ence: E-G/T1/S1, pg. 2 |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | <u>Quest</u> | <u>ion #44</u> |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | a. Please confirm that the revenue to cost ratio for Sentinel Lighting |
| 8 | | continues to be outside the Board's approved range even in 2020. |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | b. What would be the resulting revenue to cost ratios and total bill impacts for |
| 11 | | Sentinel Lighting if, starting 2017, the ratio was increased in equal |
| 12 | | amounts so as to reach 80% in 2020? |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 15 | | |
| 16 | Respo | onse. |
| 17 | <u></u> | |
| 18 | a. | Hydro Ottawa Limited confirms that Sentinel Lights is proposed to remain outside |
| 19 | | the Board approved range from 2016 through 2020. |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | b. | Please refer to attachment Att-VECC-Q44-A of this response for the total bill |
| 22 | | impacts if Sentinel Lights revenue-to-cost ratio was increased as per Table 1. |
| 23 | | Table 1 also shows a summary of rate impacts by year. |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | - | Table 1 - Rate Impacts - Sentinel Lighting (0.4KW) Within Range by 2020 |
| 26 | | |

| | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| Revenue to Cost Ratio | | 60% | 65% | 70% | 75% | 80% |
| Distribution Charge | \$ 6.63 | \$ 8.08 | \$ 8.96 | \$ 9.64 | \$ 10.28 | \$ 10.71 |
| Change in Distribution Charge | | \$ 1.44 | \$ 0.88 | \$ 0.69 | \$ 0.64 | \$ 0.42 |
| % Distribution Increase | | 21.73% | 10.92% | 7.65% | 6.64% | 4.12% |
| % Increase of Total Bill | | 6.91% | 4.65% | 3.16% | 2.86% | 1.84% |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:G-1-1 (7-VECC#44) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 2

1 Please see response to OEB Staff Interrogatory Question #1 for revised rates.

Customer Class: Sentinel Lights TOU / non-TOU: TOU

2016 Hydro Ottawa Limited Electricity Distribution Rate Application

| | 0.40 | KW | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|------------|--------|------------|---------------|----------|------------|-----------|------------|--------------|------------|------------------|-----------|------------|------------|-------|-------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|------------|------------|---------------|------------|-----------|
| | Current | Board-Appr | oved | | 2016 Proposed | | Impact 201 | 5 vs 2015 | 20 | 7 Proposed | Imp | act 2017 vs 2016 | _ | 201 | 8 Proposed | | Impact 2018 | 8 vs 2017 | 201 | 9 Proposed | Impact 20 | 119 vs 2018 | 20 | 20 Propose | · | Impact 202 | 0 vs 2019 |
| | Rate | volume | Charoe | Rate | volume | Charoe | | | Rate | volume Charl | ie . | | | Rate | volume Cr | haroe | | | Rate | volume Charoe | | | Rate | volume | Charoe | | |
| Charge Unit | (3) | 1 6 | (5) | (5) | 1 6 | (5) | S Change | % Change | \$ 2,200 | 1 6 (5) | 20 5 60 | 100 % Chang | e 16 | \$ 4 200 | 4 e | 4.29 | s Change | % Change | \$ 4640 | 1 6 1 64 | S Change | % Change | \$ 5,220 | | (5) | S Change | 12 72% |
| Monthly Service Charge Monthly | \$ 2.62 | 1 6 | | 515 | | 5 515 | e . | 24.007.0 | 4 0.000 | | | 0.14 4.01 | ~ | 4.100 | 1 2 | 410 | s | 20.2370 | \$ 4.040 | 1 5 . | e | 0.4170 | 9 0.200 | | | \$ 0.55 | 14.14.00 |
| Smart weter Rate Adden | | 1 5 | | | 1 \$ | | s . | | | 1 5 | ŝ | | | | 1 5 | | s . | | | 1 5 | s . | | | | | s . | 1 |
| | | 1 5 | | | 1 5 | | š i | | | 1 5 | , i i | | | | 1 5 | | š i | | | 1 5 | s i | | | 1.1 | | s i | 1 |
| | | 1 5 | | | 1 \$ | £ | š. | | | 1 5 | . š | | | | 1 5 | | š. | | | 1 5 . | š. | | | 1 | | š. | 1 |
| | | 1 5 | | | 1 \$ | ÷ . | š. | | | 1 5 | . š | | | | 1 5 | | š. | | | 1 5 - | š - | | | 1 | 5 - | š - | 1 |
| Distribution Volumetric Rate per kW | \$ 10.0361 | 0 \$ | 4.01 | \$ 12,0650 | 0 Ś | 4.83 | \$ 0.81 | 20.22% | \$ 13,9201 | 0 5 5 | .57 \$ | 0.74 15.38 | % | \$ 13,4093 | o ś | 5.36 | -\$ 0.20 | -3.67% | \$ 14,1092 | 0 \$ 5.64 | \$ 0.28 | 5.22% | \$ 13,6934 | 0 | 5 5.48 | \$ 0.17 | -2.95% |
| Smart Meter Disposition Rider | • | 94 \$ | | | 94 S | 5 . | s - | | | 94 S | - s | | | | 94 S | | s - | | | 94 \$ - | s - | | | 94 | 5 - | s - | |
| I RAM & SSM Rate Rider per kW | s . | 0 \$ | | s - | 0 \$ | 5 - | ŝ - | | | 0 \$ | - \$ | | | | 0 \$ | | ŝ - | | | 0 \$ - | s - | | | 0 | ś - | \$ - | 1 |
| | * | 94 \$ | | | 94 \$ | 5 - | s - | | | 94 \$ | - \$ | | | | 94 \$ | | s - | | | 94 \$ - | s - | | | 94 : | ś - | \$ - | 1 |
| | | 94 \$ | | | 94 \$ | 5 - | s - | | | 94 \$ | - \$ | | | | 94 \$ | | s - | | | 94 \$ - | s - | | | 94 : | ś - | \$ - | 1 |
| | | 94 \$ | - | | 94 \$ | 5 - | s - | | | 94 \$ | - \$ | | | | 94 \$ | - | s - | | | 94 \$ - | ş - | | | 94 : | ÷ • | \$ - | 1 |
| | | 94 \$ | - | | 94 \$ | 5 - | s - | | | 94 \$ | - \$ | | | | 94 \$ | - | s - | | | 94 \$ - | ş - | | | 94 : | ÷ • | \$ - | 1 |
| | | 94 \$ | - | | 94 \$ | 5 - | ş - | | | 94 \$ | - \$ | - | | | 94 \$ | - | ş - | | | 94 \$ - | s - | | | 94 | 4 | s - | 1 |
| | | 94 \$ | | | 94 \$ | 5 - | ş - | | | 94 \$ | - S | | | | 94 \$ | - | \$ - | | | 94 \$ - | s - | | | 94 : | | s - | 1 |
| | | 94 \$ | | | 94 \$ | 5 . | ş . | | | 94 \$ | . <u>ş</u> | | | | 94 \$ | | \$. | | | 94 \$. | ş . | | | 94 | 1 | \$. | 1 |
| Sub-Total A (excluding pass through) | | 3 | 6.63 | | 3 | 80.8 | \$ 1.44 | 21.73% | | \$ 5 | .95 \$ | 0.88 10.92 | 76 | | \$ | 9.64 | 2 0.69 | 7.65% | | \$ 10.28 | \$ 0.64 | 6.64% | | | , 10.71 | \$ 0.42 | 4.12% |
| Deterral variance Account per KW | s . | 0 \$ | | •\$ 0.2038 | 0.\$ | 80.0 | -\$ 0.08 | | | 0 \$ | - s | 0.08 -100.00 | % | | 0 \$ | | s - | | | 0 \$ - | ş - | | | 0 | ÷ | \$- | 1 |
| Discosition Rate Rider | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Disposition Rate Rider - Global | | 94 S | | s . | 94 S | 5. | s - | | | 94 S | - s | | | | 94 S | | s - | | | 94 S - | s - | | | 94 | 5 - | s - | 1 |
| Adjustment | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | 94 \$ | - | | 94 \$ | 5 - | s - | | | 94 \$ | - \$ | | | | 94 \$ | - | s - | | | 94 \$ - | ş - | | | 94 : | ÷ • | \$ - | 1 |
| | | 94 \$ | - | | 94 \$ | 5 - | s - | | | 94 \$ | - \$ | | | | 94 \$ | - | s - | | | 94 \$ - | ş - | | | 94 : | ÷ • | \$ - | 1 |
| Low Voltage Service Charge per kW | \$ 0.01785 | 0 \$ | 0.01 | \$ 0.01877 | 0 \$ | 5 0.01 | \$ 0.00 | 5.15% | \$ 0.01893 | 0 \$ 0 | .01 \$ | 0.00 0.85 | % | \$ 0.01898 | 0 \$ | 0.01 | \$ 0.00 | 0.26% | \$ 0.01899 | 0 \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.00 | 0.05% | \$ 0.01900 | 0 | , 0.01 | \$ 0.00 | 0.05% |
| Line Losses on Cost of Power | \$ 0.1021 | 3 \$ | 0.34 | \$ 0.1021 | 3 \$ | 5 0.32 | -\$ 0.02 | -5.59% | \$ 0.1021 | 3 \$ 0 | .32 \$ | - 0.00 | % | \$ 0.1021 | 3 \$ | 0.32 | ş - | 0.00% | \$ 0.1021 | 3 \$ 0.32 | ş - | 0.00% | \$ 0.1021 | 3 | 0.32 | s - | 0.00% |
| Smart Meter Entity Charge Monthly | <u>s</u> . | 1 3 | | <u>s</u> . | 1 \$ | \$ · | \$. | | <u>s</u> . | 1 \$ | . 3 | | _ | <u>s</u> | 1 \$ | | \$. | | <u>s</u> . | 13. | \$. | _ | <u>s</u> . | 1 | · · · | \$ · | <u> </u> |
| Sub-Total B - Distribution | | \$ | 6.99 | | \$ | \$ 8.33 | \$ 1.34 | 19.20% | | \$ 5 | .29 \$ | 0.96 11.57 | % | | \$ | 9.98 | \$ 0.69 | 7.38% | | \$ 10.62 | \$ 0.64 | 6.42% | | : | i 11.04 | \$ 0.42 | 3.99% |
| RTSR - Network per kW | \$ 2.1461 | 04 \$ | 0.86 | \$ 21461 | 0.4 \$ | 0.86 | s . | 0.00% | \$ 21461 | 04 5 0 | 86 8 | . 0.00 | 8 | \$ 2,1461 | 04 \$ | 0.86 | \$. | 0.00% | \$ 2,1461 | 04 \$ 0.86 | s . | 0.00% | \$ 21461 | 0.4 | 4 0.86 | \$. | 0.00% |
| RTSR - Line and | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | 1.1 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| Transformation Connection | \$ 1.2056 | 0.4 3 | 0.46 | \$ 1.2008 | 0.4 3 | \$ 0.46 | ÷ . | 0.00% | \$ 1.2006 | 0,4 5 0 | ,40 Ş | - 0.00 | 79 | \$ 1.2006 | U.+ 5 | 0.46 | ÷ . | 0.00% | a 1.2006 | U.4 3 U.40 | ş : | 0.00% | \$ 1.2000 | 0.4 | . 0.40 | ÷ ، | 0.00% |
| Sub-Total C - Delivery | | \$ | 8 33 | | 2 | 9.67 | \$ 134 | 16 11% | | \$ 10 | 63 5 | 0.96 9.97 | 36 | | \$ | 11.32 | \$ 0.69 | 6.45% | | \$ 11.95 | \$ 0.64 | 5.66% | | | 4 12.38 | \$ 0.42 | 3.54% |
| (including Sub-Total R) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - |
| Charge (MMSC) | \$ 0.0044 | 97 \$ | 0.43 | \$ 0.0044 | 97 \$ | 5 0.43 | -\$ 0.00 | -0.19% | \$ 0.0044 | 97 \$ 0 | .43 \$ | - 0.00 | % | \$ 0.0044 | 97 \$ | 0.43 | \$ - | 0.00% | \$ 0.0044 | 97 \$ 0.43 | ş - | 0.00% | \$ 0.0044 | 97 | <i>i</i> 0.43 | \$ - | 0.00% |
| Bural and Remote Rate per kWh | \$ 0.0013 | | | \$ 0.0013 | | | | | \$ 0.0013 | | | | | \$ 0.0013 | | | | | \$ 0.0013 | | | | \$ 0.0013 | | | | 1 |
| Protection (RRRP) | • • • • • • • | 97 \$ | 0.13 | • ••••• | 97 \$ | 5 0.13 | -\$ 0.00 | -0.19% | | 97 \$ 0 | .13 \$ | - 0.00 | % | • | 97 \$ | 0.13 | ş - | 0.00% | • • • • • • • • | 97 \$ 0.13 | ş - | 0.00% | • ••••• | 97 | , 0.13 | ş - | 0.00% |
| Standard Supply Service Charge Monthly | \$ 0.2500 | 1 \$ | 0.25 | \$ 0.2500 | 1 \$ | \$ 0.25 | ş - | 0.00% | \$ 0.2500 | 1 \$ 0 | .25 \$ | - 0.00 | % | \$ 0.2500 | 1 \$ | 0.25 | \$ - | 0.00% | \$ 0.2500 | 1 \$ 0.25 | ş - | 0.00% | \$ 0.2500 | 1 | i 0.25 | \$ - | 0.00% |
| Debt Retirement Charge (DRC) | \$ 0.0069 | 94 \$ | 0.65 | \$ 0.0069 | 94 \$ | \$ 0.65 | ş - | 0.00% | \$ 0.0069 | 94 \$ C | .65 \$ | - 0.00 | % | \$ 0.0069 | 94 \$ | 0.65 | ş - | 0.00% | \$ 0.0069 | 94 \$ 0.65 | ş - | 0.00% | \$ 0.0069 | 94 | / 0.65 | \$- | 0.00% |
| TOU - Off Peak | \$ 0.0800 | 60 \$ | 4.81 | \$ 0.0800 | 60 \$ | 5 4.81 | ş - | 0.00% | \$ 0.0800 | 60 \$ 4 | .81 \$ | - 0.00 | % | \$ 0.0800 | 60 \$ | 4.81 | ş - | 0.00% | \$ 0.0800 | 60 \$ 4.81 | s - | 0.00% | \$ 0.0800 | 60 | 4.81 | s - | 0.00% |
| TOU - Mid Peak | \$ 0.1220 | 1/ 5 | 2.06 | \$ 0.1220 | 1/ 5 | 2.06 | s - | 0.00% | \$ 0.1220 | 1/ 5 2 | .06 \$ | - 0.00 | % | \$ 0.1220 | 1/ 5 | 2.06 | 5 - | 0.00% | \$ 0.1220 | 17 \$ 2.06 | s . | 0.00% | \$ 0.1220 | 17 | , 206 | 5 . | 0.00% |
| TOU - On Peak | \$ 0.1610 | 1/ 3 | 2.72 | \$ 0.1610 | 1/ 3 | 2.72 | s . | 0.00% | \$ 0.1610 | 1/ 5 2 | .72 5 | - 0.00 | % | \$ 0.1610 | 1/ 5 | 2.72 | s . | 0.00% | \$ 0.1610 | 1/ 3 2/2 | s . | 0.00% | \$ 0.1610 | 1/ | 2.72 | s . | 0.00% |
| Energy - RPP - Tier 1 | \$ 0.0940 | 34 3 | 0.04 | \$ 0.0940 | 94 5 | 0.04 | · · | 0.00% | \$ 0.0940 | 34 3 0 | .04 3 | - 0.00 | 76 | \$ 0.0940 | 34 3 | 0.04 | 2 . | 0.00% | \$ 0.0940 | 24 3 0.04 | | 0.00% | \$ 0.0940 | 24 | 0.04 | · · | 0.00% |
| Energy - RPP - Lier 2 | S 0.1100 | 0 3 | | \$ 0.1100 | 0.3 | | ş . | | \$ 0.1100 | 0.3 | . ş | | | \$ 0.1100 | 0 \$ | | \$. | | \$ 0.1100 | 0 3 . | 3 . | | \$ 0.1100 | 0 | | а : | - |
| Total Bill on TOU (before Taxes) | | \$ | 19.38 | | \$ | 5 20.72 | \$ 1.34 | 6.91% | | \$ 21 | .69 \$ | 0.96 4.65 | % | | \$ | 22.37 | \$ 0.69 | 3.16% | | \$ 23.01 | \$ 0.64 | 2.86% | | | 23.44 | \$ 0.42 | 1.84% |
| HST | 13% | ş | 2.52 | 13% | 5 | 5 2.69 | \$ 0.17 | 6.91% | 13% | \$ 2 | .82 \$ | 0.13 4.65 | % | 13% | \$ | 2.91 | \$ 0.09 | 3.16% | 13% | \$ 2.99 | \$ 0.08 | 3 2.86% | 13% | | , 3.05 | \$ 0.06 | 1.84% |
| Total Bill (including | | 5 | 21.90 | | 3 | \$ 23.42 | \$ 1.51 | 6.91% | | \$ 24 | .51 \$ | 1.09 4.65 | % | | 3 | 25.28 | \$ 0.77 | 3.16% | | \$ 26.01 | \$ 0.72 | 2 2.86% | | | , 26.48 | \$ 0.48 | 1.84% |
| Ontario Clean Energy Benefit ' | | 5 | | | S | 5 | ş - | | | \$ | s | | | | \$ | | \$. | | | S - | \$ · | | | | <u> </u> | S - | 1 |
| Total Bill on TOUL (including OCEB) | | 5 | 21.90 | | s | 23.42 | \$ 1.51 | 6.91% | | S 24 | .51 IS | 1.09 4.65 | 76 | | s | z5.28 | » 0.77 | 3.16% | - | \$ 26.01 | \$ 0.72 | 2.86% | - | | 26.48 | 13 0,48 | 1.84% |
| Total Bill on RPP (before Taxes) | | \$ | 18.62 | | \$ | 5 19.96 | \$ 1.34 | 7.20% | | \$ 20 | .92 \$ | 0.96 4.83 | 26 | | \$ | 21.61 | \$ 0.69 | 3.28% | | \$ 22.25 | \$ 0.64 | 2.96% | | | 22.67 | \$ 0.42 | 1.90% |
| HST | 13% | \$ | 2.42 | 13% | \$ | \$ 2.59 | \$ 0.17 | 7.20% | 13% | \$ 2 | .72 \$ | 0.13 4.83 | % | 13% | \$ | 2.81 | \$ 0.09 | 3.28% | 13% | \$ 2.89 | \$ 0.08 | 2.96% | 13% | | 2.95 | \$ 0.06 | 1.90% |
| Total Bill including | 1 | \$ | 21.04 | 1 | \$ | 5 22.55 | \$ 1.51 | 7.20% | | \$ 23 | .64 \$ | 1.09 4.83 | % | 1 | \$ | 24.42 | \$ 0.77 | 3.28% | 1 | \$ 25.14 | \$ 0.72 | 2 2.96% | | | , 25.62 | \$ 0.48 | 1.90% |
| Ontario Clean Energy Benefit | | \$ | | 1 | S | | s . | | | \$ | . S | | | | S | | S - | | | 5 - | S - | | | | (| S - | |
| Total Bill on RPP (including OCEB) | | \$ | 21.04 | _ | \$ | 22.55 | \$ 1.51 | 7.20% | | \$ 23 | .64 \$ | 1.09 4.83 | 24 | | \$ | 24.42 | \$ 0.77 | 3.28% | | \$ 25.14 | \$ 0.72 | 2.96% | - | | 25.62 | \$ 0.48 | 1.90% |
| | | | | _ | - | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Loss Factor (%) | 3.5800% | | | 3.3800% | | | | | 3.3800% | | | | | 3.3800% | | | | | 3.3800% | | | | 3.3800% | | | | |

Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Exhibit H Tab 12 Schedule 1 Page 1 of 1



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:G-1-1 (5-VECC#45) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 1

| 1 | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #45 |
|--------|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Reference E-G/t1/S1, Appendix 2-P |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Question #45 |
| 6 | |
| 7 | a) Please explain why, when the ratio for Standby is significantly below 100%, |
| 8 9 | the Company is not proposing to move it closer to 100%. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | Response: |
| 13 | |
| 14 | Please see response to Ontario Energy Board Staff Interrogatory Question # 1 for |
| 15 | updated rates. |
| | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:G-1-1 (7-VECC#46) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 3

| 1 | <u>R</u> | esp | onse to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #46 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-----------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | <u>Re</u> | fere | ence: E-G/Elenchus Report, page 8 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | <u>Qu</u> | lest | ion #46 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | a. | Wł | nat is the impact on the allocation results for 2016 of using the 2013 interval | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | data for Large Use customer to establish the hourly load profile as opposed | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | sin | nply scaling the 2006 CAIF profile for the class? | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Pa | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 14 | Ne | spu | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | Fle | ench | hus assisted Hydro Ottawa Limited in the preparation of this response | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | | , 101 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | | a. | The Elenchus Report stated in error that the hourly load profiles prepared by | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | Hydro One for the 2006 CAIF were used for all classes except the Large Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | class. 2013 hourly data was available and used for both GS 1,500 to 4,999kW | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | and Large Use classes. Therefore the hourly load profiles prepared by Hydro | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | One were used for all classes except those two. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | Tables 1 indicates the cost allocations results as filed. Table 2 indicates the cost | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 25 | | | allocations should the 2006 CAIF load profiles be used for all classes. Table 3 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | indicates the impact of updating GS 1,500 to 4,999kW and Large Use classes | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 27 | | | using the 2013 Interval data. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 28 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 29 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2) 30 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 32 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:G-1-1 (7-VECC#46) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 3

Table 1 - Cost Allocation Results as Filed

| | | | Revenue at | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| | | Revenue | Status Quo | Revenue to |
| | Rate Base | Requirement | rates | Cost |
| Residential | 417,481,391 | 101,241,491 | 103,654,751 | 102.38% |
| GS <50 | 97,364,813 | 19,819,301 | 23,626,328 | 119.21% |
| GS 50 to 1,499 kW | 278,153,818 | 45,860,732 | 40,275,676 | 87.82% |
| GS 1,500 to 4,999 kW | 71,075,895 | 11,093,288 | 11,589,714 | 104.48% |
| Large Use | 48,601,907 | 7,272,098 | 6,454,912 | 88.76% |
| Street Light | 7,507,215 | 1,393,557 | 1,020,206 | 73.21% |
| Sentinel | 33,191 | 9,263 | 5,137 | 55.45% |
| Unmetered Scattered Load | 2,811,180 | 517,197 | 628,455 | 121.51% |
| Standby Power GS 1,500 to 4,999 | 276 455 | 60.000 | 12.060 | 22 459/ |
| kW | 276,455 | 62,223 | 13,969 | 22.45% |
| Total | 923,305,865 | 187,269,148 | 187,269,148 | |

3

1

2

4

Table 2 - Cost Allocation Results Using 2006 CAIF Load Profile for all Classes

5

| | | | Revenue at | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| | | Revenue | Status Quo | Revenue to |
| | Rate Base | Requirement | rates | Cost |
| Residential | 417,701,355 | 101,301,915 | 103,657,467 | 102.33% |
| GS <50 | 97,385,872 | 19,832,441 | 23,627,120 | 119.13% |
| GS 50 to 1,499 kW | 278,331,032 | 45,924,847 | 40,278,991 | 87.71% |
| GS 1,500 to 4,999 kW | 71,230,774 | 11,082,121 | 11,587,739 | 104.56% |
| Large Use | 48,018,375 | 7,143,020 | 6,449,957 | 90.30% |
| Street Light | 7,517,615 | 1,395,990 | 1,020,304 | 73.09% |
| Sentinel | 33,187 | 9,263 | 5,137 | 55.46% |
| Unmetered Scattered Load | 2,810,019 | 517,086 | 628,456 | 121.54% |
| Standby Power GS 1,500 to 4,999 | 277 625 | 62 467 | 12 079 | 22.200/ |
| kW | 277,030 | 02,407 | 13,970 | 22.30% |
| Total | 923,305,865 | 187,269,148 | 187,269,148 | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:G-1-1 (7-VECC#46) ORG ORIGINAL Page 3 of 3

Table 3 - Impact of Updated Load Profiles for GS > 1,500 to 4,999 and Large Use

1 2

| | | | Revenue at | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|------------|--|
| | | Revenue | Status Quo | Revenue to | |
| | Rate Base | Requirement | rates | Cost | |
| Residential | (219,964) | (60,424) | (2,716) | 0.06% | |
| GS <50 | (21,059) | (13,140) | (792) | 0.07% | |
| GS 50 to 1,499 kW | (177,214) | (64,115) | (3,314) | 0.12% | |
| GS 1,500 to 4,999 kW | (154,879) | 11,167 | 1,975 | (0.09%) | |
| Large Use | 583,532 | 129,078 | 4,954 | (1.53%) | |
| Street Light | (10,400) | (2,433) | (98) | 0.12% | |
| Sentinel | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0.00% | |
| Unmetered Scattered Load | 1,160 | 111 | (1) | (0.03%) | |
| Standby Power GS 1,500 to 4,999 | (1.100) | (245) | (0) | 0.07% | |
| kW | (1,180) | (245) | (9) | 0.07% | |
| Total | 0 | 0 | (0) | | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:G-1-1 (7-VECC#47) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 2

| 1 | <u>Resp</u> | onse to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #47 |
|----------|--------------|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | Refere | ence: Cost Allocation Models – Tab I5.2 |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | <u>Quest</u> | ion #47 |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | a. | Please explain how the weighting factors for Services were established. |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | b. | Please explain how the weighting factors for Billing & Collecting were |
| 10 | | established. |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | Respo | onse: |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | a. | Hydro Ottawa Limited ("Hydro Ottawa") used the Services weighting factors from |
| 17 | | its 2012 Cost of Service application. In 2012 Hydro Ottawa assessed the |
| 18 | | weighting factor and determined that the default values were still relevant. As |
| 19 | | Hydro Ottawa's standards for services have not materially changed since the |
| 20 | | factors were first established, Hydro Ottawa's has not changed the service |
| 21 | | weighing factors. |
| 22 | | Electronic control that a Ottown Limited in the annual time of this area and |
| 23 | D. | Elenchus assisted Hydro Ottawa Limited in the preparation of this response. |
| 24 25 | | Hydro Ottawa identified 22 billing and collecting related expense items. Each |
| 26 | | expense was allocated on the basis of customer count to the rate classes for |
| 27 | | which the expense was required to bill and collect. |
| 28 | | |
| 29 | | A distinction was made for customers on e-billing and customers on paper billing. |
| 30 | | Costs for Canada Post and Bill Printing were allocated based on the count of |
| 31 | | customers receiving paper bills, while the costs of e-billing were allocated on the |
| 32 | | basis of the count of customers on e-billing. |



1

Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:G-1-1 (7-VECC#47) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 2

- 2 The total costs allocated to each rate class were divided by the customer count to
- 3 arrive at the weighting factor.



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:H-1-1 (8-VECC#48) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 2

| 1 | <u>Respon</u> | se to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #48 |
|----|-----------------|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | <u>Referenc</u> | <u>ce:</u> E-H/T1/S1, pg. 3 |
| 4 | | E-H/T2/S1, pg. 1 |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | <u>Questior</u> | <u>n #48</u> |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | a. | Please indicate what the Residential Service charge for 2016 would be if |
| 9 | | the Residential revenue requirement was to be recovered entirely through |
| 10 | | a fixed charge. |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | b. | Please indicate what the 2016 Residential monthly service charge, would |
| 13 | | be assuming current fixed-variable split, was increased 1/4 of the way to |
| 14 | | this value. |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | C. | Please provide the resulting Residential bill impacts (i.e. the Residential |
| 17 | | tables in Appendix 2-W) if this service charge (per part (b)) was adopted |
| 18 | | and the variable charge decreased accordingly. |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | d. | Based on the most recent 12 months of billing data please indicate how |
| 21 | | many Residential customers fall into each of the following average |
| 22 | | monthly use categories: |
| 23 | | • 0-100 kWh |
| 24 | | • >100-250 kWh |
| 25 | | • >250-500 kWh |
| 26 | | • >500-800 kWh |
| 27 | | >800-1,000 kWh |
| 28 | | • >1,000-1,500 kWh |
| 29 | | • >1,500-2,000 kWh |
| 30 | | • >2,000 kWh |
| 31 | | |

Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:H-1-1 (8-VECC#48) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 2

| 1 | | |
|----|-------|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | Respo | onse: |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | a. | If the Originally submitted Residential revenue requirement for 2016 was |
| 6 | | recovered through a fully fixed charge the rate would be \$26.85 a month. |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | b. | If a quarter of the difference between a fully fixed rate of \$26.85 and the current |
| 9 | | 2015 fixed rate of \$9.67 was added to the current 2015 fixed rate, the monthly |
| 10 | | fixed charge would be \$13.97. |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | C. | Please refer to attachment Att-VECC-Q48-A for the 2016 bill impacts if the fixed |
| 13 | | rate in part b) was used and the variable rate adjusted accordingly. |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | d. | Please see response to Energy Probe Interrogatory Question #48 part b. |

| Customer Class: | Residential |
|-----------------|-------------|
| TOU / non-TOU: | TOU |
| | Consumption |

onsumption 100 kWh
May 1 - October 31 O November 1 - April 30 (Select this radio button for applications filed after Oct 3

| | | Current Board-Approved | | | | | 20 | 16 Proposed | | | | Impact 201 | | 6 vs 2015 | | |
|--|-------------|------------------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|----|-------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|---|------------|------|----------|
| | Charge Unit | | Rate | Volume | 0 | Charge | | | Rate | Volume | 0 | Charge | | ¢ () | 2000 | % Change |
| Monthly Sonvice Charge | Monthly | ¢ | (\$) | 1 | ¢ | 9.67 | | \$ | 13 9700 | 1 | ¢ | (2) | | \$ 01 | 4 30 | % Change |
| Smart Meter Rate Adder | wonuny | φ | 9.0700 | 1 | ŝ | | | Ψ | 10.3700 | 1 | ŝ | - | | ŝ | | 44.4770 |
| omart weter Mate Adder | | | | 1 | ŝ | | | | | 1 | ŝ | - | | ŝ | - | |
| | | | | 1 | ŝ | | | | | 1 | ŝ | - | | ŝ | - | |
| | | | | 1 | ŝ | | | | | 1 | ŝ | - | | ŝ | - | |
| | | | | 1 | ŝ | | | | | 1 | ŝ | - | | ŝ | - | |
| Distribution Volumetric Rate | ner kWh | \$ | 0.0234 | 100 | ŝ | 2.34 | | \$ | 0.0207 | 100 | ŝ | 2.07 | | -\$ | 0.27 | -11.54% |
| Smart Meter Disposition Rider | portan | Ψ | 0.0201 | 100 | ŝ | - | | Ŷ | 0.0201 | 100 | ŝ | - | | ŝ | - | |
| I RAM & SSM Rate Rider | ner kWh | \$ | | 100 | ŝ | - | | -\$ | 0.0003 | 100 | -\$ | 0.03 | | -\$ | 0.03 | |
| | portan | Ψ | | 100 | ŝ | - | | - | | 100 | ŝ | - | | ŝ | - | |
| | | | | 100 | ŝ | - | | | | 100 | \$ | - | | ŝ | - | |
| | | | | 100 | s | - | | | | 100 | s | - | | s | - | |
| | | | | 100 | ŝ | - | | | | 100 | ŝ | - | | ŝ | - | |
| | | | | 100 | ŝ | - | | | | 100 | ŝ | - | | ŝ | - | |
| | | | | 100 | ŝ | - | | | | 100 | \$ | - | | ŝ | - | |
| | | | | 100 | ŝ | - | | | | 100 | \$ | - | | ŝ | - | |
| Sub-Total A (excluding pass the | rough) | | | | \$ | 12.01 | 1 | | | | \$ | 16.01 | | \$ | 4.00 | 33.31% |
| Deferral/Variance Account | | \$ | - | 100 | ÷ | | 1 | ¢ | 0.0000 | 100 | ¢ | 0.00 | | ¢ | 0.00 | |
| Disposition Rate Rider | | | | 100 | Э | - | 1 | -⊅ | 0.0006 | 100 | -⊅ | 0.06 | | - þ | 0.06 | |
| | | | | 100 | \$ | - | | | | 100 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 100 | \$ | - | | | | 100 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 100 | \$ | - | | | | 100 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| Low Voltage Service Charge | per kWh | \$ | 0.00006 | 104 | \$ | 0.01 | | \$ | 0.00007 | 103 | \$ | 0.01 | | \$ | 0.00 | 16.44% |
| Line Losses on Cost of Power | | \$ | 0.1021 | 4 | \$ | 0.37 | | \$ | 0.1021 | 3 | \$ | 0.35 | | -\$ | 0.02 | -5.59% |
| Smart Meter Entity Charge | Monthly | \$ | 0.7900 | 1 | \$ | 0.79 | | \$ | 0.7900 | 1 | \$ | 0.79 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Sub-Total B - Distribution | | | | | \$ | 13.17 | | | | | \$ | 17.09 | | \$ | 3.92 | 29.76% |
| (includes Sub-Total A) | per kWb | ¢ | 0.0077 | 104 | ŝ | 0.80 | | ¢ | 0.0077 | 103 | ŝ | 0.80 | | .\$ | 0.00 | -0.19% |
| RTSR - Line and | perkvin | Ψ | 0.0077 | 104 | Ψ | 0.00 | | Ψ | 0.0077 | 100 | Ψ | 0.00 | | ÷ | 0.00 | -0.1370 |
| Transformation Connection | per kWh | \$ | 0.0042 | 104 | \$ | 0.44 | | \$ | 0.0042 | 103 | \$ | 0.43 | | -\$ | 0.00 | -0.19% |
| Sub-Total C - Delivery | | | | | • | 14.40 | | | | | ¢ | 10 22 | | ¢ | 2 02 | 27 20% |
| (including Sub-Total B) | | | | | € | 14.40 | | | | | • | 10.52 | | Ŷ | 5.52 | 21.20% |
| Wholesale Market Service | per kWh | \$ | 0.0044 | 104 | \$ | 0.46 | | \$ | 0.0044 | 103 | s | 0.45 | | -\$ | 0.00 | -0 19% |
| Charge (WMSC) | | | | | Ŷ | 0.10 | | | | 100 | Ť | 0.10 | | Ŷ | 0.00 | 0.1070 |
| Rural and Remote Rate | per kWh | \$ | 0.0013 | 104 | \$ | 0.13 | | \$ | 0.0013 | 103 | \$ | 0.13 | | -\$ | 0.00 | -0.19% |
| Protection (RRRP) | | - | | | Ĩ | | | | | | Ť | | | - | | |
| Standard Supply Service Charge | Monthly | \$ | 0.2500 | 1 | \$ | 0.25 | | \$ | 0.2500 | 1 | \$ | 0.25 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Debt Retirement Charge (DRC) | | \$ | 0.0069 | 100 | ¢ | 0.69 | | \$ | 0.0069 | 100 | ¢ | 0.69 | | 9 6 | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - Off Peak | | \$ | 0.0800 | 64 | ¢ | 5.12 | | \$ | 0.0800 | 64 | ¢ | 5.12 | | 9 6 | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - Mid Peak | | \$ | 0.1220 | 18 | 9 | 2.20 | | \$ | 0.1220 | 18 | 9 | 2.20 | | 9 6 | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - On Peak | | \$ | 0.1610 | 100 | ¢ ¢ | 2.90 | | Ð | 0.1610 | 10 | ф ф | 2.90 | | ф ф | - | 0.00% |
| Energy - RPP - Tier 1 Energy - RPP - Tier 2 | | Э С | 0.0940 | 100 | ф С | 9.40 | | ф Ф | 0.0940 | 100 | ф е | 9.40 | | ф Ф | - | 0.00% |
| Energy - KFF - Tiel 2 | | φ | 0.1100 | 0 | 9 | - | | φ | 0.1100 | 0 | 9 | - | _ | ş | - | |
| Total Bill on TOU (before Taxes |) | | | | \$ | 26.15 | | | | | \$ | 30.07 | | \$ | 3.92 | 14.98% |
| HST | | 1 | 13% | | \$ | 3.40 | 1 | | 13% | | \$ | 3.91 | | \$ | 0.51 | 14.98% |
| Total Bill (including HST) | | | | | \$ | 29.55 | | | | | \$ | 33.98 | | \$ | 4.43 | 14.98% |
| Ontario Clean Energy Benefit ¹ | | | | | -\$ | 2.96 | | | | | -\$ | 3.40 | | -\$ | 0.44 | 14.86% |
| Total Bill on TOU (including OCEB) | | | | | \$ | 26.59 | | | | | \$ | 30.58 | | \$ | 3.99 | 14.99% |
| Total Bill on RPP (before Taxes |) | 1 | | | \$ | 25.34 | | | | | \$ | 29.26 | | \$ | 3.92 | 15.46% |
| HST | | 1 | 13% | | \$ | 3.29 | 1 | | 13% | | \$ | 3.80 | | \$ | 0.51 | 15.46% |
| Total Bill (including HST) | | 1 | | | \$ | 28.63 | 1 | | | | \$ | 33.06 | | \$ | 4.43 | 15.46% |
| Ontario Clean Energy Benefit ¹ | | 1 | | | -\$ | 2.86 | 1 | | | | -\$ | 3.31 | | -\$ | 0.45 | 15.73% |
| Total Bill on RPP (including OC | EB) | | | | \$ | 25.77 | L | | | | \$ | 29.75 | | \$ | 3.98 | 15.43% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | |
| Loss Factor (%) | | _ | 3 5800% | 1 | | | | | 3 3800% | 1 | | | | | | |
| 2000 . 40101 (70) | | | 0.000070 | | | | | - | 0.0000 /0 | | | | | | | |

| Customer Class: | Residential |
|-----------------|-------------|
| TOU / non-TOU: | TOU |

Consumption 250 kWh
May 1 - October 31 O November 1 - April 30 (Select this radio button for applications filed after Oct 31)

| | | | Current Board-Approved | | | | | | 20 ⁻ | 16 Proposed | | | lm | pact 201 | 6 vs 2015 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|--------|------------------------|--------|--------|---------------|--|--------|-----------------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|-----------|
| | Charge Unit | | Rate | Volume | C | Charge | | | Rate (\$) | Volume | 0 | Charge | \$ (1) | ange | % Change |
| Monthly Service Charge | Monthly | ¢ | 9.6700 | 1 | s | 9.67 | | \$ | 13 9700 | 1 | s | 13.97 | \$ | 4 30 | 44 47% |
| Smart Meter Rate Adder | Monuny | Ψ | 5.0700 | 1 | ŝ | - | | Ŷ | 10.0700 | 1 | ŝ | - | ŝ | - | |
| | | | | 1 | ŝ | - | | | | 1 | ŝ | - | ŝ | - | |
| | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | | | 1 | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | | | 1 | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | | | 1 | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| Distribution Volumetric Rate | per kWh | \$ | 0.0234 | 250 | \$ | 5.85 | | \$ | 0.0207 | 250 | \$ | 5.18 | -\$ | 0.68 | -11.54% |
| Smart Meter Disposition Rider | | | | 250 | \$ | - | | | | 250 | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| LRAM & SSM Rate Rider | per kWh | \$ | - | 250 | \$ | - | | -\$ | 0.0003 | 250 | -\$ | 0.08 | -\$ | 0.08 | |
| | | | | 250 | \$ | - | | | | 250 | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 250 | ¢ | - | | | | 250 | ¢ | - | 3 | - | |
| | | | | 250 | 9 | - | | | | 250 | 9 | - | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 250 | ę | | | | | 250 | ę | | ę | - | |
| | | | | 250 | ŝ | _ | | | | 250 | ŝ | _ | ŝ | - | |
| | | | | 250 | ŝ | - | | | | 250 | ŝ | - | ŝ | - | |
| Sub-Total A (excluding pass thr | ough) | | | | \$ | 15.52 | | | | | \$ | 19.07 | \$ | 3.55 | 22.87% |
| Deferral/Variance Account | | \$ | - | 250 | ¢ | - | | .¢ | 0.0006 | 250 | \$ | 0.15 | | 0.15 | |
| Disposition Rate Rider | | | | 250 | φ | - | | φ | 0.0006 | 250 | φ. | 0.13 | -φ | 0.13 | |
| | | | | 250 | \$ | - | | | | 250 | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 250 | \$ | - | | | | 250 | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| Law Malla as Oracias Observa | | ~ | 0.00000 | 250 | 9 | - 0.02 | | ¢ | 0.00007 | 250 | 9 | | \$ | | 16 449/ |
| Low voltage Service Charge | per kvvn | Э С | 0.00006 | 259 | ф С | 0.02 | | ф С | 0.00007 | 200 | ф С | 0.02 | ¢ | 0.00 | -5 59% |
| Smart Meter Entity Charge | Monthly | ŝ | 0.7900 | 1 | ŝ | 0.79 | | ŝ | 0.7900 | 1 | ŝ | 0.79 | ŝ | - | 0.00% |
| Sub-Total B - Distribution | monuny | Ť | 0.1000 | | Ť | | | Ŷ | 0.1000 | | Ť | | | | |
| (includes Sub-Total A) | | | | | \$ | 17.24 | | | | | Ş | 20.59 | Ş | 3.35 | 19.44% |
| RTSR - Network | per kWh | \$ | 0.0077 | 259 | \$ | 1.99 | | \$ | 0.0077 | 258 | \$ | 1.99 | -\$ | 0.00 | -0.19% |
| RTSR - Line and | per kWh | \$ | 0.0042 | 259 | \$ | 1.09 | | \$ | 0.0042 | 258 | \$ | 1.09 | -\$ | 0.00 | -0.19% |
| Sub-Total C - Delivery | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (including Sub-Total B) | | | | | \$ | 20.32 | | | | | \$ | 23.67 | \$ | 3.35 | 16.46% |
| Wholesale Market Service | per kWh | \$ | 0.0044 | 250 | 6 | 4.44 | | \$ | 0.0044 | 259 | 6 | 4.4.4 | ¢ | 0.00 | 0.10% |
| Charge (WMSC) | | | | 259 | Э | 1.14 | | | | 258 | Э | 1.14 | -⊅ | 0.00 | -0.19% |
| Rural and Remote Rate | per kWh | \$ | 0.0013 | 250 | ¢ | 0.34 | | \$ | 0.0013 | 258 | ¢ | 0.34 | .¢ | 0.00 | -0 19% |
| Protection (RRRP) | | | | 200 | Ψ | 0.04 | | | | 200 | Ψ | 0.54 | ÷ | 0.00 | -0.1370 |
| Standard Supply Service Charge | Monthly | \$ | 0.2500 | 1 | \$ | 0.25 | | \$ | 0.2500 | 1 | \$ | 0.25 | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Debt Retirement Charge (DRC) | | \$ | 0.0069 | 250 | \$ | 1.74 | | \$ | 0.0069 | 250 | \$ | 1.74 | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - Off Peak | | \$ | 0.0800 | 160 | Э С | 12.80 | | \$ | 0.0800 | 160 | Э С | 12.80 | ъ е | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - Mid Peak | | ф Ф | 0.1220 | 45 | ę | 7 25 | | ¢ ¢ | 0.1220 | 45 | ę | 7 25 | ę | - | 0.00% |
| Energy - RPP - Tier 1 | | ş S | 0.0940 | 250 | ŝ | 23.50 | | s s | 0.0940 | 250 | ŝ | 23.50 | ŝ | _ | 0.00% |
| Energy - RPP - Tier 2 | | \$ | 0.1100 | 0 | \$ | | | \$ | 0.1100 | 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| Total Bill on TOLL (before Tours | \ \ | - | | | ¢ | 40.22 | | | | | ¢ | 52.66 | ¢ | 2.24 | 6 70%/ |
| HST | , | 1 | 1.20/ | | s S | 49.32 6.41 | | | 13% | | \$ | 6.85 | ŝ | 0.43 | 6.78% |
| Total Bill (including HST) | | | 1370 | | ŝ | 55 73 | | | 1070 | | ŝ | 59.51 | ŝ | 3.78 | 6 78% |
| | | | | | -\$ | 5 57 | | | | | -\$ | 5.95 | -\$ | 0.38 | 6.82% |
| Total Bill on TOLI (including OCEB) | | | | | s | 50.16 | | | | | S | 53 56 | s | 3 40 | 6.77% |
| | | | | | Ť. | | | | | | Ì | | | | 511170 |
| Total Bill on RPP (before Taxes) | | 1 | | | \$ | 47.28 | | | | | \$ | 50.62 | \$ | 3.34 | 7.07% |
| HST | | | 13% | | \$ | 6.15 | | | 13% | | \$ | 6.58 | \$ | 0.43 | 7.07% |
| Total Bill (including HST) | | 1 | | | Þ | 03.43 | | | | | \$ | or.21 | ¢ | 3.78 | 7.07% |
| Ontario Clean Energy Benefit | | | | | -⊅ | 5.34 | | | | | -3 | 5.72 | -3 | 0.38 | 7.12% |
| I otal BIII on RPP (including OC | EB) | | | | \$ | 48.09 | | | | | \$ | 51.49 | \$ | 3.40 | 7.06% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Loss Factor (%) | | | 3.5800% | | | | | | 3.3800% | | | | | | |

Customer Class: Residential

1

| TOU / non-TOU: | TOU | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|---------|---------|------------|--------|----------------|------|------|--------------------|-------------------|---------|--------------|-------|-----------|----------------|----------------------|
| | Consumption | | 500 | kWh 🔇 | | May 1 - Oc | tobe | r 3 | 1 O Nover | mber 1 - April 30 |) (Se | lect this ra | dio t | outton fo | or application | ns filed after Oct 3 |
| | | _ | Current | Board-Apr | orov | /ed | 1 | Г | 20' | 16 Proposed | - | | 1 | In | npact 201 | 6 vs 2015 |
| | Charge Unit | | Rate | Volume | 0 | charge | | | Rate | Volume | C | harge | | * * | hongo | % Change |
| Monthly Service Charge | Monthly | \$ | 9.6700 | 1 | \$ | 9.67 | | 9 | § 13.9700 | 1 | \$ | 13.97 | | \$ | 4.30 | 44.47% |
| Smart Meter Rate Adder | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 1 | \$ | | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| Distribution Volumetric Rate | per kWh | \$ | 0.0234 | 500 | ş | 11.70 | | 1 | \$ 0.020 <i>7</i> | 500 | \$ ¢ | 10.35 | | -5 ¢ | 1.35 | -11.54% |
| LRAM & SSM Rate Rider | per kWh | \$ | - | 500 | \$ | - | | -9 | 6 0.0003 | 500 | -\$ | 0.15 | | -\$ | 0.15 | |
| | | | | 500 | \$ | - | | | | 500 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 500 | \$ | - | | | | 500 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 500 | э S | - | | | | 500 | э S | - | | э S | - | |
| | | | | 500 | \$ | - | | | | 500 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 500 | \$ | - | | | | 500 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| Sub-Total A (avaluding pass the | ough) | - | | 500 | Ş | 21.37 | | ┝ | | 500 | S e | 24.17 | | \$ | 2.80 | 13 10% |
| Deferral/Variance Account | ougn) | \$ | - | | ę e | 21.37 | | | | | ф Ф | 24.17 | | ې ۵ | 2.00 | 13.10% |
| Disposition Rate Rider | | Ċ | | 500 | \$ | - | | -3 | \$ 0.0006 | 500 | -\$ | 0.30 | | -\$ | 0.30 | |
| | | | | 500 | \$ | - | | | | 500 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 500 | э S | - | | | | 500 | э S | - | | э S | - | |
| Low Voltage Service Charge | per kWh | \$ | 0.00006 | 518 | \$ | 0.03 | | 9 | 6 0.00007 | 517 | \$ | 0.04 | | \$ | 0.01 | 16.44% |
| Line Losses on Cost of Power | | \$ | 0.1021 | 18 | \$ | 1.83 | | 9 | 6 0.1021 | 17 | \$ | 1.73 | | -\$ | 0.10 | -5.59% |
| Smart Meter Entity Charge | Monthly | \$ | 0.7900 | 1 | \$ | 0.79 | | 3 | 6 0.7900 | 1 | \$ | 0.79 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| (includes Sub-Total A) | | | | | \$ | 24.02 | | | | | \$ | 26.42 | | \$ | 2.40 | 10.00% |
| RTSR - Network | per kWh | \$ | 0.0077 | 518 | \$ | 3.99 | | 9 | \$ 0.0077 | 517 | \$ | 3.98 | | -\$ | 0.01 | -0.19% |
| RTSR - Line and | per kWh | \$ | 0.0042 | 518 | \$ | 2.18 | | 9 | 6 0.0042 | 517 | \$ | 2.17 | | -\$ | 0.00 | -0.19% |
| Sub-Total C - Delivery | | | | | | | | F | | | | | | • | | |
| (including Sub-Total B) | | | | | \$ | 30.18 | | | | | \$ | 32.57 | | \$ | 2.39 | 7.92% |
| Wholesale Market Service | per kWh | \$ | 0.0044 | 518 | \$ | 2.28 | | 44 | \$ 0.0044 | 517 | \$ | 2.27 | | -\$ | 0.00 | -0.19% |
| Rural and Remote Rate | per kWh | s | 0.0013 | | | | | 5 | 6 0.0013 | | | | | | | |
| Protection (RRRP) | | - | | 518 | \$ | 0.67 | | | | 517 | \$ | 0.67 | | -\$ | 0.00 | -0.19% |
| Standard Supply Service Charge | Monthly | \$ | 0.2500 | 1 | \$ | 0.25 | | 9 | 6 0.2500 | 1 | \$ | 0.25 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Debt Retirement Charge (DRC) | | \$ ¢ | 0.0069 | 500 320 | ş | 3.47 | | 07.0 | 6 0.0069 0.0800 | 500 320 | \$ ¢ | 3.47 | | ş | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - Mid Peak | | ŝ | 0.1220 | 90 | \$ | 10.98 | | 9 | 0.1220 | 90 | \$ | 10.98 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - On Peak | | \$ | 0.1610 | 90 | \$ | 14.49 | | 9 | 0.1610 | 90 | \$ | 14.49 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Energy - RPP - Tier 1 | | \$ | 0.0940 | 500 | \$ | 47.00 | | 9 | 6 0.0940 | 500 | \$ | 47.00 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Energy - RPP - Tier 2 | _ | \$ | 0.1100 | 0 | Ą | | | 3 | 0.1100 | 0 | A | · · | | ¢ | | |
| Total Bill on TOU (before Taxes |) | | | | \$ | 87.92 | | | | | \$ | 90.31 | ı | \$ | 2.39 | 2.71% |
| HST Total Bill (including HST) | | | 13% | | \$ | 11.43 99.35 | | | 13% | | \$ | 11.74 | | \$ | 2 70 | 2.71% |
| Ontario Clean Energy Benet | fit ¹ | | | | -\$ | 9.94 | | | | | -\$ | 10.21 | | -\$ | 0.27 | 2.72% |
| Total Bill on TOU (including OC | EB) | | | | \$ | 89.41 | | | | | \$ | 91.84 | | \$ | 2.43 | 2.71% |
| Tatal Dill an DDD (hafana Tanaa) | <u>,</u> | | | | ¢ | 02.95 | | Г | | | é | 96.24 | | ć | 2 20 | 2 949/ |
| HST |) | 1 | 13% | | \$ | 10.90 | | 1 | 13% | | \$ | 11.21 | I. | \$ | 0.31 | 2.84% |
| Total Bill (including HST) | | | | | \$ | 94.76 | | | | | \$ | 97.45 | | \$ | 2.70 | 2.84% |
| Ontario Clean Energy Bener | fit ¹ | | | | -\$ | 9.48 | | | | | -\$ | 9.75 | | -\$ | 0.27 | 2.85% |
| Total Bill on RPP (including OC | EB) | | | | \$ | 85.28 | | | | | \$ | 87.70 | | \$ | 2.43 | 2.84% |
| Loss Factor (%) | | | 3.5800% | | | | | | 3.3800% | | | | | | | |

Customer Class: Residential

| TOU / non-TOU: | TOU | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|-------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|--------|---------|-----------------|-------------------|----------|---------------|-------|----------|-----------------|---------------------|
| | Consumption | 800 | kWh 🔇 | 🕽 May | y 1 - Oct | ober 3 | 31 | O Nover | mber 1 - April 30 |) (Se | elect this ra | dio b | utton | for application | s filed after Oct 3 |
| | | Current | Board-App | orovec | ł | I D | | 20 ⁻ | 16 Proposed | | | 1 | | mpact 201 | 6 vs 2015 |
| | | Rate | Volume | Cha | arge | | | Rate | Volume | C | Charge | | | | |
| Monthly Service Charge | Charge Unit Monthly | (\$) \$ 9.6700 | 1 | \$ | \$) 9.67 | - | \$ | (\$) | 1 | \$ | (\$) 13.97 | | \$ | Change 4.30 | % Change 44.47% |
| Smart Meter Rate Adder | monuny | ¢ 0.0700 | 1 | \$ | - | | - | | 1 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | 1 | \$ | - | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | 1 | ş | - | | | | 1 | ş | | | ş | - | |
| | | | 1 | \$ | - | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| Distribution Volumetric Rate | per kWh | \$ 0.0234 | 800 | \$ 1 | 18.72 | | \$ | 0.0207 | 800 | \$ | 16.56 | | -\$ | 2.16 | -11.54% |
| Smart Meter Disposition Rider | per WM/h | ¢ | 800 | \$ ¢ | - | | ¢ | 0.0002 | 800 | \$ ¢ | | | \$ ¢ | - 0.24 | |
| LRAW & SSM Rate Rider | per kvvn | ъ - | 800 | э S | - | - | φ | 0.0003 | 800 | -⊅ \$ | - 0.24 | | -ə \$ | - 0.24 | |
| | | | 800 | \$ | - | | | | 800 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | 800 | \$ | - | | | | 800 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | 800 | \$ ¢ | - | | | | 800 | \$ ¢ | - | | \$ ¢ | - | |
| | | | 800 | \$ | - | | | | 800 | \$ | | | \$ | - | |
| | | | 800 | \$ | - | | | | 800 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| Sub-Total A (excluding pass the | ough) | | | \$ 2 | 28.39 | _ | | | | \$ | 30.29 | | \$ | 1.90 | 6.69% |
| Deferral/Variance Account Disposition Rate Rider | | \$ - | 800 | \$ | • | - | \$ | 0.0006 | 800 | -\$ | 0.48 | | -\$ | 0.48 | |
| | | | 800 | ş | - | | | | 800 | ş | | | ş | - | |
| | | | 800 | \$ | - | | | | 800 | \$ | | | \$ | - | |
| Low Voltage Service Charge | per kWh | \$ 0.00006 | 829 | \$ | 0.05 | | \$ | 0.00007 | 827 | \$ | 0.06 | | \$ | 0.01 | 16.44% |
| Line Losses on Cost of Power | | \$ 0.1021 | 29 | \$ | 2.93 | | \$ | 0.1021 | 27 | \$ | 2.76 | | -\$ | 0.16 | -5.59% |
| Swb-Total R - Distribution | Monthly | \$ 0.7900 | 1 | Þ | 0.79 | - | \$ | 0.7900 | 1 | ¢ | 0.79 | | ş | - | 0.00% |
| (includes Sub-Total A) | | | | \$ 3 | 32.16 | | | | | \$ | 33.42 | | \$ | 1.26 | 3.93% |
| RTSR - Network | per kWh | \$ 0.0077 | 829 | \$ | 6.38 | | \$ | 0.0077 | 827 | \$ | 6.37 | | -\$ | 0.01 | -0.19% |
| RTSR - Line and | per kWh | \$ 0.0042 | 829 | \$ | 3.48 | | \$ | 0.0042 | 827 | \$ | 3.47 | | -\$ | 0.01 | -0.19% |
| Sub-Total C - Delivery | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | |
| (including Sub-Total B) | | | | \$ 4 | 42.02 | | | | | \$ | 43.26 | | \$ | 1.25 | 2.96% |
| Wholesale Market Service Charge (WMSC) | per kWh | \$ 0.0044 | 829 | \$ | 3.65 | | \$ | 0.0044 | 827 | \$ | 3.64 | | -\$ | 0.01 | -0.19% |
| Rural and Remote Rate Protection (RRRP) | per kWh | \$ 0.0013 | 829 | \$ | 1.08 | | \$ | 0.0013 | 827 | \$ | 1.08 | | -\$ | 0.00 | -0.19% |
| Standard Supply Service Charge | Monthly | \$ 0.2500 | 1 | \$ | 0.25 | | \$ | 0.2500 | 1 | \$ | 0.25 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Debt Retirement Charge (DRC) | | \$ 0.0069 | 800 | \$ | 5.55 | | \$ | 0.0069 | 800 | \$ | 5.55 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - Off Peak | | \$ 0.0800 | 512 | \$ 4 ¢ 1 | 17.57 | | \$ | 0.0800 | 512 | \$ ¢ | 40.96 | | \$ ¢ | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - On Peak | | \$ 0.1220 | 144 | \$ 2 | 23.18 | | Ф \$ | 0.1220 | 144 | \$ | 23.18 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Energy - RPP - Tier 1 | | \$ 0.0940 | 600 | \$ 5 | 56.40 | | \$ | 0.0940 | 600 | \$ | 56.40 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Energy - RPP - Tier 2 | | \$ 0.1100 | 200 | \$ 2 | 22.00 | | \$ | 0.1100 | 200 | \$ | 22.00 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Total Bill on TOU (before Taxes |) | 1 | | \$ 13 | 34.25 | | | | | \$ | 135.49 | | \$ | 1.24 | 0.92% |
| HST | | 13% | | \$ 1 | 17.45 | | | 13% | | \$ | 17.61 | | \$ | 0.16 | 0.92% |
| Total Bill (including HST) | - 1 | | | \$ 15 | 51.71 | | | | | \$ | 153.10 | | \$ | 1.40 | 0.92% |
| Ontario Clean Energy Bener | TT CD | | | - 5 13 | 15.17 | | | | | -> e | 127 70 | | -5 e | 0.14 | 0.92% |
| Total Bill on TOO (including OC | | | | φic | | | | | | Ŷ | 131.19 | | Ŷ | 1.20 | 0.32% |
| Total Bill on RPP (before Taxes |) | 100/ | | \$ 13 | 30.94 | | | 100/ | | \$ | 132.18 | | \$ | 1.24 | 0.94% |
| Total Bill (including HST) | | 13% | | φ 1 \$ 14 | 47.96 | | | 13% | | э S | 149.36 | | э S | 1.40 | 0.94% |
| Ontario Clean Energy Benet | fit ¹ | | | -\$ 1 | 14.80 | | | | | -\$ | 14.94 | | -\$ | 0.14 | 0.95% |
| Total Bill on RPP (including OC | EB) | | | \$ 13 | 33.16 | | | | | \$ | 134.42 | | \$ | 1.26 | 0.94% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Loss Factor (%) | | 3.5800% |] | | | | | 3.3800% | | | | | | | |

| Customer Class: | Residential |
|-----------------|-------------|
| TOU / non-TOU: | TOU |
| | |

Consumption 1,000 kWh
May 1 - October 31 O November 1 - April 30 (Select this radio button for applications filed after Oct 3

| Rate Volume Charge Rate Volume Charge S S Charge S | | | | Current | Board-App | ٥ro | ved | | | 20 | 16 Proposed | 1 | | Im | pact 201 | 6 vs 2015 |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------|----|---------|-----------|--------|--------|---|-----|---------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|-----------|
| Monthly Service Charge ont Smart Meter Rate Adder Charge ont Monthly Service Charge ont Service Charge ont per kWh S 6700 S 8700 S 9 870 S 13.970 S 13.970 S 0.020 S 4.30 44.47% Distribution Volumetric Rate Smart Meter Deposition Rider per kWh S 0.0224 1000 S 0.0207 1000 S - 1 S - 1000 S - 1 S - 1000 S - 1 S - 1000 S - 1 S - 1000 S - 10000 S - 1000 S - 10 | | | | Rate | Volume | 0 | Charge | | | Rate | Volume | | Charge | | | |
| Montmy Service Latage Snart Meter Rate Adder Montmy S \$ 5.07.00 \$ 1.307 \$ 3.37.00 \$ 1.307 \$ 3.4.30 (4.47)5 Distribution Volumetric Rate Snart Meter Disposition Rider per KWh \$ 0.0234 (1000 \$ 2.4.00 \$ 0.0207 (1000 \$ 2.0.70 - 1.5.5 1.5.5 1.5.5 1.5.5 1.5.5 1.000 \$ 2.0.70 - 1.5.5 1.000 \$ 0.0207 - 1.000.5 1.000 \$ 0.000 5.5 1.000 \$ 0.000 1.000 \$ 0.000 | Marthly Oracian Observa | Charge Unit | ¢ | (\$) | 1 | 6 | (\$) | | ¢ | (\$) | 1 | ¢ | (\$) | \$C | hange | % Change |
| Sind mede Rule Rule Rule per kWh \$ 0.023 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | Monthly Service Charge | wontniy | Э | 9.6700 | 1 | ф Ф | 9.07 | | φ | 13.9700 | 1 | ф е | 13.97 | ф Ф | 4.30 | 44.4770 |
| Distribution Volumetric Rate Smart Meter Disposition Rider per kWh \$ 0.0234 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 5 . - 1 \$ - 5 . - 1 \$ - 5 . - 1 5 . - 1 5 . - 1 5 . - 1 5 . 1 5 . 1 5 . 1 1 5 . 1 5 . 1 5 . 1 1 5 . 1 1 5 . 1 1 5 . 1 <t< td=""><td>Smart weter Rate Adder</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>ę</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>¢ ¢</td><td></td><td>ę</td><td>-</td><td></td></t<> | Smart weter Rate Adder | | | | 1 | ę | | | | | 1 | ¢ ¢ | | ę | - | |
| Distribution Volumetric Rate Smart Meter Disposition Ride LRAM & SSM Rate Rider per KWh per KWh \$ 0.0234 \$ 0.0234 \$ 0.0234 \$ 0.0237 1000 \$ 2.7.0 -11.54% Smart Meter Disposition Ride LRAM & SSM Rate Rider per KWh \$ 0.0234 \$ 0.0003 \$ 0.0006 \$ 0.0006 \$ 0.0006 \$ 0.0006 \$ 0.0006 \$ 0.0006 \$ 0.0006 \$ 0.0007 \$ 0.0007 \$ 0.0007 \$ 0.0007 \$ 0.0007 \$ 0.0007 \$ 0.0007 \$ 0.0007 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.001 | | | | | 1 | ŝ | | | | | 1 | ŝ | | ŝ | | |
| Distribution Volumetric Rate Smart Meter Disposition Rider LRAM & SSM Rate Rider per kWh \$ 0.0234 \$ 0.0207 \$ 0.0207 \$ 0.000 \$ - 1000 \$ - 1000 \$ - 1000 \$ - - - - - - 1000 \$ - | | | | | 1 | ŝ | | | | | 1 | ŝ | | ŝ | - | |
| Distribution Volumetric Rate per KWh S 0.0234 Distribution LRAM & SSM Rate Rider Per KWh S 0.0234 Per KWh S 0.0234 Distribution Disposition Rate Rider Disposition Per KWh S 0.0007 Disposition Per KWh S 0.0007 Disposi Disposition Rate Rider Di | | | | | 1 | ŝ | - | | | | 1 | ŝ | | ŝ | - | |
| Sinart Meter Disposition Rider LRAM & SSM Rate Rider per kWn \$. 1000 \$. S . | Distribution Volumetric Rate | per kWh | s | 0.0234 | 1000 | \$ | 23.40 | | \$ | 0.0207 | 1000 | Ś | 20.70 | -\$ | 2.70 | -11.54% |
| LRAM & SSM Rate Rider per kWh \$ - 1000 \$ - - 5 0.003 1000 \$ 0.00 Sub-Total A (excluding pass through) - - 1000 \$ - 1000 \$ - 5 - Sub-Total A (excluding pass through) - - 5 33.07 - - 5 - Deferal/Variance Account \$ - 1000 \$ - 5 0.000 \$ - 5 - Low Voltage Service Charge per kWh \$ 0.0006 1.000 \$ - \$ 0.000 \$ - \$ 0.000 \$ - \$ 0.000 \$ - \$ 0.000 \$ - \$ 0.000 \$ - \$ 0.000 \$ - \$ 0.000 \$ - \$ 0.000 \$ - \$ 0.000 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.001 | Smart Meter Disposition Rider | · · · · · · · · | - | | 1000 | \$ | - | | · | | 1000 | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| Sub-Total A (excluding pass through) \$. | LRAM & SSM Rate Rider | per kWh | \$ | - | 1000 | \$ | - | | -\$ | 0.0003 | 1000 | -\$ | 0.30 | -\$ | 0.30 | |
| Sub-Total A (excluding pass through) image: state of the | | | | | 1000 | \$ | - | | | | 1000 | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| Sub-Total A (excluding pass through) 1000 \$ - 1 1000 \$ - 1 1000 \$ - 1 5 0.000 Sub-Total A (excluding pass through) 5 3.337 5 0.000 \$ - 1000 \$ \$ - 1000 \$ \$ - 1000 \$ \$ - 1000 \$ | | | | | 1000 | \$ | - | | | | 1000 | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| Sub-Total A (excluding pass through) Image: structure in the structu | | | | | 1000 | \$ | - | | | | 1000 | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| Sub-Total A (excluding pass through) 1000 \$ - 1000 \$ - 5 \$ - 5 - 2 | | | | | 1000 | \$ | - | | | | 1000 | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| Sub-Total A (excluding pass through) Image: state of the | | | | | 1000 | \$ | - | | | | 1000 | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| Sub-Total A (excluding pass through) 1000 \$ - 1000 \$ - \$ - 1000 \$ - \$ - \$ - 0.3337 Deforal/Variance Account Disposition Rate Rider \$ - 1000 \$ - \$ - 1000 \$ - \$ - 0.000 \$ - \$ - 0.600 - \$ - 0.600 \$ - \$ - < | | | | | 1000 | \$ | - | | | | 1000 | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| Sub-Total A (excluding pass through) \$ 33.7 \$ 33.7 \$ 34.37 \$ 1.30 3.33% Deprosition Rate Rider \$ - 1000 \$ - - 0.0006 1000 \$ 0.60 \$ 0.60 Disposition Rate Rider \$ - 1000 \$ - - 1000 \$ - \$ 0.0006 1000 \$ 0.60 \$ 0.60 Low Voltage Service Charge per KWh \$ 0.00006 1.036 \$ 0.0007 1.034 \$ 0.077 \$ 0.007 \$ 0.007 \$ 0.007 \$ 0.007 \$ 0.007 \$ 0.007 1.034 \$ 0.79 \$ 0.007 \$ 0.007 \$ 0.007 1.034 \$ 0.79 \$ 0.007 \$ 0.007 1.035 \$ 0.007 1.034 \$ 0.01 -0.19% Sub-Total A (excluding sub-Total A) \$ 0.0042 1036 \$ 7.96 \$ 0.0077 1034 \$ 4.34 -\$ 0.01 -0.19% Transformation Concertion \$ 0.0042 1036 \$ 4.35 \$ 0.0042 1034 \$ 4.34 -\$ 0.01 -0.19% Standard Supply Service Charge Monthly \$ 0.0044 1036 \$ 4.35 | | | | | 1000 | \$ | - | | | | 1000 | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| Deterrativanance Account Disposition Rate Rider \$ 1000 \$ - \$ 0.0006 1000 \$ 0.60 - \$ 0.60 Disposition Rate Rider per KWh \$ 0.0006 \$ - 1000 \$ - 5 - - 1000 \$ - 5 - - 1000 \$ - \$ 0.60 - \$ 0.60 - \$ 0.60 - \$ 0.60 - \$ 0.60 - \$ 0.60 - \$ 0.60 - \$ 0.60 - \$ 0.60 - \$ 0.60 - \$ 0.60 - \$ 0.60 - 5 0.00 - 5 - 0.00 - 5 0.00 - 0.00 - 0.00 - 0.00 - 0.00 - 0.00 - 0.00 - 0.00 - 0.00 - 0.00 <td>Sub-Total A (excluding pass thr</td> <td>rough)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$</td> <td>33.07</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>\$</td> <td>34.37</td> <td>\$</td> <td>1.30</td> <td>3.93%</td> | Sub-Total A (excluding pass thr | rough) | | | | \$ | 33.07 | | | | - | \$ | 34.37 | \$ | 1.30 | 3.93% |
| Disposition Rate Rider 1000 \$ - 1000 \$ - 1000 \$ - 1000 \$ - 5 - 5 - 1000 \$ - 1000 \$ - \$ - 1000 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 0.000 \$ - \$ - \$ 0.000 \$ - \$ 0.01 16.44% \$ 0.007 \$ 0.01 16.44% \$ 0.007 \$ 3.45 \$ 0.007 \$ 0.01 16.44% \$ 0.007 1034 \$ 0.007 1034 \$ 0.007 1034 \$ 0.007 1034 \$ 0.01 1.01% \$ 0.01 0.01% 0.01% 0.01% 0.01% 0.02 0.01% 0.01% 0.01% 0.01% 0.01% 0.01% 0.01% 0.01% 0. | Deterral/Variance Account | | \$ | - | 1000 | \$ | - | | -\$ | 0.0006 | 1000 | -\$ | 0.60 | -\$ | 0.60 | |
| Low Voltage Service Charge Line Losses on Cost of Power Smart Meter Entity Charge Monthly per kWh \$ 0.00006 \$ 0.6 1.000 \$ - 1000 \$ - 5 \$ - 1000 \$ - 5 \$ - 5 \$ - 1000 \$ - 5 \$ - 5 \$ - 1000 \$ - 5 0.007 \$ 133 \$ - 5 \$ - 5 0.007 \$ 133 \$ - 5 0.011 - 5 0.022 - 5 0.011 - 5 0.012 - 5 0.012 - 5 | Disposition Rate Rider | | | | 1000 | ¢ | | | | | 1000 | ¢ | | ¢ | | |
| Low Voltage Service Charge Line Losses on Cost of Power per kWh \$ 0.0000 \$ - 10000 \$ 0.0000 10000 \$ 0.0000 110000 \$ 0.0000 10000 \$ 0.0000 10000 \$ 0.0000 10000 \$ 0.0000 10000 \$ 0.0000 10000 \$ 0.0000 10000 \$ | | | | | 1000 | ф Ф | - | | | | 1000 | ф е | - | ф Ф | - | |
| Low Voltage Service Charge Line Losses on Cost of Power Smart Meter Entity Charge Monthly per kWh \$ \$ 0.00006 0.700 1.000 \$ 0.00007 0.001 1.004 \$ 0.0007 0.001 1.004 \$ 0.0007 0.007 1.004 0.0007 0.001 0.007 0.001 0.0007 0.001 0.0004 0.0013 0.0013 0.0013 0.0013 0.0013 0.0013 0.0013 0.0042 0.0014 0.0044 0.0014 0.0013 0.0013 0.0044 0.0013 0.0044 | | | | | 1000 | ę | | | | | 1000 | ¢ ¢ | | ę | - | |
| Chr. Hunge Gentrage Gentrality Gentral Gentrality Gentral Gentrality Gentral Gentrality Gentral Gentrality Gentrality< | Low Voltage Service Charge | per kWb | ¢ | 0.00006 | 1 036 | ŝ | 0.06 | | s | 0.00007 | 1 034 | ŝ | 0.07 | ŝ | 0.01 | 16 44% |
| Smart Meter Entity Charge Monthly \$ 0.7900 1 \$ 0.790 1 \$ 0.790 1 \$ 0.790 1 \$ 0.790 1 \$ 0.790 1 \$ 0.790 \$ 0.00% | Line Losses on Cost of Power | perkiin | ŝ | 0.1021 | 36 | ŝ | 3.66 | | ŝ | 0.1021 | 34 | ŝ | 3.45 | -\$ | 0.20 | -5.59% |
| Sub-Total B - Distribution s 0.000 \$ 37.58 Sub-Total B - Distribution s 0.000 \$ 37.58 \$ 0.000 \$ 0.013 \$ 0.013 \$ 0.013 \$ 0.013 \$ 0.000 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.002 0.013 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.002 0.013 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.001 0.013 1034 \$ 0.001 0.019% \$ 0.001 0.013 1034 \$ 0.001 0.013 1034 \$ 0.001 0.019% \$ 0.001 0.019% \$ 0.001 0.013 1034 \$ 0.001 0.019% \$ 0.001 0.013 1034 \$ 0.001 0.019% \$ | Smart Meter Entity Charge | Monthly | ŝ | 0.7900 | 1 | ŝ | 0.79 | | ŝ | 0.7900 | 1 | ŝ | 0.79 | ŝ | - | 0.00% |
| Includes Sub-Total A) Image: Signal Sig | Sub-Total B - Distribution | | Ť | | | , | | | Ť | | | , | | | | |
| RTSR. Network per kWh \$ 0.0077 1036 \$ 7.98 \$ 0.0077 1034 \$ 7.96 -\$ 0.02 -0.19% RTSR. Line and Transformation Connection per kWh \$ 0.0042 1036 \$ 7.98 \$ 0.0042 1034 \$ 7.96 -\$ 0.01 -0.19% Sub-Total C - Delivery Image in the intervent i | (includes Sub-Total A) | | | | | ş | 37.58 | | | | | \$ | 38.08 | \$ | 0.51 | 1.35% |
| RTSR-Line and transformation (including Sub-Total C - Delivery (including Sub-Total C - Delivery) (including Sub-Total C - Delivery (including Sub-Total C - Delivery (including Sub-Total C - Delivery) (including Sub-Total C - Delivery (including Sub-Total C - Delivery) (including Sub-Total Sub-To | RTSR - Network | per kWh | \$ | 0.0077 | 1036 | \$ | 7.98 | | \$ | 0.0077 | 1034 | \$ | 7.96 | -\$ | 0.02 | -0.19% |
| Transminiation Control Solution Contenter Control Solution Contro | RTSR - Line and | per kWh | \$ | 0.0042 | 1036 | \$ | 4.35 | | \$ | 0.0042 | 1034 | \$ | 4.34 | -\$ | 0.01 | -0.19% |
| Side Total Count Count Count Count \$ 49.90 \$ 50.39 \$ 0.48 0.97% Wholesale Market Service Charge (MNSC) per kWh \$ 0.0044 1036 \$ 45.6 \$ 0.0044 1034 \$ 4.55 \$ 0.01 -0.19% Rural and Remote Rate Protection (RRP) per kWh \$ 0.0013 1036 \$ 1.35 \$ 0.0013 1034 \$ 1.34 \$ 0.00 -0.19% Standard Supply Service Charge Debt Retirement Charge (DRC) \$ 0.2500 1 \$ 0.255 \$ 0.0060 10000 \$ 6.94 \$ - 0.00% TOU - Of Peak \$ 0.1220 180 \$ 21.96 \$ 0.1610 180 \$ 21.96 \$ 0.1610 180 \$ 21.96 \$ 0.1100 40.00 \$ - 0.00% TOU - OF Peak \$ 0.1200 180 \$ 21.96 \$ 0.1100 40.00 \$ - 0.00% TOU - OF Peak \$ 0.1200 180 \$ 21.96 \$ 0.1100 40.00 \$ - 0.00% TOU - OF Peak \$ 0.1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0.1100 40.00 \$ - 0.00% < | Sub-Total C - Delivery | | - | | | _ | | | | | | - | | | | |
| Wholesale Market Service Charge (WMSC) Array and Remote Rate Protection (RRRP) per kWh \$ 0.0044 1036 \$ 4.56 \$ 0.0044 1034 \$ 4.55 \$ 0.01 -0.19% Array and Remote Rate Protection (RRRP) Standard Supply Service Charge Debt Retirement Charge (DRC) per kWh \$ 0.2500 1 \$ 0.25 \$ 0.0013 1034 \$ 1.34 \$ 5 0.00 -0.19% Standard Supply Service Charge Debt Retirement Charge (DRC) \$ 0.00800 640 \$ 1.20 \$ 0.0080 640 \$ 1.20 \$ - 0.00% TOU - OI Peak \$ 0.1220 180 \$ 2.296 \$ 0.0800 640 \$ 1.20 \$ - 0.00% TOU - OI Peak \$ 0.1220 180 \$ 2.296 \$ 0.1610 180 \$ 2.898 \$ - 0.00% TOU - ON Peak \$ 0.0440 \$ 0.940 600 \$ 56.40 \$ 0.940 600 \$ 56.40 \$ 0.940 600 \$ 44.00 \$ - 0.00% Total Bill on TOU (before Taxes) HST 13% \$ 165.61 \$ 0.47 0.29% \$ 188.61 \$ 0.48 0.29% | (including Sub-Total B) | | | | | \$ | 49.90 | | | | | \$ | 50.39 | \$ | 0.48 | 0.97% |
| Charge (WMSC) Charge (| Wholesale Market Service | per kWh | \$ | 0.0044 | 4000 | é | 4.50 | | \$ | 0.0044 | 4004 | | 4.55 | ¢ | 0.04 | 0.400/ |
| Rural and Remote Rate Protection (RRP) per kWh \$ 0.0013 1036 \$ 1.35 \$ 0.0013 1034 \$ 1.34 \$ 0.00 -0.19% Standard Supply Service Charge Debt Retirement Charge (DRC) Monthly \$ 0.2500 1 \$ 0.25 \$ 0.2500 1 \$ 0.25 \$ 0.0069 1000 \$ 6.94 \$ 0.2006 1000 \$ 6.94 \$ 0.2500 1 \$ 0.25 \$ 0.0069 1000 \$ 6.94 \$ 0.2500 1 \$ 0.25 \$ 0.0069 1000 \$ 6.94 \$ 0.0296 1000 \$ 6.94 \$ 0.0069 1000 \$ 6.94 \$ 0.0069 1000 \$ 6.94 \$ 0.0069 \$ 0.640 \$ 1.00 \$ 0.100 \$ 0.840 \$ 0.00% \$ 0.00% \$ 0.00% \$ 0.00% \$ 0.00% \$ 0.00% \$ 0.00% \$ 0.00% \$ 0.00% | Charge (WMSC) | | · | | 1036 | \$ | 4.56 | | · | | 1034 | \$ | 4.55 | -\$ | 0.01 | -0.19% |
| Protection (RRRP) 1036 1 1.33 1038 1.34 -3 0.00 -0.15% Standard Supply Service Charge Monthly \$ 0.2500 1 \$ 0.250 1 \$ 0.250 1 \$ 0.00% \$ - 0.00% Debt Retirement Charge (DRC) \$ 0.0069 1000 \$ 6.94 \$ 0.0000 6.94 \$ - 0.00% TOU - Of Peak \$ 0.0080 640 \$ 1.24 \$ - 0.00% TOU - On Peak \$ 0.1610 180 \$ 2.96 \$ - 0.00% Energy - RPP - Tier 1 \$ 0.040 \$ 5.64.0 \$ - 0.00% HST \$ 0.1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0.1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0.1100 400 \$ 0.02% \$ 0.00% 0.00% \$ 0.00% 0.00% \$ 0.00% \$ 0.00% \$ 0.00% \$ 0.00% 0.00% \$ 0. | Rural and Remote Rate | per kWh | \$ | 0.0013 | 1026 | ¢ | 1.05 | | \$ | 0.0013 | 1024 | | 1.24 | ¢ | 0.00 | 0.10% |
| Standard Supply Service Charge Monthly \$ 0.2500 1 \$ 0.250 1 \$ 0.250 1 \$ 0.250 1 \$ 0.250 1 \$ 0.250 1 \$ 0.250 1 \$ 0.250 1 \$ 0.250 1 \$ 0.250 \$ 0.006 1000 \$ 0.644 \$ - 0.00% TOU - Of Peak \$ 0.0600 640 \$ 5.120 \$ 0.0600 640 \$ 5.120 \$ - 0.00% TOU - MPeak \$ 0.1220 180 \$ 2.196 \$ - 0.00% TOU - M Peak \$ 0.1610 180 \$ 28.98 \$ 0.1220 180 \$ 2.196 \$ - 0.00% Energy - RPP - Tier 1 \$ 0.0940 600 \$ 6.40 \$ 0.1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ - 0.00% Frenzy - RPP - Tier 2 \$ 0.1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0.1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ - 0.00% Total Bill on TOU (before Taxes) \$ 186.14 \$ 186.61 \$ 0.47 0.29% \$ 168.14 \$ 0.47 0.29% Notario Clean Energy Benefit ' - \$ 167.95 \$ 168.61 \$ 0.48 0.29% Total Bi | Protection (RRRP) | | | | 1030 | φ | 1.55 | | | | 1034 | φ | 1.34 | -φ | 0.00 | -0.13% |
| Debt Retirement Charge (DRC) \$ 0.0069 1000 \$ 6.94 \$ 0.0069 1000 \$ 6.94 \$ - 0.0076 TOU - Oft Peak \$ 0.0800 640 \$ 5.120 \$ - 0.00%6 TOU - Md Peak \$ 0.1220 180 \$ 21.96 \$ 0.0800 640 \$ 5.120 \$ - 0.00%6 TOU - Md Peak \$ 0.1220 180 \$ 21.96 \$ 0.1220 180 \$ 21.96 \$ - 0.00%6 Energy - RPP - Tier 1 \$ 0.0940 600 \$ 66.40 \$ 0.0940 600 \$ 64.00 \$ - 0.00%6 Total Bill on TOU (before Taxes) \$ 0.100 400 \$ 0.100 400 \$ 4.00 \$ 0.29% - 0.00% 5.18.71 \$ 0.06 0.29% \$ 13% \$ 13% \$ | Standard Supply Service Charge | Monthly | \$ | 0.2500 | 1 | \$ | 0.25 | | \$ | 0.2500 | 1 | \$ | 0.25 | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - Olf Peak \$ 0,0800 640 \$ 51.20 \$ 0,0800 640 \$ 51.20 \$ 0,0800 640 \$ 51.20 \$ 0,0800 640 \$ 51.20 \$ 0,0800 640 \$ 51.20 \$ 0,0800 640 \$ 51.20 \$ 0,0800 640 \$ 51.20 \$ 0,020 180 \$ 21.96 \$ - 0,00% TOU - On Peak \$ 0,1610 180 \$ 28.98 \$ - 0,00% 600 \$ 66.40 \$ - 0,00% Energy - RPP - Tier 2 \$ 0,1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0,1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0,1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0,1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0,1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0,1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0,1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0,1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0,1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0,1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0,1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0,1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0,1100 400 \$ 21.47 13% \$ 21.51 \$ 0,47 0.29% \$ 168.61 \$ 0,47 0.29% \$ 168.11 \$ 168.61 \$ 0,47 0.29% \$ 161 \$ 0, | Debt Retirement Charge (DRC) | | \$ | 0.0069 | 1000 | \$ | 6.94 | | \$ | 0.0069 | 1000 | \$ | 6.94 | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - On Peak \$ 0.1220 180 \$ 2.9.96 \$ 0.610 180 \$ 2.9.96 \$ 0.610 180 \$ 2.9.96 \$ 0.610 180 \$ 2.9.96 \$ 0.610 180 \$ 2.9.96 \$ 0.610 180 \$ 2.9.96 \$ 0.610 180 \$ 2.9.96 \$ 0.610 180 \$ 2.9.96 \$ 0.610 180 \$ 2.9.96 \$ 0.610 180 \$ 2.9.96 \$ 0.610 180 \$ 2.9.96 \$ 0.610 180 \$ 2.9.96 \$ 0.610 180 \$ 2.9.96 \$ 0.0940 600 \$ 5.6.40 \$ - 0.00% Energy - RPP - Tier 1 \$ 0.0100 400 \$ 4.4.00 \$ 0.0100 \$ 0.0100 400 \$ 4.4.00 \$ - 0.00% Total Bill on TOU (before Taxes) \$ 165.61 \$ 0.1100 400 \$ 4.4.00 \$ 0.1100 40.00 \$ 0.1100 40.00 \$ 0.1100 MST 13% \$ 165.61 \$ 0.047 0.29% \$ 0.100 \$ 0.0100 \$ 0.02% Ontario Clean Energy Benefit ' - 5 18.66 - \$ 168.43 \$ 0.47 0.29% Total Bill on TOU (including OCEB) \$ 167.95 \$ 18.43 \$ 0.48 0.29% HST 13% \$ 21.24 \$ 13% \$ 168.87 \$ 0.47 0.29% HST 13% \$ 21.24 \$ 13% \$ 21.30 \$ 0.06 0.29% HST 13% \$ 21.24 \$ 13% \$ 21.30 | TOU - Off Peak | | \$ | 0.0800 | 640 | \$ | 51.20 | | \$ | 0.0800 | 640 | \$ | 51.20 | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| COU-On Peak S 0.1610 160 S 2.9.98 S 0.1610 400 S 0.0940 600 S 6.40 S - 0.00% Total Bill on TOU (before Taxes) 13% S 2.147 13% S 2.147 13% S 1.871 S 0.06 0.29% Ortatric Clean Energy Benefit 5 163.66 - 5 163.66 - 5 0.48 0.29% Total Bill on RPP (before Taxes) 5 163.60 5 163.40 5 163.40 5 163.41 S 0.48 0.29% HST | TOU - Mid Peak | | \$ | 0.1220 | 180 | \$ | 21.96 | | \$ | 0.1220 | 180 | \$ | 21.96 | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Energy - RPP - Tier 2 \$ 0.0940 600 \$ 3 6.40 \$ 0.0940 600 \$ 3 6.40 \$ - 0.00% Energy - RPP - Tier 2 \$ 0.1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0.1104 400 \$ 44.00 \$ - 0.00% Total Bill on TOU (before Taxes) 13% \$ 165.14 \$ 165.61 \$ 0.47 0.29% HST 13% \$ 21.47 13% \$ 165.61 \$ 0.05 0.29% Ontario Clean Energy Benefit ' - 5 166.66 - 5 18.71 - 5 0.05 0.29% Total Bill on TOU (including OCEB) 5 167.95 \$ 168.43 \$ 0.48 0.29% Total Bill including HST) 13% \$ 167.95 \$ 168.43 \$ 0.48 0.29% Total Bill including HST) 13% \$ 168.61 \$ 168.37 \$ 0.47 0.29% Total Bill including HST) 13% \$ 184.64 13% \$ 168.51 \$ 0.06 0.29% Total Bill including HST) 13% \$ 166.18 \$ 166.65 \$ 0.47 0.28% Total Bill including OCEB) 5 166.18 \$ 166.65 \$ 0.47 0.28% Loss Factor (%) 3.5800% 3.3 | TOU - On Peak | | \$ | 0.1610 | 180 | 9 | 28.98 | | \$ | 0.1610 | 180 | ¢ | 28.98 | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Elefty (PP + Piel 2 \$ 0.1100 400 \$ 44,00 \$ 46,11 \$ 105,11 \$ 0.00 </td <td>Energy - RPP - Tier 1</td> <td></td> <td>\$</td> <td>0.0940</td> <td>600</td> <td>Э 6</td> <td>56.40</td> <td></td> <td>\$</td> <td>0.0940</td> <td>600</td> <td>9</td> <td>56.40</td> <td>ъ ¢</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.00%</td> | Energy - RPP - Tier 1 | | \$ | 0.0940 | 600 | Э 6 | 56.40 | | \$ | 0.0940 | 600 | 9 | 56.40 | ъ ¢ | - | 0.00% |
| S 165.61 HST \$ 155.61 (13%) \$ 155.61 (13%) \$ 0.47 (13%) 0.29% (13%) 0.06 (13%) 0.29% (13%) 0.06 (13%) 0.29% (13%) 0.06 (13%) 0.29% (13%) 0.16 (13%) 0.16 (13%) 0.16 (13%) 0.16 (13%) 0.16 (13%) 0.29% (13%) 0.16 (13%) 0.29% (13%) 0.29% (13%) 0.29% (13%) 0.29% (13%) 0.16 (13%) 0.16 (13%) 0.16 (13%) 0.16 (13%) 0.29% (13%) | Ellergy - RPP - Tiel 2 | | ¢ | 0.1100 | 400 | e e | 44.00 | | ¢ | 0.1100 | 400 | þ | 44.00 | ð | | 0.00% |
| HST 13% \$ 21.47 13% \$ 21.53 \$ 0.06 0.29% Ontario Clean Energy Benefit ' 5 186.61 \$ 186.6 \$ 18.71 \$ 0.53 0.29% Total Bill (including OCEB) \$ 167.95 \$ 168.43 \$ 0.48 0.29% Total Bill (including HST) 13% \$ 163.40 \$ 168.43 \$ 0.48 0.29% Total Bill (including HST) 13% \$ 163.40 \$ 163.87 \$ 0.47 0.29% Total Bill (including HST) 13% \$ 163.40 \$ 163.40 \$ 0.60 0.29% Total Bill (including HST) 13% \$ 164.64 \$ 163.61 \$ 0.06 0.29% Total Bill (including OCEB) \$ 166.61 \$ 18.66 \$ 0.47 0.28% Loss Factor (%) 3.5800% 3.3800% \$ 3.3800% \$ 3.8800% | Total Bill on TOU (before Taxes |) | | | | \$ | 165.14 | | | | | \$ | 165.61 | \$ | 0.47 | 0.29% |
| Total Bill (including HST) \$ 186.61 \$ 187.14 \$ 0.53 0.29% Total Bill on RPP (before Taxes) \$ 167.95 \$ 168.43 \$ 0.65 0.27% HST \$ 167.95 \$ 168.43 \$ 0.05 0.27% Total Bill on RPP (before Taxes) \$ 163.40 \$ 0.65 0.29% HST 13% \$ 163.40 \$ 163.87 \$ 0.47 0.29% Ontario Clean Energy Benefit ' 13% \$ 18.46 \$ 18.52 \$ 0.06 0.29% Total Bill on RPP (including OCEB) \$ 166.18 \$ 163.87 \$ 0.47 0.29% Loss Factor (%) 3.5800% \$ 3.3800% \$ 3.3800% \$ 3.3800% \$ 3.800% | HST | | 1 | 13% | | \$ | 21.47 | 1 | | 13% | | \$ | 21.53 | \$ | 0.06 | 0.29% |
| Ontario Clean Energy Benefit ' -5 16.66 -5 18.71 -5 0.05 0.27% Total Bill on TOU (including OCEB) \$ 167.95 \$ 168.43 \$ 0.48 0.22% Total Bill on TOU (including OCEB) \$ 167.95 \$ 168.87 \$ 0.47 0.23% Total Bill on TPV (before Taxes) \$ \$ 163.87 \$ 0.47 0.23% Total Bill on TPV (before Taxes) \$ \$ 163.87 \$ 0.47 0.23% Total Bill on TPV (before Taxes) \$ \$ 13% \$ 13% \$ 21.30 \$ 0.66 0.23% Ontario Clean Energy Benefit ' \$ 184.64 \$ 185.71 \$ 0.67 0.23% Total Bill on RPP (including OCEB) \$ 166.18 \$ 0.65 \$ 0.47 0.28% Loss Factor (%) 3.5800% 3.3800% \$ 3.3800% \$ 3.800% | Total Bill (including HST) | | | | | \$ | 186.61 | | | | | \$ | 187.14 | \$ | 0.53 | 0.29% |
| Total Bill on TOU (including OCEB) \$ 167.95 \$ 188.43 \$ 0.48 0.23% Total Bill on RPP (before Taxes) HST \$ 163.40 \$ 163.40 \$ 163.40 \$ 163.87 \$ 0.47 0.23% HST 13% \$ 21.24 13% \$ 21.30 \$ 0.06 0.29% Ontario Clean Energy Benefit ' -\$ 18.46 -\$ 18.52 -\$ 0.06 0.33% Total Bill on RPP (including OCEB) \$ 166.18 \$ 166.65 \$ 0.47 0.28% Loss Factor (%) 3.5800% 3.3800% \$ 3.800% \$ 3.800% \$ 3.800% | Ontario Clean Energy Bener | fit ' | | | | -\$ | 18.66 | | | | | -\$ | 18.71 | -\$ | 0.05 | 0.27% |
| S 163.40 \$ 163.87 \$ 0.47 0.29% HST 13% \$ 21.24 13% \$ 21.30 \$ 0.66 0.29% Ontario Clean Energy Benefit ' 5 18.46 \$ 13% \$ 166.65 \$ 0.06 0.29% Total Bill on RPP (including OCEB) \$ 166.18 \$ 166.65 \$ 0.47 0.28% Loss Factor (%) 3.5800% 3.3800% \$ 3.3800% \$ 3.3800% | Total Bill on TOU (including OC | EB) | _ | _ | _ | \$ | 167.95 | | | _ | | \$ | 168.43 | \$ | 0.48 | 0.29% |
| HST Total Bill (including HST) 13% \$ 21.24 \$ 184.64 13% \$ 21.30 \$ 184.64 \$ 0.06 \$ 185.17 0.29% \$ 0.53 0.29% 0.29% Ontario Clean Energy Benefit ' Total Bill on RPP (including OCEB) \$ 166.18 \$ 166.65 \$ 0.47 0.28% Loss Factor (%) 3.5800% 3.3800% \$ 3.3800% \$ 3.3800% \$ 3.3800% | Total Bill on RPP (before Taxes |) | 1 | | | \$ | 163.40 | | | | | \$ | 163.87 | \$ | 0.47 | 0.29% |
| Total Bill (including HST) \$ 184.64 \$ 184.64 \$ 185.17 \$ 0.53 0.29% Ontario Clean Energy Benefit ¹ -\$ 18.46 -\$ 18.52 -\$ 0.66 0.33% Total Bill on RPP (including OCEB) \$ 166.18 \$ 166.65 \$ 0.47 0.28% Loss Factor (%) 3.5800% 3.3800% 3.3800% | HST | | 1 | 13% | | \$ | 21.24 | 1 | | 13% | | \$ | 21.30 | \$ | 0.06 | 0.29% |
| Ontario Clean Energy Benefit ' -\$ 18.46 -\$ 18.52 -\$ 0.06 0.33% Total Bill on RPP (including OCEB) \$ 166.18 \$ 166.65 \$ 0.47 0.28% Loss Factor (%) 3.5800% 3.3800% 3.3800% 3.3800% 3.3800% | Total Bill (including HST) | | 1 | | | \$ | 184.64 | 1 | | | | \$ | 185.17 | \$ | 0.53 | 0.29% |
| Total Bill on RPP (including OCEB) \$ 166.18 \$ 166.65 \$ 0.47 0.28% Loss Factor (%) 3.5800% 3.3800% | Ontario Clean Energy Bener | fit ¹ | 1 | | | -\$ | 18.46 | 1 | | | | -\$ | 18.52 | -\$ | 0.06 | 0.33% |
| Loss Factor (%) 3.5800% 3.3800% | Total Bill on RPP (including OC | EB) | | | | \$ | 166.18 | | | | | \$ | 166.65 | \$ | 0.47 | 0.28% |
| Loss Factor (%) 3.5800% 3.800% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Loss Factor (%) | | | 3.5800% | 1 | | | | | 3.3800% | | | | | | |

| Customer Class: | Residential |
|-----------------|-------------|
| TOU / non-TOU: | TOU |

Consumption 1,500 kWh
May 1 - October 31 O November 1 - April 30 (Select this radio button for applications filed after Oct 31)

| | | | Current | Board-App | orov | /ed | 1 | | 20 | 16 Propose | d | | Im | pact 201 | 6 vs 2015 |
|---------------------------------|-------------|----|---------|-----------|--------|--------|---|-----|---------|------------|-----|------------|------------|----------|-----------|
| | | | Rate | Volume | C | Charge | | | Rate | Volume | | Charge | | | |
| | Charge Unit | _ | (\$) | | | (\$) | | • | (\$) | | | (\$) | \$ Ch | nange | % Change |
| Monthly Service Charge | Monthly | \$ | 9.6700 | 1 | \$ | 9.67 | | \$ | 13.9700 | 1 | 1 | 5 13.97 | \$ | 4.30 | 44.47% |
| Smart Meter Rate Adder | | | | 1 | Э С | - | | | | | | - 0 | е Э | - | |
| | | | | 1 | ŝ | | | | | - | | р - К - | ş | | |
| | | | | 1 | ŝ | - | | | | 1 | | - 6 | ŝ | - | |
| | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | | | 1 | 5 | 5 - | \$ | - | |
| Distribution Volumetric Rate | per kWh | \$ | 0.0234 | 1500 | \$ | 35.10 | | \$ | 0.0207 | 1500 |) (| \$ 31.05 | -\$ | 4.05 | -11.54% |
| Smart Meter Disposition Rider | | | | 1500 | \$ | - | | | | 1500 | 9 | - B | \$ | - | |
| LRAM & SSM Rate Rider | per kWh | \$ | - | 1500 | \$ | - | | -\$ | 0.0003 | 1500 | -9 | \$ 0.45 | -\$ | 0.45 | |
| | | | | 1500 | \$ | - | | | | 1500 | | 5 - | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 1500 | ф 6 | - | | | | 1500 | | | 9 | - | |
| | | | | 1500 | Э С | - | | | | 1500 | | - 0 | е Э | - | |
| | | | | 1500 | ŝ | | | | | 1500 | | р - К - | ş | | |
| | | | | 1500 | ŝ | - | | | | 1500 | | - 6 | ŝ | - | |
| | | | | 1500 | \$ | - | | | | 1500 | 1 5 | 5 - | \$ | - | |
| Sub-Total A (excluding pass thr | ough) | | | | \$ | 44.77 | | | | | 9 | \$ 44.57 | -\$ | 0.20 | -0.45% |
| Deferral/Variance Account | | \$ | - | 1500 | s | - | | -\$ | 0.0006 | 1500 | | 6 0.90 | -\$ | 0.90 | |
| Disposition Rate Rider | | | | 1500 | ¢. | | | Ť | | 1500 | Ľ | | é | | |
| | | | | 1500 | Э С | - | | | | 1500 | | - 0 | е Э | - | |
| | | | | 1500 | ŝ | | | | | 1500 | | р - К - | ş | | |
| Low Voltage Service Charge | per kWh | \$ | 0.00006 | 1.554 | ŝ | 0.09 | | \$ | 0.00007 | 1.551 | | 6 0.11 | ŝ | 0.02 | 16.44% |
| Line Losses on Cost of Power | portanti | ŝ | 0.1021 | 54 | \$ | 5.48 | | \$ | 0.1021 | 51 | 5 | 5.18 | -\$ | 0.31 | -5.59% |
| Smart Meter Entity Charge | Monthly | \$ | 0.7900 | 1 | \$ | 0.79 | | \$ | 0.7900 | 1 | \$ | 6 0.79 | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Sub-Total B - Distribution | | | | | \$ | 51 14 | | | | | | \$ 49.75 | -5 | 1 39 | -2 72% |
| (includes Sub-Total A) | | ¢ | 0.0077 | 4554 | • | 44.00 | | ¢ | 0.0077 | 4554 | Ľ | 44.04 | • | 0.00 | 2.1.2.% |
| RTSR - Line and | регкиин | φ | 0.0077 | 1554 | φ | 11.90 | | φ | 0.0077 | 1551 | | p 11.94 | - p | 0.02 | -0.19% |
| Transformation Connection | per kWh | \$ | 0.0042 | 1554 | \$ | 6.53 | | \$ | 0.0042 | 1551 | 5 | 6.51 | -\$ | 0.01 | -0.19% |
| Sub-Total C - Delivery | | | | | ¢ | 69 63 | 1 | | | | | 68 20 | .s | 1 /3 | -2.05% |
| (including Sub-Total B) | | L | | | Ŷ | 03.05 | | | | | Ľ | 00.20 | Ψ | 1.40 | -2.03 /6 |
| Wholesale Market Service | per kWh | \$ | 0.0044 | 1554 | \$ | 6.84 | | \$ | 0.0044 | 1551 | 5 | 6.82 | -\$ | 0.01 | -0.19% |
| Charge (WMSC) | | ~ | 0.0040 | | Ċ | | | ~ | 0.0040 | | | | | | |
| Rural and Remote Rate | per kvvn | Э | 0.0013 | 1554 | \$ | 2.02 | | Э | 0.0013 | 1551 | \$ | \$ 2.02 | -\$ | 0.00 | -0.19% |
| Standard Supply Service Charge | Monthly | s | 0.2500 | 1 | s | 0.25 | | \$ | 0 2500 | 1 | 5 | 6 0.25 | s | | 0.00% |
| Debt Retirement Charge (DRC) | monuny | ŝ | 0.0069 | 1500 | \$ | 10.41 | | ŝ | 0.0069 | 1500 | 1 5 | 5 10.41 | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - Off Peak | | \$ | 0.0800 | 960 | \$ | 76.80 | | \$ | 0.0800 | 960 | 1 | 6 76.80 | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - Mid Peak | | \$ | 0.1220 | 270 | \$ | 32.94 | | \$ | 0.1220 | 270 | 9 | \$ 32.94 | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - On Peak | | \$ | 0.1610 | 270 | \$ | 43.47 | | \$ | 0.1610 | 270 | 1 5 | 6 43.47 | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Energy - RPP - Tier 1 | | \$ | 0.0940 | 600 | \$ | 56.40 | | \$ | 0.0940 | 600 | | 5 56.40 | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Energy - RPP - Tier 2 | _ | \$ | 0.1100 | 900 | \$ | 99.00 | | \$ | 0.1100 | 900 | | \$ 99.00 | \$ | | 0.00% |
| Total Bill on TOU (before Taxes |) | | | | \$ | 242.35 | | | | | 5 | \$ 240.91 | -\$ | 1.44 | -0.60% |
| HST | | | 13% | | \$ | 31.51 | | | 13% | | \$ | \$ 31.32 | -\$ | 0.19 | -0.60% |
| Total Bill (including HST) | | | | | \$ | 273.86 | | | | | 5 | \$ 272.23 | -\$ | 1.63 | -0.60% |
| Ontario Clean Energy Benef | it ' | | | | -\$ | 27.39 | | | | | - | \$ 27.22 | \$ | 0.17 | -0.62% |
| Total Bill on TOU (including OC | EB) | | _ | _ | \$ | 246.47 | | | _ | _ | 1 | 5 245.01 | -\$ | 1.46 | -0.59% |
| Total Bill on RPP (before Taxes | | | | | \$ | 244.54 | | | | | | \$ 243.10 | -\$ | 1.44 | -0.59% |
| HST | | 1 | 13% | | \$ | 31.79 | 1 | 1 | 13% | | \$ | \$ 31.60 | -\$ | 0.19 | -0.59% |
| Total Bill (including HST) | | | | | \$ | 276.33 | | | | | \$ | \$ 274.70 | -\$ | 1.63 | -0.59% |
| Ontario Clean Energy Benef | it 1 | | | | -\$ | 27.63 | | | | | - | \$ 27.47 | \$ | 0.16 | -0.58% |
| Total Bill on RPP (including OC | EB) | | | | \$ | 248.70 | | | | | \$ | \$ 247.23 | -\$ | 1.47 | -0.59% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Loss Factor (%) | | | 3.5800% | | | | | | 3.3800% | | | | | | |

Customer Class: Residential

| TOU / non-TOU: | TOU | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|--------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|------|--------|---------|-------------------|----------|---------------|-------|-----------|-------------|---------------------|
| | Consumption | | 2,000 | kWh 🔇 | | May 1 - Oc | tobe | r 31 | O Nover | mber 1 - April 30 | (Se | elect this ra | dio I | outton fo | application | s filed after Oct 3 |
| | | | Current I | Board-App | oro | /ed | 1 | | 20 | 16 Proposed | | | | Im | pact 201 | 6 vs 2015 |
| | | | Rate | Volume | 0 | Charge | | | Rate | Volume | C | Charge | | | | |
| Monthly Sonvice Charge | Charge Unit | ¢ | (\$) | 1 | ¢ | 9.67 | | ¢ | 13 9700 | 1 | ¢ | 13.97 | | ¢\$ C | 4 30 | % Change |
| Smart Meter Rate Adder | Monuny | φ | 9.6700 | 1 | э S | - 5.07 | | φ | 13.9700 | 1 | 9 S | - | | ŝ | 4.30 | 44.47 /0 |
| | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | | | 1 | \$ | | | \$ | - | |
| Distribution Volumetric Rate | per kWh | \$ | 0.0234 | 2000 | \$ ¢ | 46.80 | | \$ | 0.0207 | 2000 | 50 | 41.40 | | -\$ ¢ | 5.40 | -11.54% |
| I RAM & SSM Rate Rider | per kWb | ¢ | | 2000 | ŝ | | | -\$ | 0.0003 | 2000 | -\$ | 0.60 | | -\$ | 0.60 | |
| | por kum | Ψ | - | 2000 | \$ | - | | Ŷ | 0.0000 | 2000 | \$ | - | | ŝ | - | |
| | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 2000 | \$ ¢ | - | | | | 2000 | 50 | - | | \$ ¢ | - | |
| Sub-Total A (excluding pass the | ough) | | | 2000 | э S | 56.47 | | | | 2000 | э S | 54 77 | | ې \$. | 1 70 | -3 01% |
| Deferral/Variance Account | ougn) | \$ | - | | • | 00.11 | | • | | | Ŷ | | | | | 0.0170 |
| Disposition Rate Rider | | · | | 2000 | \$ | - | | -\$ | 0.0006 | 2000 | -\$ | 1.20 | | -\$ | 1.20 | |
| | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| Low Voltage Service Charge | per kWh | \$ | 0.00006 | 2,072 | \$ | 0.12 | | \$ | 0.00007 | 2,068 | \$ | 0.14 | | \$ | 0.02 | 16.44% |
| Smart Meter Entity Charge | Monthly | ф Ф | 0.1021 | 12 | э S | 0.79 | | ф С | 0.1021 | 1 | Э S | 0.90 | | -ə S | - 0.41 | -5.59% |
| Sub-Total B - Distribution | wontiny | Ψ | 0.7300 | | Ť | 0.10 | | Ψ | 0.7500 | | ÷. | 0.10 | | , | | 0.0070 |
| (includes Sub-Total A) | | | | | \$ | 64.70 | | | | | \$ | 61.41 | | -\$ | 3.29 | -5.08% |
| RTSR - Network | per kWh | \$ | 0.0077 | 2072 | \$ | 15.95 | | \$ | 0.0077 | 2068 | \$ | 15.92 | | -\$ | 0.03 | -0.19% |
| RTSR - Line and | per kWh | \$ | 0.0042 | 2072 | s | 8 70 | | \$ | 0.0042 | 2068 | \$ | 8 68 | | -\$ | 0.02 | -0 19% |
| Transformation Connection | P | Ť | | | Ť | | | * | | | - | | | - | | |
| Sub-Total C - Delivery | | | | | \$ | 89.35 | | | | | \$ | 86.01 | | -\$ | 3.34 | -3.73% |
| (Including Sub-Total B) Wholesale Market Service | per kWb | ¢ | 0.0044 | | - | | | \$ | 0.0044 | | | | | | | |
| Charge (WMSC) | por kirin | Ψ | 0.0044 | 2072 | \$ | 9.12 | | Ψ | 0.0044 | 2068 | \$ | 9.10 | | -\$ | 0.02 | -0.19% |
| Rural and Remote Rate | per kWh | \$ | 0.0013 | | _ | | | \$ | 0.0013 | | ~ | | | ~ | | |
| Protection (RRRP) | • • | · | | 2072 | \$ | 2.69 | | Ċ | | 2068 | \$ | 2.69 | | -\$ | 0.01 | -0.19% |
| Standard Supply Service Charge | Monthly | \$ | 0.2500 | 1 | \$ | 0.25 | | \$ | 0.2500 | 1 | \$ | 0.25 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Debt Retirement Charge (DRC) | | \$ | 0.0069 | 2000 | \$ | 13.88 | | \$ | 0.0069 | 2000 | \$ | 13.88 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - Off Peak | | \$ | 0.0800 | 1280 | \$ | 102.40 | | \$ | 0.0800 | 1280 | \$ | 102.40 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - Mid Peak | | \$ | 0.1220 | 360 | Э С | 43.92 | | \$ | 0.1220 | 360 | 9 6 | 43.92 | | ъ е | - | 0.00% |
| Fordy - RPP - Tier 1 | | ¢ 2 | 0.1610 | 600 | ŝ | 56.40 | | ф С | 0.1010 | 600 | ŝ | 56.40 | | ŝ | | 0.00% |
| Energy - RPP - Tier 2 | | \$ | 0.1100 | 1400 | ŝ | 154.00 | | \$ | 0.1100 | 1400 | \$ | 154.00 | | ŝ | - | 0.00% |
| | | 1 | | | ÷. | | | | | | , | | | | | |
| Total Bill on TOU (before Taxes |) | | 120/ | | \$ | 319.57 | | | 120/ | | \$ 6 | 316.21 | | -\$ ¢ | 3.36 | -1.05% |
| HSI Total Bill (including HST) | | | 13% | | ф С | 361 11 | | | 13% | | e e | 357 32 | | -⊅ _© | 3.80 | -1.05% |
| Optorio Clean Energy Band | 1 | | | | ŝ | 36 11 | | | | | φ _\$ | 35 73 | | ¢ | 0.38 | -1.05% |
| Total Bill on TOLL (including OC | FB) | | | | S | 325.00 | | | | | S | 321 59 | | -\$ | 3 42 | -1.05% |
| | | | | | ļ | | - | | | | Ť | | | | 0.12 | |
| Total Bill on RPP (before Taxes |) | 1 | 1001 | | \$ | 325.69 | | | 4000 | | \$ | 322.33 | | -\$ | 3.36 | -1.03% |
| HOI Total Bill (including UCT) | | 1 | 13% | | 9 6 | 42.34 | | | 13% | | e e | 41.90 | | φ. | 0.44 | -1.03% |
| Orataria Olarra Erranna Barra | m. 1 | l | | | ¢ ¢ | 26.90 | | | | | 9 6 | 36 42 | | -φ ¢ | 0.00 | 1.03% |
| Total Bill on PBP (including OC | ED) | 1 | | | ¢ | 221 22 | | | | | ¢ | 227.94 | | ÷ | 2.40 | -1.03% |
| Total Bill on KFF (including OC | | | | | \$ | 531.23 | | | | | φ | 521.01 | | -φ | 3.42 | -1.05% |
| | | | 0 5000 | | | | | _ | 0.0000 | | | | | | | |
| Loss Factor (%) | | | 3.5800% | | | | | | 3.3800% | | | | | | | |

| Customer Class: | Residential |
|-----------------|-------------|
| TOU / non-TOU: | TOU |
| | Consumption |

onsumption 100 kWh
May 1 - October 31 O November 1 - April 30 (Select this radio button for applications filed after Oct 3

| | | | Current | Board-App | oro\ | /ed | | | 20 | 16 Proposed | | | | Im | pact 201 | 6 vs 2015 |
|--|------------------|--------|----------|-----------|--------|--------|---|--------|-----------|-------------|--------|--------|---|------------|----------|-----------|
| | Charge Unit | | Rate | Volume | C | Charge | | | Rate | Volume | 0 | Charge | | ¢ (1 | 2000 | % Change |
| Monthly Sonvice Charge | Monthly | ¢ | (\$) | 1 | ¢ | 9.67 | | \$ | 13 9700 | 1 | ¢ | (2) | | \$ CI | 4 30 | % Change |
| Smart Meter Rate Adder | wonuny | φ | 9.0700 | 1 | ŝ | | | Ψ | 10.3700 | 1 | ŝ | - | | ŝ | | 44.4770 |
| omart weter Nate Adder | | | | 1 | ŝ | | | | | 1 | ŝ | - | | ŝ | - | |
| | | | | 1 | ŝ | | | | | 1 | ŝ | - | | ŝ | - | |
| | | | | 1 | ŝ | | | | | 1 | ŝ | - | | ŝ | - | |
| | | | | 1 | ŝ | | | | | 1 | ŝ | - | | ŝ | - | |
| Distribution Volumetric Rate | ner kWh | \$ | 0.0234 | 100 | ŝ | 2.34 | | \$ | 0.0207 | 100 | ŝ | 2.07 | | -\$ | 0.27 | -11.54% |
| Smart Meter Disposition Rider | portan | Ψ | 0.0201 | 100 | ŝ | - | | Ŷ | 0.0201 | 100 | ŝ | - | | ŝ | - | |
| I RAM & SSM Rate Rider | ner kWh | \$ | | 100 | ŝ | - | | -\$ | 0.0003 | 100 | -\$ | 0.03 | | -\$ | 0.03 | |
| | portan | Ψ | | 100 | ŝ | - | | - | | 100 | ŝ | - | | ŝ | - | |
| | | | | 100 | ŝ | - | | | | 100 | \$ | - | | ŝ | - | |
| | | | | 100 | s | - | | | | 100 | s | - | | s | - | |
| | | | | 100 | ŝ | - | | | | 100 | ŝ | - | | ŝ | - | |
| | | | | 100 | ŝ | - | | | | 100 | ŝ | - | | ŝ | - | |
| | | | | 100 | ŝ | - | | | | 100 | \$ | - | | ŝ | - | |
| | | | | 100 | ŝ | - | | | | 100 | \$ | - | | ŝ | - | |
| Sub-Total A (excluding pass the | rough) | | | | \$ | 12.01 | 1 | | | | \$ | 16.01 | | \$ | 4.00 | 33.31% |
| Deferral/Variance Account | | \$ | - | 100 | ÷ | | 1 | ¢ | 0.0000 | 100 | ¢ | 0.00 | | ¢ | 0.00 | |
| Disposition Rate Rider | | | | 100 | Э | - | 1 | -⊅ | 0.0006 | 100 | -⊅ | 0.06 | | - þ | 0.06 | |
| | | | | 100 | \$ | - | | | | 100 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 100 | \$ | - | | | | 100 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 100 | \$ | - | | | | 100 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| Low Voltage Service Charge | per kWh | \$ | 0.00006 | 104 | \$ | 0.01 | | \$ | 0.00007 | 103 | \$ | 0.01 | | \$ | 0.00 | 16.44% |
| Line Losses on Cost of Power | | \$ | 0.1021 | 4 | \$ | 0.37 | | \$ | 0.1021 | 3 | \$ | 0.35 | | -\$ | 0.02 | -5.59% |
| Smart Meter Entity Charge | Monthly | \$ | 0.7900 | 1 | \$ | 0.79 | | \$ | 0.7900 | 1 | \$ | 0.79 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Sub-Total B - Distribution | | | | | \$ | 13.17 | | | | | \$ | 17.09 | | \$ | 3.92 | 29.76% |
| (includes Sub-Total A) | per kWb | ¢ | 0.0077 | 104 | ŝ | 0.80 | | ¢ | 0.0077 | 103 | ŝ | 0.80 | | .\$ | 0.00 | -0.19% |
| RTSR - Line and | perkvin | Ψ | 0.0077 | 104 | Ψ | 0.00 | | Ψ | 0.0077 | 100 | Ψ | 0.00 | | ÷ | 0.00 | -0.1370 |
| Transformation Connection | per kWh | \$ | 0.0042 | 104 | \$ | 0.44 | | \$ | 0.0042 | 103 | \$ | 0.43 | | -\$ | 0.00 | -0.19% |
| Sub-Total C - Delivery | | | | | • | 14.40 | | | | | ¢ | 10 22 | | ¢ | 2 02 | 27 20% |
| (including Sub-Total B) | | | | | € | 14.40 | | | | | • | 10.52 | | Ŷ | 5.52 | 21.20% |
| Wholesale Market Service | per kWh | \$ | 0.0044 | 104 | s | 0.46 | | \$ | 0.0044 | 103 | s | 0.45 | | -\$ | 0.00 | -0 19% |
| Charge (WMSC) | | | | | Ŷ | 0.10 | | | | 100 | Ť | 0.10 | | Ŷ | 0.00 | 0.1070 |
| Rural and Remote Rate | per kWh | \$ | 0.0013 | 104 | \$ | 0.13 | | \$ | 0.0013 | 103 | \$ | 0.13 | | -\$ | 0.00 | -0.19% |
| Protection (RRRP) | | - | | | Ĩ | | | | | | Ť | | | - | | |
| Standard Supply Service Charge | Monthly | \$ | 0.2500 | 1 | \$ | 0.25 | | \$ | 0.2500 | 1 | \$ | 0.25 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Debt Retirement Charge (DRC) | | \$ | 0.0069 | 100 | ¢ | 0.69 | | \$ | 0.0069 | 100 | ¢ | 0.69 | | 9 6 | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - Off Peak | | \$ | 0.0800 | 64 | ¢ | 5.12 | | \$ | 0.0800 | 64 | ¢ | 5.12 | | 9 6 | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - Mid Peak | | \$ | 0.1220 | 18 | 9 | 2.20 | | \$ | 0.1220 | 18 | 9 | 2.20 | | 9 6 | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - On Peak | | \$ | 0.1610 | 100 | ¢ ¢ | 2.90 | | Ð | 0.1610 | 10 | ф ф | 2.90 | | ф ф | - | 0.00% |
| Energy - RPP - Tier 1 Energy - RPP - Tier 2 | | Э С | 0.0940 | 100 | ф С | 9.40 | | ф Ф | 0.0940 | 100 | ф е | 9.40 | | ф Ф | - | 0.00% |
| Energy - KFF - Tiel 2 | | φ | 0.1100 | 0 | 9 | - | | φ | 0.1100 | 0 | 9 | - | _ | ş | - | |
| Total Bill on TOU (before Taxes |) | | | | \$ | 26.15 | | | | | \$ | 30.07 | | \$ | 3.92 | 14.98% |
| HST | | 1 | 13% | | \$ | 3.40 | 1 | | 13% | | \$ | 3.91 | | \$ | 0.51 | 14.98% |
| Total Bill (including HST) | | | | | \$ | 29.55 | | | | | \$ | 33.98 | | \$ | 4.43 | 14.98% |
| Ontario Clean Energy Bene | fit ¹ | | | | -\$ | 2.96 | | | | | -\$ | 3.40 | | -\$ | 0.44 | 14.86% |
| Total Bill on TOU (including OC | EB) | | | | \$ | 26.59 | | | | | \$ | 30.58 | | \$ | 3.99 | 14.99% |
| Total Bill on RPP (before Taxes |) | 1 | | | \$ | 25.34 | | | | | \$ | 29.26 | | \$ | 3.92 | 15.46% |
| HST | | 1 | 13% | | \$ | 3.29 | 1 | | 13% | | \$ | 3.80 | | \$ | 0.51 | 15.46% |
| Total Bill (including HST) | | 1 | | | \$ | 28.63 | 1 | | | | \$ | 33.06 | | \$ | 4.43 | 15.46% |
| Ontario Clean Energy Bene | fit ¹ | 1 | | | -\$ | 2.86 | 1 | | | | -\$ | 3.31 | | -\$ | 0.45 | 15.73% |
| Total Bill on RPP (including OC | EB) | | | | \$ | 25.77 | L | | | | \$ | 29.75 | | \$ | 3.98 | 15.43% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | |
| Loss Factor (%) | | _ | 3 5800% | 1 | | | | | 3 3800% | 1 | | | | | | |
| 2000 . 40101 (70) | | | 0.000070 | | | | | - | 0.0000 /0 | | | | | | | |

| Customer Class: | Residential |
|-----------------|-------------|
| TOU / non-TOU: | TOU |

Consumption 250 kWh
May 1 - October 31 O November 1 - April 30 (Select this radio button for applications filed after Oct 31)

| | | | Current | Board-App | orov | /ed | 11 | | 20 ⁻ | 16 Proposed | | | lm | pact 201 | 6 vs 2015 |
|----------------------------------|------------------|--------|---------|-----------|--------|---------------|----|--------|-----------------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|-----------|
| | Charge Unit | | Rate | Volume | C | Charge | | | Rate | Volume | 0 | Charge | \$ (1) | ange | % Change |
| Monthly Service Charge | Monthly | ¢ | 9.6700 | 1 | s | 9.67 | | \$ | 13 9700 | 1 | s | 13.97 | \$ | 4 30 | 44 47% |
| Smart Meter Rate Adder | Monuny | Ψ | 5.0700 | 1 | ŝ | - | | Ŷ | 10.0700 | 1 | ŝ | - | ŝ | - | |
| | | | | 1 | ŝ | - | | | | 1 | ŝ | - | ŝ | - | |
| | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | | | 1 | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | | | 1 | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | | | 1 | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| Distribution Volumetric Rate | per kWh | \$ | 0.0234 | 250 | \$ | 5.85 | | \$ | 0.0207 | 250 | \$ | 5.18 | -\$ | 0.68 | -11.54% |
| Smart Meter Disposition Rider | | | | 250 | \$ | - | | | | 250 | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| LRAM & SSM Rate Rider | per kWh | \$ | - | 250 | \$ | - | | -\$ | 0.0003 | 250 | -\$ | 0.08 | -\$ | 0.08 | |
| | | | | 250 | \$ | - | | | | 250 | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 250 | ¢ | - | | | | 250 | ¢ | - | 3 | - | |
| | | | | 250 | 9 | - | | | | 250 | 9 | - | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 250 | ę | | | | | 250 | ę | | ę | - | |
| | | | | 250 | ŝ | _ | | | | 250 | ŝ | _ | ŝ | - | |
| | | | | 250 | ŝ | - | | | | 250 | ŝ | - | ŝ | - | |
| Sub-Total A (excluding pass thr | ough) | | | | \$ | 15.52 | | | | | \$ | 19.07 | \$ | 3.55 | 22.87% |
| Deferral/Variance Account | | \$ | - | 250 | ¢ | - | | .¢ | 0.0006 | 250 | \$ | 0.15 | | 0.15 | |
| Disposition Rate Rider | | | | 250 | φ | - | | φ | 0.0006 | 250 | φ. | 0.13 | -φ | 0.13 | |
| | | | | 250 | \$ | - | | | | 250 | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 250 | \$ | - | | | | 250 | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| Law Malla as Oracias Observa | | ~ | 0.00000 | 250 | 9 | - 0.02 | | ¢ | 0.00007 | 250 | 9 | | \$ | | 16 449/ |
| Low voltage Service Charge | per kvvn | ¢ | 0.00006 | 259 | ф С | 0.02 | | ф С | 0.00007 | 200 | ф С | 0.02 | ¢ | 0.00 | -5 59% |
| Smart Meter Entity Charge | Monthly | ŝ | 0.7900 | 1 | ŝ | 0.79 | | ŝ | 0.7900 | 1 | ŝ | 0.79 | ŝ | - | 0.00% |
| Sub-Total B - Distribution | monuny | Ť | 0.1000 | | Ť | | | Ŷ | 0.1000 | | Ť | | | | |
| (includes Sub-Total A) | | | | | \$ | 17.24 | | | | | Ş | 20.59 | Ş | 3.35 | 19.44% |
| RTSR - Network | per kWh | \$ | 0.0077 | 259 | \$ | 1.99 | | \$ | 0.0077 | 258 | \$ | 1.99 | -\$ | 0.00 | -0.19% |
| RTSR - Line and | per kWh | \$ | 0.0042 | 259 | \$ | 1.09 | | \$ | 0.0042 | 258 | \$ | 1.09 | -\$ | 0.00 | -0.19% |
| Sub-Total C - Delivery | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (including Sub-Total B) | | | | | \$ | 20.32 | | | | | \$ | 23.67 | \$ | 3.35 | 16.46% |
| Wholesale Market Service | per kWh | \$ | 0.0044 | 250 | 6 | 4.44 | | \$ | 0.0044 | 259 | 6 | 4.4.4 | ¢ | 0.00 | 0.10% |
| Charge (WMSC) | | | | 259 | Э | 1.14 | | | | 258 | Э | 1.14 | -⊅ | 0.00 | -0.19% |
| Rural and Remote Rate | per kWh | \$ | 0.0013 | 250 | ¢ | 0.34 | | \$ | 0.0013 | 258 | ¢ | 0.34 | .¢ | 0.00 | -0 19% |
| Protection (RRRP) | | | | 200 | Ψ | 0.04 | | | | 200 | Ψ | 0.54 | ÷ | 0.00 | -0.1370 |
| Standard Supply Service Charge | Monthly | \$ | 0.2500 | 1 | \$ | 0.25 | | \$ | 0.2500 | 1 | \$ | 0.25 | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Debt Retirement Charge (DRC) | | \$ | 0.0069 | 250 | \$ | 1.74 | | \$ | 0.0069 | 250 | \$ | 1.74 | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - Off Peak | | \$ | 0.0800 | 160 | Э С | 12.80 | | \$ | 0.0800 | 160 | Э С | 12.80 | ъ е | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - Mid Peak | | ф Ф | 0.1220 | 45 | ę | 7 25 | | ¢ ¢ | 0.1220 | 45 | ę | 7 25 | ę | - | 0.00% |
| Energy - RPP - Tier 1 | | ş S | 0.0940 | 250 | ŝ | 23.50 | | s s | 0.0940 | 250 | ŝ | 23.50 | ŝ | - | 0.00% |
| Energy - RPP - Tier 2 | | \$ | 0.1100 | 0 | \$ | | | \$ | 0.1100 | 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| Total Bill on TOLL (before Tours | \ \ | - | | | ¢ | 40.22 | | | | | ¢ | 52.66 | ¢ | 2.24 | 6 70%/ |
| HST | , | 1 | 1.20/ | | s S | 49.32 6.41 | | | 13% | | \$ | 6.85 | ŝ | 0.43 | 6.78% |
| Total Bill (including HST) | | | 1370 | | ŝ | 55 73 | | | 1070 | | ŝ | 59.51 | ŝ | 3.78 | 6 78% |
| Ontario Clean Energy Band | rie ¹ | | | | -\$ | 5 57 | | | | | -\$ | 5.95 | -\$ | 0.38 | 6.82% |
| Total Bill on TOLL (including OC | FB) | | | | s | 50.16 | | | | | S | 53 56 | s | 3 40 | 6.77% |
| | | | | | Ť. | | | | | | Ì | | | | 511170 |
| Total Bill on RPP (before Taxes |) | 1 | | | \$ | 47.28 | | | | | \$ | 50.62 | \$ | 3.34 | 7.07% |
| HST | | | 13% | | \$ | 6.15 | | | 13% | | \$ | 6.58 | \$ | 0.43 | 7.07% |
| I otal Bill (including HST) | . 1 | 1 | | | Þ | 03.43 | | | | | \$ | or.21 | ¢ | 3.78 | 7.07% |
| Untario Clean Energy Benel | | | | | -⊅ | 5.34 | | | | | -3 | 5.72 | -3 | 0.38 | 7.12% |
| I otal BIII on RPP (including OC | EB) | | | | \$ | 48.09 | | | | | \$ | 51.49 | \$ | 3.40 | 7.06% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Loss Factor (%) | | | 3.5800% | | | | | | 3.3800% | | | | | | |

Customer Class: Residential

1

| TOU / non-TOU: | TOU | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|---------|---------|------------|--------|----------------|------|------|--------------------|-------------------|---------|--------------|-------|-----------|----------------|----------------------|
| | Consumption | | 500 | kWh 🔇 | | May 1 - Oc | tobe | r 3 | 1 O Nover | mber 1 - April 30 |) (Se | lect this ra | dio t | outton fo | or application | ns filed after Oct 3 |
| | | _ | Current | Board-Apr | orov | /ed | 1 | Г | 20' | 16 Proposed | - | | 1 | In | npact 201 | 6 vs 2015 |
| | Charge Unit | | Rate | Volume | 0 | charge | | | Rate | Volume | C | harge | | * * | hongo | % Change |
| Monthly Service Charge | Monthly | \$ | 9.6700 | 1 | \$ | 9.67 | | 9 | § 13.9700 | 1 | \$ | 13.97 | | \$ | 4.30 | 44.47% |
| Smart Meter Rate Adder | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 1 | \$ | | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| Distribution Volumetric Rate | per kWh | \$ | 0.0234 | 500 | ş | 11.70 | | 1 | \$ 0.020 <i>7</i> | 500 | \$ ¢ | 10.35 | | -5 ¢ | 1.35 | -11.54% |
| LRAM & SSM Rate Rider | per kWh | \$ | - | 500 | \$ | - | | -9 | 6 0.0003 | 500 | -\$ | 0.15 | | -\$ | 0.15 | |
| | | | | 500 | \$ | - | | | | 500 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 500 | \$ | - | | | | 500 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 500 | э S | - | | | | 500 | э S | - | | э S | - | |
| | | | | 500 | \$ | - | | | | 500 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 500 | \$ | - | | | | 500 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| Sub-Total A (avaluding pass the | ough) | - | | 500 | Ş | 21.37 | | ┝ | | 500 | S e | 24.17 | | \$ | 2.80 | 13 10% |
| Deferral/Variance Account | ougn) | \$ | - | | ę e | 21.37 | | | | | ф Ф | 24.17 | | ې ۵ | 2.00 | 13.10% |
| Disposition Rate Rider | | Ċ | | 500 | \$ | - | | -3 | \$ 0.0006 | 500 | -\$ | 0.30 | | -\$ | 0.30 | |
| | | | | 500 | \$ | - | | | | 500 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 500 | э S | - | | | | 500 | э S | - | | э S | - | |
| Low Voltage Service Charge | per kWh | \$ | 0.00006 | 518 | \$ | 0.03 | | 9 | 6 0.00007 | 517 | \$ | 0.04 | | \$ | 0.01 | 16.44% |
| Line Losses on Cost of Power | | \$ | 0.1021 | 18 | \$ | 1.83 | | 9 | 6 0.1021 | 17 | \$ | 1.73 | | -\$ | 0.10 | -5.59% |
| Smart Meter Entity Charge | Monthly | \$ | 0.7900 | 1 | \$ | 0.79 | | 3 | 6 0.7900 | 1 | \$ | 0.79 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| (includes Sub-Total A) | | | | | \$ | 24.02 | | | | | \$ | 26.42 | | \$ | 2.40 | 10.00% |
| RTSR - Network | per kWh | \$ | 0.0077 | 518 | \$ | 3.99 | | 9 | \$ 0.0077 | 517 | \$ | 3.98 | | -\$ | 0.01 | -0.19% |
| RTSR - Line and | per kWh | \$ | 0.0042 | 518 | \$ | 2.18 | | 9 | 6 0.0042 | 517 | \$ | 2.17 | | -\$ | 0.00 | -0.19% |
| Sub-Total C - Delivery | | | | | | | | F | | | | | | • | | |
| (including Sub-Total B) | | | | | \$ | 30.18 | | | | | \$ | 32.57 | | \$ | 2.39 | 7.92% |
| Wholesale Market Service | per kWh | \$ | 0.0044 | 518 | \$ | 2.28 | | 44 | \$ 0.0044 | 517 | \$ | 2.27 | | -\$ | 0.00 | -0.19% |
| Rural and Remote Rate | per kWh | s | 0.0013 | | | | | 5 | 6 0.0013 | | | | | | | |
| Protection (RRRP) | | Ť | | 518 | \$ | 0.67 | | | | 517 | \$ | 0.67 | | -\$ | 0.00 | -0.19% |
| Standard Supply Service Charge | Monthly | \$ | 0.2500 | 1 | \$ | 0.25 | | 9 | 6 0.2500 | 1 | \$ | 0.25 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Debt Retirement Charge (DRC) | | \$ ¢ | 0.0069 | 500 320 | ş | 3.47 | | 07.0 | 6 0.0069 0.0800 | 500 320 | \$ ¢ | 3.47 | | ş | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - Mid Peak | | ŝ | 0.1220 | 90 | \$ | 10.98 | | 9 | 0.1220 | 90 | \$ | 10.98 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - On Peak | | \$ | 0.1610 | 90 | \$ | 14.49 | | 9 | 0.1610 | 90 | \$ | 14.49 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Energy - RPP - Tier 1 | | \$ | 0.0940 | 500 | \$ | 47.00 | | 9 | 6 0.0940 | 500 | \$ | 47.00 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Energy - RPP - Tier 2 | _ | \$ | 0.1100 | 0 | Ą | | | 3 | 0.1100 | 0 | A | · · | | ¢ | | |
| Total Bill on TOU (before Taxes |) | | | | \$ | 87.92 | | | | | \$ | 90.31 | ı | \$ | 2.39 | 2.71% |
| HST Total Bill (including HST) | | | 13% | | \$ | 11.43 99.35 | | | 13% | | \$ | 11.74 | | \$ | 2 70 | 2.71% |
| Ontario Clean Energy Benet | fit ¹ | | | | -\$ | 9.94 | | | | | -\$ | 10.21 | | -\$ | 0.27 | 2.72% |
| Total Bill on TOU (including OC | EB) | | | | \$ | 89.41 | | | | | \$ | 91.84 | | \$ | 2.43 | 2.71% |
| Tatal Dill an DDD (hafana Tanaa) | <u>,</u> | | | | ¢ | 02.95 | | T | | | é | 96.24 | | ć | 2 20 | 2 949/ |
| HST |) | 1 | 13% | | \$ | 10.90 | | 1 | 13% | | \$ | 11.21 | I. | \$ | 0.31 | 2.84% |
| Total Bill (including HST) | | | | | \$ | 94.76 | | | | | \$ | 97.45 | | \$ | 2.70 | 2.84% |
| Ontario Clean Energy Bener | fit ¹ | | | | -\$ | 9.48 | | | | | -\$ | 9.75 | | -\$ | 0.27 | 2.85% |
| Total Bill on RPP (including OC | EB) | | | | \$ | 85.28 | | | | | \$ | 87.70 | | \$ | 2.43 | 2.84% |
| Loss Factor (%) | | | 3.5800% | | | | | | 3.3800% | | | | | | | |

Customer Class: Residential

| TOU / non-TOU: | TOU | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|-------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|--------|---------|-----------------|-------------------|----------|---------------|-------|----------|-----------------|---------------------|
| | Consumption | 800 | kWh 🔇 | 🕽 May | y 1 - Oct | ober 3 | 31 | O Nover | mber 1 - April 30 |) (Se | elect this ra | dio b | utton | for applicatior | s filed after Oct 3 |
| | | Current | Board-App | orovec | ł | I D | | 20 ⁻ | 16 Proposed | | | 1 | | mpact 201 | 6 vs 2015 |
| | | Rate | Volume | Cha | arge | | | Rate | Volume | C | Charge | | | | |
| Monthly Service Charge | Charge Unit Monthly | (\$) \$ 9.6700 | 1 | \$ | \$) 9.67 | - | \$ | (\$) | 1 | \$ | (\$) 13.97 | | \$ | Change 4.30 | % Change 44.47% |
| Smart Meter Rate Adder | wontiny | φ 3.0700 | 1 | \$ | - | | Ŷ | 10.0700 | 1 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | 1 | \$ | - | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | 1 | ş | - | | | | 1 | ş | | | ş | - | |
| | | | 1 | \$ | - | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| Distribution Volumetric Rate | per kWh | \$ 0.0234 | 800 | \$ 1 | 18.72 | | \$ | 0.0207 | 800 | \$ | 16.56 | | -\$ | 2.16 | -11.54% |
| Smart Meter Disposition Rider | per WM/h | ¢ | 800 | \$ ¢ | - | | ¢ | 0.0002 | 800 | \$ ¢ | | | \$ ¢ | - 0.24 | |
| LRAW & SSM Rate Rider | per kvvn | ъ - | 800 | э S | - | - | φ | 0.0003 | 800 | -⊅ \$ | - 0.24 | | -ə \$ | - 0.24 | |
| | | | 800 | \$ | - | | | | 800 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | 800 | \$ | - | | | | 800 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | 800 | \$ ¢ | - | | | | 800 | \$ ¢ | - | | \$ ¢ | - | |
| | | | 800 | \$ | - | | | | 800 | \$ | | | \$ | - | |
| | | | 800 | \$ | - | | | | 800 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| Sub-Total A (excluding pass the | ough) | | | \$ 2 | 28.39 | _ | | | | \$ | 30.29 | | \$ | 1.90 | 6.69% |
| Deferral/Variance Account Disposition Rate Rider | | \$ - | 800 | \$ | • | - | \$ | 0.0006 | 800 | -\$ | 0.48 | | -\$ | 0.48 | |
| | | | 800 | ş | - | | | | 800 | ş | | | ş | - | |
| | | | 800 | \$ | - | | | | 800 | \$ | | | \$ | - | |
| Low Voltage Service Charge | per kWh | \$ 0.00006 | 829 | \$ | 0.05 | | \$ | 0.00007 | 827 | \$ | 0.06 | | \$ | 0.01 | 16.44% |
| Line Losses on Cost of Power | | \$ 0.1021 | 29 | \$ | 2.93 | | \$ | 0.1021 | 27 | \$ | 2.76 | | -\$ | 0.16 | -5.59% |
| Swb-Total R - Distribution | Monthly | \$ 0.7900 | 1 | Þ | 0.79 | - | \$ | 0.7900 | 1 | ¢ | 0.79 | | 3 | - | 0.00% |
| (includes Sub-Total A) | | | | \$ 3 | 32.16 | | | | | \$ | 33.42 | | \$ | 1.26 | 3.93% |
| RTSR - Network | per kWh | \$ 0.0077 | 829 | \$ | 6.38 | | \$ | 0.0077 | 827 | \$ | 6.37 | | -\$ | 0.01 | -0.19% |
| RTSR - Line and | per kWh | \$ 0.0042 | 829 | \$ | 3.48 | | \$ | 0.0042 | 827 | \$ | 3.47 | | -\$ | 0.01 | -0.19% |
| Sub-Total C - Delivery | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | |
| (including Sub-Total B) | | | | \$ 4 | 42.02 | | | | | \$ | 43.26 | | \$ | 1.25 | 2.96% |
| Wholesale Market Service Charge (WMSC) | per kWh | \$ 0.0044 | 829 | \$ | 3.65 | | \$ | 0.0044 | 827 | \$ | 3.64 | | -\$ | 0.01 | -0.19% |
| Rural and Remote Rate Protection (RRRP) | per kWh | \$ 0.0013 | 829 | \$ | 1.08 | | \$ | 0.0013 | 827 | \$ | 1.08 | | -\$ | 0.00 | -0.19% |
| Standard Supply Service Charge | Monthly | \$ 0.2500 | 1 | \$ | 0.25 | | \$ | 0.2500 | 1 | \$ | 0.25 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Debt Retirement Charge (DRC) | | \$ 0.0069 | 800 | \$ | 5.55 | | \$ | 0.0069 | 800 | \$ | 5.55 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - Off Peak | | \$ 0.0800 | 512 | \$ 4 ¢ 1 | 17.57 | | \$ | 0.0800 | 512 | \$ ¢ | 40.96 | | \$ ¢ | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - On Peak | | \$ 0.1220 | 144 | \$ 2 | 23.18 | | Ф \$ | 0.1220 | 144 | \$ | 23.18 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Energy - RPP - Tier 1 | | \$ 0.0940 | 600 | \$ 5 | 56.40 | | \$ | 0.0940 | 600 | \$ | 56.40 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Energy - RPP - Tier 2 | | \$ 0.1100 | 200 | \$ 2 | 22.00 | | \$ | 0.1100 | 200 | \$ | 22.00 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Total Bill on TOU (before Taxes |) | 1 | | \$ 13 | 34.25 | | | | | \$ | 135.49 | | \$ | 1.24 | 0.92% |
| HST | | 13% | | \$ 1 | 17.45 | | | 13% | | \$ | 17.61 | | \$ | 0.16 | 0.92% |
| Total Bill (including HST) | - 1 | | | \$ 15 | 51.71 | | | | | \$ | 153.10 | | \$ | 1.40 | 0.92% |
| Ontario Clean Energy Bener | TT CD | | | - 5 1 1 | 15.17 | | | | | -> e | 127 70 | | -5 e | 0.14 | 0.92% |
| Total Bill on TOO (including OC | | | | φic | | | | | | Ŷ | 131.19 | | Ŷ | 1.20 | 0.32% |
| Total Bill on RPP (before Taxes |) | 100/ | | \$ 13 | 30.94 | | | 100/ | | \$ | 132.18 | | \$ | 1.24 | 0.94% |
| Total Bill (including HST) | | 13% | | φ 1 \$ 14 | 47.96 | | | 13% | | э S | 149.36 | | э S | 1.40 | 0.94% |
| Ontario Clean Energy Benet | fit ¹ | | | -\$ 1 | 14.80 | | | | | -\$ | 14.94 | | -\$ | 0.14 | 0.95% |
| Total Bill on RPP (including OC | EB) | | | \$ 13 | 33.16 | | | | | \$ | 134.42 | | \$ | 1.26 | 0.94% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Loss Factor (%) | | 3.5800% |] | | | | | 3.3800% | | | | | | | |

| Customer Class: | Residential |
|-----------------|-------------|
| TOU / non-TOU: | TOU |
| | |

Consumption 1,000 kWh
May 1 - October 31 O November 1 - April 30 (Select this radio button for applications filed after Oct 3

| Rate Volume Charge Rate Volume Charge S S Charge S | | | Current Board-Approved | | | | | 20 | 16 Proposed | 1 | | | lm | pact 201 | 6 vs 2015 | | |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|----|-------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|----------|-------------|-------|----------|
| Monthly Service Charge Ont Standard Meter Rate Adder Charge Ont Monthly Service Charge Ont Service Charge Ont Per WN S 6700 S 8700 S 9 8770 S 13 9700 S 13 970 S 13 970 S 14 50 3 1 \$ 1.3 70 Distribution Volumetric Rate Smart Meter Deposition Rider per WN \$ 0.0224 1000 \$ 0.0207 1000 \$ 0.270 -5 2.70 -11.54% Distribution Volumetric Rate Smart Meter Deposition Rider per WN \$ 0.0224 1000 \$ - \$ 0.0003 \$ - \$ - - <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Rate</th><th>Volume</th><th>0</th><th>Charge</th><th></th><th></th><th>Rate</th><th>Volume</th><th></th><th>Charge</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<> | | | | Rate | Volume | 0 | Charge | | | Rate | Volume | | Charge | | | | |
| Montmy Service Latage Snart Meter Rate Adder Montmy \$ \$ 5.97.0 \$ 1.5.97.0 \$ 3 3.0.3 44.47/s 4 Distribution Volumetric Rate Snart Meter Disposition Rider per KWh \$ 0.0234 1000 \$ 2.0.00 1 \$ 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 5 - 1 1 5 - 1 1 5 - 1 1000 \$ - 1 0 5 - 1 0 5 - 1 5 - 1 5 - 1 5 - 1 5 5 - 1 5 - 1 5 - | Marthly Oracian Observa | Charge Unit | ¢ | (\$) | 1 | 6 | (\$) | | ¢ | (\$) | 1 | ¢ | (\$) | | \$C | hange | % Change |
| Sind mede Rule Rule Rule per kWh \$ 0.023 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | Monthly Service Charge | Monthly | Э | 9.6700 | 1 | ф Ф | 9.07 | | φ | 13.9700 | 1 | ф е | 13.97 | | ф Ф | 4.30 | 44.4770 |
| Distribution Volumetric Rate Smart Meter Disposition Rider per kWh \$ 0.0234 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 \$ 0 <t< td=""><td>Smart Weter Rate Adder</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>ę</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>¢ ¢</td><td></td><td></td><td>ę</td><td>-</td><td></td></t<> | Smart Weter Rate Adder | | | | 1 | ę | | | | | 1 | ¢ ¢ | | | ę | - | |
| Distribution Volumetric Rate Smart Meter Disposition Ride LRAM & SSM Rate Rider per KWh per KWh \$ 0.0234 S \$ 0.0234 1000 \$ 0.0237 S \$ 0.000 S \$ | | | | | 1 | ŝ | | | | | 1 | ŝ | | | ŝ | | |
| Distribution Volumetric Rate Smart Meter Disposition Rider LRAM & SSM Rate Rider per kWh \$ 0.0234 \$ 0.0207 \$ 0.0207 \$ 0.000 \$ - 1000 \$ - 1000 \$ - | | | | | 1 | ŝ | | | | | 1 | ŝ | | | ŝ | - | |
| Distribution Volumetric Rate per KWh S 0.0234 Distribution LRAM & SSM Rate Rider Per KWh S 0.0234 Per KWh S 0.0234 Distribution Disposition Rate Rider Disposition Per KWh S 0.0007 Disposition Per KWh S 0.0007 Disposi Disposition Rate Rider Di | | | | | 1 | ŝ | - | | | | 1 | ŝ | | | ŝ | - | |
| Sinart Meter Disposition Rider LRAM & SSM Rate Rider per kWn \$. 1000 \$. S . | Distribution Volumetric Rate | per kWh | s | 0.0234 | 1000 | \$ | 23.40 | | \$ | 0.0207 | 1000 | Ś | 20.70 | | -\$ | 2.70 | -11.54% |
| LRAM & SSM Rate Rider per kWh \$ - 1000 \$ - - 5 0.003 1000 \$ 0.00 Sub-Total A (excluding pass through) - - 1000 \$ - 1000 \$ - 5 - Sub-Total A (excluding pass through) - - 5 33.07 - - 5 - Deferal/Variance Account \$ - 1000 \$ - 5 0.000 \$ - 5 - Low Voltage Service Charge per kWh \$ 0.0006 1.000 \$ - \$ 0.000 \$ - \$ 0.000 \$ - \$ 0.000 \$ - \$ 0.000 \$ - \$ 0.000 \$ - \$ 0.000 \$ 0.000 \$ 0.000 \$ 0.000 \$ 0.000 \$ 0.000 \$ 0.000 \$ 0.000 \$ 0.001 | Smart Meter Disposition Rider | P | - | | 1000 | \$ | - | | Ċ | | 1000 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| Sub-Total A (excluding pass through) \$. | LRAM & SSM Rate Rider | per kWh | \$ | - | 1000 | \$ | - | | -\$ | 0.0003 | 1000 | -\$ | 0.30 | | -\$ | 0.30 | |
| Sub-Total A (excluding pass through) Image: stress of the st | | | | | 1000 | \$ | - | | | | 1000 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| Sub-Total A (excluding pass through) 1000 \$ - 1 1000 \$ - 1 1000 \$ - 1 1000 \$ - 1 1000 \$ - 1 1000 \$ - 1 1000 \$ - 1 1000 \$ - 1 \$ - 1 1000 \$ - 1000 \$ 1000 \$ 1000 \$ 1000 \$ 1000 \$ 1000 \$ 1000 \$ 1000 \$ 1000 \$ 1000 \$ 1000 \$ 1000 \$ | | | | | 1000 | \$ | - | | | | 1000 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| Sub-Total A (excluding pass through) Image: structure S - 1000 S - S - Sub-Total A (excluding pass through) Image: structure S 3.3.07 Image: structure S - 1000 S - S 3.4.37 S 1.3.0 3.3.935 Deferall Variance Account Disposition Rate Rider S - 1000 S - S 0.600 S - - 1000 S - S 0.600 S - - 1000 S - S 0.600 S - 0.000 S - S 0.600 S - 0.000 S - S 0.600 S - 0.000 S - S 0.600 - 0.600 S <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1000</td> <td>\$</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1000</td> <td>\$</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>\$</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> | | | | | 1000 | \$ | - | | | | 1000 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| Sub-Total A (excluding pass through) Image: strength of the strengt of the strength of the strength of the strength of | | | | | 1000 | \$ | - | | | | 1000 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| Sub-Total A (excluding pass through) Image: state of the | | | | | 1000 | \$ | - | | | | 1000 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| Sub-Total A (excluding pass through) 1000 \$ - 1000 \$ - \$ - 1000 \$ - \$ - \$ - 0.3337 Deforal/Variance Account Disposition Rate Rider \$ - 1000 \$ - \$ 0.000 \$ 0.60 - \$ 0.60 - \$ 0.60 - \$ 0.60 - \$ 0.60 - \$ 0.000 \$ - \$ - - \$ 0.000 \$ - \$ - | | | | | 1000 | \$ | - | | | | 1000 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| Sub-Total A (excluding pass through) \$ 33.7 \$ 33.7 \$ 34.37 \$ 1.30 3.33% Deprosition Rate Rider \$ - 1000 \$ - - 0.0006 1000 \$ 0.60 \$ 0.60 Disposition Rate Rider \$ - 1000 \$ - - 1000 \$ - \$ 0.0006 1000 \$ 0.60 \$ 0.60 Low Voltage Service Charge per KWh \$ 0.00006 1.036 \$ 0.0007 1.034 \$ 0.077 \$ 0.007 \$ 0.007 \$ 0.007 \$ 0.007 \$ 0.007 \$ 0.007 1.034 \$ 0.79 \$ 0.007 \$ 0.007 \$ 0.007 1.034 \$ 0.79 \$ 0.007 \$ 0.007 1.035 \$ 0.007 1.034 \$ 0.01 -0.19% Sub-Total A (excluding sub-Total A) \$ 0.0042 1036 \$ 7.96 \$ 0.0077 1034 \$ 4.34 -\$ 0.01 -0.19% Transformation Concertion \$ 0.0042 1036 \$ 4.35 \$ 0.0042 1034 \$ 4.34 -\$ 0.01 -0.19% Standard Supply Service Charge Monthly \$ 0.0044 1036 \$ 4.35 | | | | | 1000 | \$ | - | | | | 1000 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| Determinivanance Account Disposition Rate Rider S - 1000 \$ - \$ 0.0006 1000 \$ 0.60 - \$ 0.60 Low Voltage Service Charge Smart Meter Entity Charge per KWh \$ 0.0006 \$ 0.0007 1.034 \$ 0.021 \$ - 1000 \$ - 5 - - - 0.0007 \$ - 1.036 \$ 3.60 \$ 0.0007 \$ 0.021 34 \$ 3.60 \$ 0.02 -5.59% S - 0.0006 \$ 0.021 34 \$ 3.608 \$ 0.02 - 0.0097 \$ 0.02 - 0.097% \$ 0.001 - 0.007 \$ 38.08 \$ 0.01 - 1.35% \$ 0.0077 1034 \$ 0.02 - 1.97% \$ 0.002 - 0.097% \$ 0.001 - 0.97% \$ 0.01 - <t< td=""><td>Sub-Total A (excluding pass thr</td><td>ough)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>\$</td><td>33.07</td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td>\$</td><td>34.37</td><td></td><td>\$</td><td>1.30</td><td>3.93%</td></t<> | Sub-Total A (excluding pass thr | ough) | | | | \$ | 33.07 | | - | | | \$ | 34.37 | | \$ | 1.30 | 3.93% |
| Disposition Rate Rider 1000 \$ - 1000 \$ - 1000 \$ - 1000 \$ - 5 - 5 - 1000 \$ - 1000 \$ - \$ - 1000 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 0.000 \$ - \$ - \$ 0.000 \$ - \$ 0.01 16.44% \$ 0.007 \$ 0.01 16.44% \$ 0.007 \$ 3.45 \$ 0.007 \$ 0.01 16.44% \$ 0.007 1034 \$ 0.007 1034 \$ 0.007 1034 \$ 0.007 1034 \$ 0.01 1.01% \$ 0.01 0.01% 0.01% 0.01% 0.01% 0.02 0.01% 0.01% 0.01% 0.01% 0.01% 0.01% 0.01% 0.01% 0. | Deterral/Variance Account | | \$ | - | 1000 | \$ | - | | -\$ | 0.0006 | 1000 | -\$ | 0.60 | | -\$ | 0.60 | |
| Low Voltage Service Charge Line Losses on Cost of Power Smart Meter Entity Charge Monthly per kWh \$ 0.00006 \$ 0.6 1.000 \$ - 1000 \$ - 5 \$ - 1000 \$ - 5 \$ - 5 \$ - 1000 \$ - 5 \$ - 5 \$ - 1000 \$ - 5 0.007 \$ 133 \$ - 5 \$ - 5 0.007 \$ 133 \$ - 5 0.011 - 5 0.022 - 5 0.011 - 5 0.012 - 5 0.012 - 5 | Disposition Rate Rider | | | | 1000 | ¢ | | | | | 1000 | ¢ | | | ¢ | | |
| Low Voltage Service Charge Line Losses on Cost of Power per kWh \$ 0.0000 \$ - 10000 \$ 0.0000 10000 \$ 0.0000 110000 \$ 0.0000 10000 \$ 0.0000 10000 \$ 0.0000 10000 \$ 0.0000 10000 \$ 0.0000 10000 \$ 0.0000 10000 \$ | | | | | 1000 | ф Ф | - | | | | 1000 | ф е | - | | ф Ф | - | |
| Low Voltage Service Charge Line Losses on Cost of Power Smart Meter Entity Charge Monthly per kWh \$ \$ 0.00006 0.700 1.000 \$ 0.00007 0.001 1.004 \$ 0.0007 0.001 1.004 \$ 0.0007 0.007 1.004 0.0007 0.001 0.007 0.001 0.0007 0.001 0.0004 0.0013 0.0013 0.0013 0.0013 0.0013 0.0013 0.0013 0.0042 0.0014 0.0044 0.0014 0.0013 0.0044 | | | | | 1000 | ę | | | | | 1000 | ¢ ¢ | | | ę | - | |
| Chr. Hunge Gentrage Gentrality | Low Voltage Service Charge | per kWb | ¢ | 0.00006 | 1 036 | ŝ | 0.06 | | s | 0.00007 | 1 034 | ŝ | 0.07 | | ŝ | 0.01 | 16 44% |
| Line Looked of Outback of Outback Monthly \$ 0.702 \$ 0.793 \$ 0.790 1 \$ 0.790 1 \$ 0.00% \$ 0.0013 0.004 1.35 \$ 0.0014 1.34 \$ 0.001 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 | Line Losses on Cost of Power | perkwiii | ę | 0.00000 | 36 | ŝ | 3.66 | | \$ | 0 1021 | 34 | ŝ | 3.45 | | -\$ | 0.01 | -5.59% |
| Sub-Total B - Distribution s 0.000 \$ 37.58 Sub-Total B - Distribution s 0.000 \$ 37.58 \$ 0.000 \$ 0.013 \$ 0.013 \$ 0.013 \$ 0.013 \$ 0.000 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.002 0.013 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.002 0.013 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.001 \$ 0.001 0.013 1034 \$ 0.001 0.019% \$ 0.001 0.013 1034 \$ 0.001 0.013 1034 \$ 0.001 0.019% \$ 0.001 0.019% \$ 0.001 0.013 1034 \$ 0.001 0.019% \$ 0.001 0.013 1034 \$ 0.001 0.019% \$ | Smart Meter Entity Charge | Monthly | ŝ | 0.7900 | 1 | ŝ | 0.79 | | \$ | 0.7900 | 1 | ŝ | 0.79 | | ŝ | - | 0.00% |
| Includes Sub-Total A) Image: Signed Stress of Signe | Sub-Total B - Distribution | | Ť | | | , | | | Ť | | | , | | | | | |
| RTSR. Network per kWh \$ 0.0077 1036 \$ 7.98 \$ 0.0077 1034 \$ 7.96 -\$ 0.02 -0.19% RTSR. Line and Transformation Connection per kWh \$ 0.0042 1036 \$ 7.98 \$ 0.0042 1034 \$ 7.96 -\$ 0.01 -0.19% Sub-Total C - Delivery f \$ 0.0044 1036 \$ 4.35 \$ 0.0042 1034 \$ 4.34 -\$ 0.01 -0.19% Wholesale Market Service Charge (MKSC) per kWh \$ 0.0044 1036 \$ 4.56 \$ 0.0013 1034 \$ 1.34 \$ 0.00 -0.19% Standard Supply Service Charge Debt Retirement Charge (DRC) S 0.0809 1000 \$ 0.255 \$ 0.0060 1000 \$ 6.44 \$ - 0.00% TOU - OI Peak \$ 0.0809 1000 \$ 0.44 \$ 0.255 \$ 0.0800 6.40 \$ - 0.00% 0.00% \$ 0.00% | (includes Sub-Total A) | | | | | ş | 37.58 | | | | | \$ | 38.08 | | \$ | 0.51 | 1.35% |
| RTSR-Line and transformation (including Sub-Total C - Delivery (including Sub-Total C - Delivery) (including Sub-Total C - Delivery (including Sub-Total C - Delivery) (including Sub-Total C - Delivery (including Sub-Total C - Delivery) (including Sub-Total Sub-Total Sub-Total Sub-Total Sub-Total Sub-Total Sub-Total Sub-Total C - Delivery) (including Sub-Total Sub-T | RTSR - Network | per kWh | \$ | 0.0077 | 1036 | \$ | 7.98 | | \$ | 0.0077 | 1034 | \$ | 7.96 | | -\$ | 0.02 | -0.19% |
| Transminiation Control S 49.90 \$ 5 50.39 \$ 0.48 0.97% Vincluing Sub-Total B) S 0.0044 1036 \$ 4.55 \$ 0.013 5 5 0.01 -0.19% Kholesale Market Service per kWh \$ 0.0013 1036 \$ 1.35 \$ 0.0013 1034 \$ 4.55 \$ 0.01 -0.19% Rural and Renote Rate per kWh \$ 0.0013 1036 \$ 1.35 \$ 0.0013 1034 \$ 1.34 \$ 0.00 -0.19% Standard Supply Service Charge Monthly \$ 0.0069 1000 \$ 0.255 \$ 0.2500 1 \$ 0.25 \$ - 0.00% Debt Retirement Charge (DRC) \$ 0.0800 640 \$ 5 1.20 \$ - 0.00% TOU - M Peak \$ 0.1610 180 \$ 2.888 \$ - 0.00% TOU - M Peak \$ 0.1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ | RTSR - Line and | per kWh | \$ | 0.0042 | 1036 | \$ | 4.35 | | \$ | 0.0042 | 1034 | \$ | 4.34 | | -\$ | 0.01 | -0.19% |
| Side Total Count Count Count Count \$ 49.90 \$ 50.39 \$ 0.48 0.97% Wholesale Market Service Charge (MNSC) per kWh \$ 0.0044 1036 \$ 45.6 \$ 0.0044 1034 \$ 4.55 \$ 0.01 -0.19% Rural and Remote Rate Protection (RRP) per kWh \$ 0.0013 1036 \$ 1.35 \$ 0.0013 1034 \$ 1.34 \$ 0.00 -0.19% Standard Supply Service Charge Debt Retirement Charge (DRC) \$ 0.2500 1 \$ 0.255 \$ 0.0060 10000 \$ 6.94 \$ - 0.00% TOU - Of Peak \$ 0.1220 180 \$ 21.96 \$ 0.1610 180 \$ 21.96 \$ 0.1610 180 \$ 21.96 \$ 0.1100 40.00 \$ - 0.00% TOU - OF Peak \$ 0.1200 180 \$ 21.96 \$ 0.1100 40.00 \$ - 0.00% TOU - OF Peak \$ 0.1200 180 \$ 21.96 \$ 0.1100 40.00 \$ - 0.00% TOU - OF Peak \$ 0.1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0.1100 40.00 \$ - 0.00% < | Sub-Total C - Delivery | | - | | | _ | | | - | | | - | | | | | |
| Wholesale Market Service Charge (WMSC) Ararge (WMSC) per kWh \$ 0.0044 1036 \$ 4.56 \$ 0.0014 1034 \$ 4.55 \$ 0.01 -0.19% Rural and Remote Rate Protection (RRP) per kWh \$ 0.0013 1036 \$ 1.35 \$ 0.0013 1034 \$ 1.34 \$ 4.55 \$ 0.001 -0.19% Standard Supply Service Charge (DRC) \$ 0.2500 1 \$ 0.25 \$ 0.2500 1 \$ 0.25 \$ - 0.00% Dub Retirement Charge (DRC) \$ 0.0800 640 \$ 51.20 \$ - 0.00% TOU - OI Peak \$ 0.1220 180 \$ 21.96 \$ 0.1220 180 \$ 21.96 \$ 0.0940 600 \$ 66.40 \$ 0.9940 600 \$ 66.40 \$ 0.9940 600 \$ 64.40 \$ 21.55 \$ 0.00% < | (including Sub-Total B) | | | | | \$ | 49.90 | | | | | \$ | 50.39 | | \$ | 0.48 | 0.97% |
| Charge (WMSC) Charge (| Wholesale Market Service | per kWh | \$ | 0.0044 | 4000 | é | 4.50 | | \$ | 0.0044 | 4004 | | 4.55 | | ¢ | 0.04 | 0.40% |
| Rural and Remote Rate Protection (RRP) per kWh \$ 0.0013 1036 \$ 1.35 \$ 0.0013 1034 \$ 1.34 \$ 0.00 -0.19% Standard Supply Service Charge Debt Retirement Charge (DRC) Monthly \$ 0.2500 1 \$ 0.25 \$ 0.2500 1 \$ 0.25 \$ 0.0069 1000 \$ 6.94 \$ 0.2006 1000 \$ 6.94 \$ 0.2500 1 \$ 0.25 \$ 0.0069 1000 \$ 6.94 \$ 0.2500 1 \$ 0.25 \$ 0.0069 1000 \$ 6.94 \$ 0.0296 1000 \$ 6.94 \$ 0.0069 1000 \$ 6.94 \$ 0.0069 1000 \$ 6.94 \$ 0.0069 \$ 0.640 \$ 1.00 \$ 0.100 \$ 0.840 \$ 0.00% \$ 0.00% \$ 0.00% \$ 0.00% \$ 0.00% \$ 0.00% \$ 0.00% \$ 0.00% \$ 0.00% | Charge (WMSC) | • • | · | | 1036 | \$ | 4.56 | | Ċ | | 1034 | \$ | 4.55 | | -\$ | 0.01 | -0.19% |
| Protection (RRRP) 1036 1 1.33 1038 1.34 -3 0.00 -0.15% Standard Supply Service Charge Monthly \$ 0.2500 1 \$ 0.250 1 \$ 0.250 1 \$ 0.00% \$ - 0.00% Debt Retirement Charge (DRC) \$ 0.0069 1000 \$ 6.94 \$ 0.0000 6.94 \$ - 0.00% TOU - Of Peak \$ 0.0080 640 \$ 1.24 \$ - 0.00% TOU - On Peak \$ 0.1610 180 \$ 2.96 \$ - 0.00% Energy - RPP - Tier 1 \$ 0.040 \$ 5.64.0 \$ - 0.00% HST \$ 0.1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0.1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0.1100 400 \$ 0.02% \$ 0.00% 0.00% \$ 0.00% 0.00% \$ 0.00% \$ 0.00% \$ 0.00% \$ 0.00% 0.00% \$ 0. | Rural and Remote Rate | per kWh | \$ | 0.0013 | 1026 | ¢ | 1.05 | | \$ | 0.0013 | 1024 | | 1.24 | | ¢ | 0.00 | 0.10% |
| Standard Supply Service Charge Monthly \$ 0.2500 1 \$ 0.250 1 \$ 0.250 1 \$ 0.250 1 \$ 0.250 1 \$ 0.250 1 \$ 0.250 1 \$ 0.250 1 \$ 0.250 1 \$ 0.250 1 \$ 0.250 \$ 0.0069 1000 \$ 6.94 \$ - 0.00% TOU - Of Peak \$ 0.0800 640 \$ 51.20 \$ 0.0800 640 \$ 51.20 \$ 0.1000 \$ 6.94 \$ - 0.00% TOU - M Peak \$ 0.0800 640 \$ 21.96 \$ 0.1200 180 \$ 21.96 \$ - 0.00% Fnergy - RPP - Tier 1 \$ 0.040 \$ 56.40 \$ 0.1610 180 \$ 2.898 \$ 0.1600 \$ 56.40 \$ 0.1000 400 \$ 44.00 \$ - 0.00% Fnergy - RPP - Tier 2 0.1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0.1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ - 0.00% Fnergy - RPP - Tier 2 13% \$ 165.14 13% \$ 165.61 \$ 0.47 0.29% HST 13% \$ 165.14 13% \$ 168.61 \$ 0.47 0.29% HST 13% | Protection (RRRP) | | | | 1030 | φ. | 1.55 | | | | 1034 | φ | 1.34 | | - \$ | 0.00 | -0.1978 |
| Debt Retirement Charge (DRC) \$ 0.0069 1000 \$ 6.94 \$ 0.0069 1000 \$ 6.94 \$ - 0.0076 TOU - Oft Peak \$ 0.0800 640 \$ 5.120 \$ - 0.00%6 TOU - Md Peak \$ 0.1220 180 \$ 21.96 \$ 0.0800 640 \$ 5.120 \$ - 0.00%6 TOU - Md Peak \$ 0.1220 180 \$ 21.96 \$ 0.1220 180 \$ 21.96 \$ - 0.00%6 Energy - RPP - Tier 1 \$ 0.0940 600 \$ 66.40 \$ 0.0940 600 \$ 64.00 \$ - 0.00%6 Total Bill on TOU (before Taxes) \$ 0.100 400 \$ 0.100 400 \$ 4.00 \$ 0.29% - 0.00% 5.18.71 \$ 0.06 0.29% \$ 13% \$ 13% \$ | Standard Supply Service Charge | Monthly | \$ | 0.2500 | 1 | \$ | 0.25 | | \$ | 0.2500 | 1 | \$ | 0.25 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - Olf Peak \$ 0,0800 640 \$ 51.20 \$ 0,0800 640 \$ 51.20 \$ 0,0800 640 \$ 51.20 \$ 0,0800 640 \$ 51.20 \$ 0,0800 640 \$ 51.20 \$ 0,0800 640 \$ 51.20 \$ 0,0800 640 \$ 51.20 \$ 0,020 180 \$ 21.96 \$ - 0,00% TOU - On Peak \$ 0,1610 180 \$ 28.98 \$ - 0,00% 600 \$ 66.40 \$ - 0,00% Energy - RPP - Tier 2 \$ 0,1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0,1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0,1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0,1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0,1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0,1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0,1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0,1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0,1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0,1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0,1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0,1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0,1100 400 \$ 21.47 13% \$ 21.51 \$ 0,47 0.29% \$ 168.61 \$ 0,47 0.29% \$ 168.11 \$ 168.61 \$ 0,47 0.29% \$ 161 \$ 0, | Debt Retirement Charge (DRC) | | \$ | 0.0069 | 1000 | \$ | 6.94 | | \$ | 0.0069 | 1000 | \$ | 6.94 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - On Peak \$ 0.1220 180 \$ 2.9.96 \$ 0.610 180 \$ 2.9.96 \$ 0.610 180 \$ 2.9.96 \$ 0.610 180 \$ 2.9.96 \$ 0.610 180 \$ 2.9.96 \$ 0.610 180 \$ 2.9.96 \$ 0.610 180 \$ 2.9.96 \$ 0.610 180 \$ 2.9.96 \$ 0.610 180 \$ 2.9.96 \$ 0.610 180 \$ 2.9.96 \$ 0.610 180 \$ 2.9.96 \$ 0.610 180 \$ 2.9.96 \$ 0.610 180 \$ 2.9.96 \$ 0.0940 600 \$ 5.6.40 \$ - 0.00% Energy - RPP - Tier 1 \$ 0.0100 400 \$ 4.4.00 \$ 0.0100 \$ 0.0100 400 \$ 4.4.00 \$ - 0.00% Total Bill on TOU (before Taxes) \$ 165.61 \$ 0.1100 400 \$ 4.4.00 \$ 0.1100 40.00 \$ 0.1100 40.00 \$ 0.1100 MST 13% \$ 165.61 \$ 0.047 0.29% \$ 0.100 \$ 0.0100 \$ 0.0100 \$ 0.02% MHT 13% \$ 186.61 - \$ 0.1100 \$ 0.05 0.23% \$ 0.12% Ontario Clean Energy Benefit ' - 5 18.66 - \$ 163.87 \$ 0.47 0.29% Total Bill on TOU (including OCEB) \$ 163.41 \$ 163.87 \$ 0.47 0.29% HST 13% \$ 21.24 13% \$ 163.87 \$ 0.47 0.29% Total Bill (including HST) 13% | TOU - Off Peak | | \$ | 0.0800 | 640 | \$ | 51.20 | | \$ | 0.0800 | 640 | \$ | 51.20 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| COU-On Peak S 0.1610 160 S 2.9.98 S 0.1610 1600 S 2.9.98 S 0.1610 400 S 0.0940 6000 S 6.40 S - 0.00% Total Bill on TOU (before Taxes) 13% S 2.147 13% S 2.147 13% S 16.66 S 0.47 0.29% S 0.06 0.29% S 0.66 0.29% S | TOU - Mid Peak | | \$ | 0.1220 | 180 | \$ | 21.96 | | \$ | 0.1220 | 180 | \$ | 21.96 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Energy - RPP - Tier 2 \$ 0.0940 600 \$ 3 6.40 \$ 0.0940 600 \$ 3 6.40 \$ - 0.00% Energy - RPP - Tier 2 \$ 0.1100 400 \$ 44.00 \$ 0.1104 400 \$ 44.00 \$ - 0.00% Total Bill on TOU (before Taxes) 13% \$ 165.14 \$ 165.61 \$ 0.47 0.29% HST 13% \$ 21.47 13% \$ 165.61 \$ 0.05 0.29% Ontario Clean Energy Benefit ' - 5 166.66 - 5 18.71 - 5 0.05 0.29% Total Bill on TOU (including OCEB) 5 167.95 \$ 168.43 \$ 0.48 0.29% Total Bill including HST) 13% \$ 167.95 \$ 168.43 \$ 0.48 0.29% Total Bill including HST) 13% \$ 168.61 \$ 168.77 \$ 0.47 0.29% Total Bill including HST) 13% \$ 184.64 13% \$ 168.51 \$ 0.06 0.29% Total Bill including HST) 13% \$ 166.18 \$ 166.65 \$ 0.47 0.28% Total Bill including OCEB) 5 166.18 \$ 166.65 \$ 0.47 0.28% Loss Factor (%) 3.5800% \$ 3 | TOU - On Peak | | \$ | 0.1610 | 180 | 9 | 28.98 | | \$ | 0.1610 | 180 | ¢ | 28.98 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Elefty (PP + Piel 2 \$ 0.1100 400 \$ 44,00 \$ 46,11 \$ 105,11 \$ 0.00 </td <td>Energy - RPP - Tier 1</td> <td></td> <td>\$</td> <td>0.0940</td> <td>600</td> <td>Э 6</td> <td>56.40</td> <td></td> <td>\$</td> <td>0.0940</td> <td>600</td> <td>9</td> <td>56.40</td> <td></td> <td>ъ ¢</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.00%</td> | Energy - RPP - Tier 1 | | \$ | 0.0940 | 600 | Э 6 | 56.40 | | \$ | 0.0940 | 600 | 9 | 56.40 | | ъ ¢ | - | 0.00% |
| S 165.61 HST \$ 155.61 (13%) \$ 155.61 (13%) \$ 0.47 (13%) 0.29% (13%) 0.06 (13%) 0.29% (13%) 0.06 (13%) 0.29% (13%) 0.06 (13%) 0.29% (13%) 0.16 (13%) 0.16 (13%) 0.16 (13%) 0.16 (13%) 0.16 (13%) 0.29% (13%) 0.16 (13%) 0.29% (13%) 0.29% (13%) 0.29% (13%) 0.29% (13%) 0.16 (13%) 0.29% (13%) 0.16 (13%) 0.16 (13%) 0.16 (13%) 0.29% (13%) | Effergy - RPP - Tier 2 | | ¢ | 0.1100 | 400 | e e | 44.00 | | ¢ | 0.1100 | 400 | þ | 44.00 | | ð | | 0.00% |
| HST 13% \$ 21.47 13% \$ 21.53 \$ 0.06 0.29% Ontario Clean Energy Benefit ' 5 186.61 \$ 186.6 \$ 18.71 \$ 0.53 0.29% Total Bill (including OCEB) \$ 167.95 \$ 168.43 \$ 0.48 0.29% Total Bill (including HST) 13% \$ 163.40 \$ 168.43 \$ 0.48 0.29% Total Bill (including HST) 13% \$ 163.40 \$ 163.87 \$ 0.47 0.29% Total Bill (including HST) 13% \$ 163.40 \$ 163.40 \$ 0.60 0.29% Total Bill (including HST) 13% \$ 164.64 \$ 163.61 \$ 0.06 0.29% Total Bill (including OCEB) \$ 166.61 \$ 18.66 \$ 0.47 0.28% Loss Factor (%) 3.5800% 3.3800% \$ 3.3800% \$ 3.8800% | Total Bill on TOU (before Taxes |) | | | | \$ | 165.14 | | | | | \$ | 165.61 | | \$ | 0.47 | 0.29% |
| Total Bill (including HST) \$ 186.61 \$ 187.14 \$ 0.53 0.29% Total Bill on TOU (including OCEB) \$ 167.95 \$ 18.71 \$ 0.05 0.27% Total Bill on RPP (before Taxes) \$ 163.40 \$ 163.87 \$ 0.48 0.29% HST 13% \$ 184.64 13% \$ 163.87 \$ 0.47 0.29% Ontario Clean Energy Benefit ' 13% \$ 163.40 \$ 163.87 \$ 0.06 0.29% Ontario Clean Energy Benefit ' -\$ 18.46 \$ 18.46 \$ 18.52 \$ 0.06 0.29% Total Bill on RPP (including OCEB) \$ 166.18 \$ 166.65 \$ 0.47 0.29% Loss Factor (%) 3.5800% 3.3800% \$ 3.3800% \$ 3.3800% | HST | | 1 | 13% | | \$ | 21.47 | | | 13% | | \$ | 21.53 | | \$ | 0.06 | 0.29% |
| Ontario Clean Energy Benefit ' -5 16.66 -5 18.71 -5 0.05 0.27% Total Bill on TOU (including OCEB) \$ 167.95 \$ 168.43 \$ 0.48 0.22% Total Bill on TOU (including OCEB) \$ 167.95 \$ 168.87 \$ 0.47 0.23% Total Bill on TPV (before Taxes) \$ \$ 163.87 \$ 0.47 0.23% Total Bill on TPV (before Taxes) \$ \$ 163.87 \$ 0.47 0.23% Total Bill on TPV (before Taxes) \$ \$ 13% \$ 13% \$ 21.30 \$ 0.66 0.23% Ontario Clean Energy Benefit ' \$ 184.64 \$ 185.71 \$ 0.67 0.23% Total Bill on RPP (including OCEB) \$ 166.18 \$ 0.65 \$ 0.47 0.28% Loss Factor (%) 3.5800% 3.3800% \$ 3.3800% \$ 3.800% | Total Bill (including HST) | | | | | \$ | 186.61 | | | | | \$ | 187.14 | | \$ | 0.53 | 0.29% |
| Total Bill on TOU (including OCEB) \$ 167.95 \$ 188.43 \$ 0.48 0.23% Total Bill on RPP (before Taxes) HST \$ 163.40 \$ 163.40 \$ 163.40 \$ 163.87 \$ 0.47 0.23% HST 13% \$ 21.24 13% \$ 21.30 \$ 0.06 0.29% Ontario Clean Energy Benefit ' -\$ 18.46 -\$ 18.52 -\$ 0.06 0.33% Total Bill on RPP (including OCEB) \$ 166.18 \$ 166.65 \$ 0.47 0.28% Loss Factor (%) 3.5800% 3.3800% \$ 3.800% \$ 3.800% \$ 3.800% | Ontario Clean Energy Bener | fit ' | | | | -\$ | 18.66 | | | | | -\$ | 18.71 | | -\$ | 0.05 | 0.27% |
| State \$ 163.40 \$ 163.87 \$ 0.47 0.29% HST 13% \$ 21.24 13% \$ 21.30 \$ 0.66 0.29% Total Bill (including HST) \$ 184.64 \$ 163.87 \$ 0.47 0.29% Ontario Clean Energy Benefit ' \$ 18.46 \$ 166.18 \$ 166.65 \$ 0.06 0.29% Total Bill on RPP (including OCEB) \$ 166.18 \$ 166.65 \$ 0.47 0.28% Loss Factor (%) 3.5800% 3.3800% \$ 3.3800% \$ 3.800% \$ 3.800% | Total Bill on TOU (including OC | EB) | | | | \$ | 167.95 | | | | | \$ | 168.43 | _ | \$ | 0.48 | 0.29% |
| HST Total Bill (including HST) 13% \$ 21.24 \$ 184.64 13% \$ 21.30 \$ 184.64 \$ 0.06 \$ 185.17 0.29% \$ 0.53 0.29% 0.29% Ontario Clean Energy Benefit ' Total Bill on RPP (including OCEB) \$ 166.18 \$ 166.65 \$ 0.47 0.28% Loss Factor (%) 3.5800% 3.3800% \$ 3.3800% \$ 3.3800% \$ 3.3800% | Total Bill on RPP (before Taxes |) | 1 | | | \$ | 163.40 | | | | | \$ | 163.87 | | \$ | 0.47 | 0.29% |
| Total Bill (including HST) \$ 184.64 \$ 184.64 \$ 185.17 \$ 0.53 0.29% Ontario Clean Energy Benefit ¹ -\$ 18.46 -\$ 18.52 -\$ 0.66 0.33% Total Bill on RPP (including OCEB) \$ 166.18 \$ 166.65 \$ 0.47 0.28% Loss Factor (%) 3.5800% 3.3800% 3.3800% | HST | | 1 | 13% | | \$ | 21.24 | 1 | | 13% | | \$ | 21.30 | | \$ | 0.06 | 0.29% |
| Ontario Clean Energy Benefit ' -\$ 18.46 -\$ 18.52 -\$ 0.06 0.33% Total Bill on RPP (including OCEB) \$ 166.18 \$ 166.65 \$ 0.47 0.28% Loss Factor (%) 3.5800% 3.3800% 3.3800% 3.3800% 3.3800% | Total Bill (including HST) | | 1 | | | \$ | 184.64 | 1 | | | | \$ | 185.17 | | \$ | 0.53 | 0.29% |
| Total Bill on RPP (including OCEB) \$ 166.18 \$ 166.65 \$ 0.47 0.28% Loss Factor (%) 3.5800% 3.3800% | Ontario Clean Energy Bener | fit ¹ | 1 | | | -\$ | 18.46 | 1 | | | | -\$ | 18.52 | | -\$ | 0.06 | 0.33% |
| Loss Factor (%) 3.5800% 3.3800% | Total Bill on RPP (including OC | EB) | | | | \$ | 166.18 | | | | | \$ | 166.65 | | \$ | 0.47 | 0.28% |
| Loss Factor (%) 3.5800% 3.800% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Loss Factor (%) | | | 3.5800% | 1 | | | | | 3.3800% | | | | | | | |

| Customer Class: | Residential |
|-----------------|-------------|
| TOU / non-TOU: | TOU |

Consumption 1,500 kWh
May 1 - October 31 O November 1 - April 30 (Select this radio button for applications filed after Oct 31)

| | | | Current | Board-App | oard-Approved | | | | 20 | 016 Proposed | | | | lm | pact 201 | 6 vs 2015 |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----|---------|-----------|---------------|--------|---|-----|---------|--------------|-----|---------|---|--------|----------|-----------|
| | | | Rate | Volume | C | Charge | | | Rate | Volume | | Charge | | | | |
| | Charge Unit | | (\$) | | | (\$) | | • | (\$) | | | (\$) | | \$ C | hange | % Change |
| Monthly Service Charge | Monthly | \$ | 9.6700 | 1 | \$ | 9.67 | | \$ | 13.9700 | 1 | 3 | 13.97 | | \$ | 4.30 | 44.47% |
| Smart Meter Rate Adder | | | | 1 | ф Ф | - | | | | 1 | 4 | - | | ф С | - | |
| | | | | 1 | ŝ | | | | | 1 | \$ | | | ŝ | | |
| | | | | 1 | ŝ | - | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | ŝ | - | |
| | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | | | 1 | \$ | - 1 | | \$ | - | |
| Distribution Volumetric Rate | per kWh | \$ | 0.0234 | 1500 | \$ | 35.10 | | \$ | 0.0207 | 1500 | \$ | 31.05 | | -\$ | 4.05 | -11.54% |
| Smart Meter Disposition Rider | | | | 1500 | \$ | - | | | | 1500 | \$ | - 6 | | \$ | - | |
| LRAM & SSM Rate Rider | per kWh | \$ | - | 1500 | \$ | - | | -\$ | 0.0003 | 1500 | -\$ | 0.45 | | -\$ | 0.45 | |
| | | | | 1500 | \$ | - | | | | 1500 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 1500 | ¢ | - | | | | 1500 | 4 | - | | ъ С | - | |
| | | | | 1500 | Э С | - | | | | 1500 | 14 | - | | ъ С | - | |
| | | | | 1500 | ŝ | | | | | 1500 | \$ | | | ŝ | - | |
| | | | | 1500 | ŝ | - | | | | 1500 | \$ | _ | | ŝ | _ | |
| | | | | 1500 | \$ | - | | | | 1500 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| Sub-Total A (excluding pass thr | ough) | | | | \$ | 44.77 | | | | | \$ | 6 44.57 | | -\$ | 0.20 | -0.45% |
| Deferral/Variance Account | | \$ | - | 1500 | s | - | | -\$ | 0.0006 | 1500 | -9 | 0.90 | | -\$ | 0.90 | |
| Disposition Rate Rider | | | | 1500 | ¢. | | | Ť | | 1500 | | | | è | | |
| | | | | 1500 | Э С | - | | | | 1500 | 14 | - | | ъ С | - | |
| | | | | 1500 | ŝ | | | | | 1500 | \$ | | | ŝ | - | |
| Low Voltage Service Charge | per kWh | s | 0.00006 | 1.554 | ŝ | 0.09 | | \$ | 0.00007 | 1.551 | \$ | 0.11 | | ŝ | 0.02 | 16.44% |
| Line Losses on Cost of Power | portanti | ŝ | 0.1021 | 54 | \$ | 5.48 | | \$ | 0.1021 | 51 | \$ | 5.18 | | -\$ | 0.31 | -5.59% |
| Smart Meter Entity Charge | Monthly | \$ | 0.7900 | 1 | \$ | 0.79 | | \$ | 0.7900 | 1 | \$ | 0.79 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Sub-Total B - Distribution | | | | | \$ | 51 14 | | | | | \$ | 49 75 | | -\$ | 1 39 | -2 72% |
| (includes Sub-Total A) | | ¢ | 0.0077 | 4554 | • | 44.00 | | ¢ | 0.0077 | 4554 | | 44.04 | | • | 0.00 | 2.1.2.% |
| RISR - Network | per kvvn | Э | 0.0077 | 1554 | Э | 11.96 | | Э | 0.0077 | 1551 | 3 | 11.94 | | -⊅ | 0.02 | -0.19% |
| Transformation Connection | per kWh | \$ | 0.0042 | 1554 | \$ | 6.53 | | \$ | 0.0042 | 1551 | \$ | 6.51 | | -\$ | 0.01 | -0.19% |
| Sub-Total C - Delivery | | | | | ¢ | 69 63 | | | | | ¢ | 68 20 | | | 1 / 3 | -2.05% |
| (including Sub-Total B) | | | | | Ŷ | 03.05 | | | | | * | 00.20 | | Ψ | 1.45 | -2.03 /6 |
| Wholesale Market Service | per kWh | \$ | 0.0044 | 1554 | \$ | 6.84 | | \$ | 0.0044 | 1551 | \$ | 6.82 | | -\$ | 0.01 | -0.19% |
| Charge (WMSC) | | ~ | 0.0040 | | Ċ | | | ~ | 0.0040 | | | | | | | |
| Rural and Remote Rate | per kvvn | Э | 0.0013 | 1554 | \$ | 2.02 | | Э | 0.0013 | 1551 | \$ | 2.02 | | -\$ | 0.00 | -0.19% |
| Standard Supply Service Charge | Monthly | \$ | 0.2500 | 1 | s | 0.25 | | \$ | 0 2500 | 1 | \$ | 0.25 | | s | - | 0.00% |
| Debt Retirement Charge (DRC) | monuny | ŝ | 0.0069 | 1500 | \$ | 10.41 | | ŝ | 0.0069 | 1500 | \$ | 10.41 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - Off Peak | | \$ | 0.0800 | 960 | \$ | 76.80 | | \$ | 0.0800 | 960 | \$ | 76.80 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - Mid Peak | | \$ | 0.1220 | 270 | \$ | 32.94 | 1 | \$ | 0.1220 | 270 | \$ | 32.94 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - On Peak | | \$ | 0.1610 | 270 | \$ | 43.47 | | \$ | 0.1610 | 270 | \$ | 43.47 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Energy - RPP - Tier 1 | | \$ | 0.0940 | 600 | \$ | 56.40 | | \$ | 0.0940 | 600 | \$ | 56.40 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Energy - RPP - Tier 2 | | \$ | 0.1100 | 900 | \$ | 99.00 | | \$ | 0.1100 | 900 | Ş | 99.00 | _ | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Total Bill on TOU (before Taxes |) | 1 | | | \$ | 242.35 | | | | | \$ | 240.91 | | -\$ | 1.44 | -0.60% |
| HST | | | 13% | | \$ | 31.51 | | | 13% | | \$ | 31.32 | | -\$ | 0.19 | -0.60% |
| Total Bill (including HST) | | | | | \$ | 273.86 | | | | | \$ | 272.23 | | -\$ | 1.63 | -0.60% |
| Ontario Clean Energy Benef | it ¹ | | | | -\$ | 27.39 | | | | | -\$ | 27.22 | | \$ | 0.17 | -0.62% |
| Total Bill on TOU (including OC | EB) | | | | \$ | 246.47 | | | | | Ş | 245.01 | _ | -\$ | 1.46 | -0.59% |
| Total Bill on RPP (before Taxes) |) | 1 | | | \$ | 244.54 | | | | | \$ | 243.10 | | -\$ | 1.44 | -0.59% |
| HST | | 1 | 13% | | \$ | 31.79 | 1 | 1 | 13% | | \$ | 31.60 | | -\$ | 0.19 | -0.59% |
| Total Bill (including HST) | | | | | \$ | 276.33 | | | | | \$ | 274.70 | | -\$ | 1.63 | -0.59% |
| Ontario Clean Energy Benef | it ¹ | | | | -\$ | 27.63 | | | | | -\$ | 27.47 | | \$ | 0.16 | -0.58% |
| Total Bill on RPP (including OC | EB) | | | | \$ | 248.70 | | | | | \$ | 247.23 | _ | -\$ | 1.47 | -0.59% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Loss Factor (%) | | | 3.5800% | | | | | | 3.3800% | | | | | | | |

Customer Class: Residential

| TOU / non-TOU: | TOU | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|--------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|------|--------|----------|-------------------|----------|---------------|-------|---------------|-------------|---------------------|
| | Consumption | | 2,000 | kWh 🔇 | | May 1 - Oc | tobe | r 31 | O Nover | mber 1 - April 30 |) (Se | elect this ra | dio I | outton for | application | s filed after Oct 3 |
| | | | Current I | Board-App | oro | /ed | 1 | | 20 | 16 Proposed | | |] | lm | pact 201 | 6 vs 2015 |
| | | | Rate | Volume | 0 | Charge | | | Rate | Volume | C | Charge | | | | |
| Monthly Sonvice Charge | Charge Unit | ¢ | (\$) | 1 | ¢ | 9.67 | | ¢ | 13 9700 | 1 | ¢ | 13.97 | | <u>\$ C</u> ا | 4 30 | % Change |
| Smart Meter Rate Adder | Monuny | φ | 9.6700 | 1 | э S | - 5.07 | | φ | 13.9700 | 1 | ŝ | - | | ŝ | 4.30 | 44.47 /0 |
| | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 1 | \$ | - | | | | 1 | \$ | | | \$ | - | |
| Distribution Volumetric Rate | per kWh | \$ | 0.0234 | 2000 | \$ ¢ | 46.80 | | \$ | 0.0207 | 2000 | \$ ¢ | 41.40 | | -\$ ¢ | 5.40 | -11.54% |
| I RAM & SSM Rate Rider | per kWb | ¢ | | 2000 | э S | | | -\$ | 0.0003 | 2000 | Ф -\$ | 0.60 | | Ф -\$ | 0.60 | |
| | por kirin | Ψ | - | 2000 | ŝ | | | Ŷ | 0.0000 | 2000 | ŝ | - | | ŝ | - | |
| | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 2000 | \$ ¢ | - | | | | 2000 | \$ ¢ | - | | \$ ¢ | - | |
| Sub-Total A (excluding pass the | ough) | | | 2000 | s S | 56.47 | | _ | | 2000 | 9 S | 54 77 | | -\$ | 1 70 | -3 01% |
| Deferral/Variance Account | ougn) | \$ | - | | • | 00.11 | | • | | | ÷ | | | ÷ | | 0.0170 |
| Disposition Rate Rider | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | -\$ | 0.0006 | 2000 | -\$ | 1.20 | | -\$ | 1.20 | |
| | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | | | 2000 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| Low Voltage Service Charge | per kWh | \$ | 0.00006 | 2,072 | \$ | 0.12 | | \$ | 0.00007 | 2,068 | \$ | 0.14 | | \$ | 0.02 | 16.44% |
| Smart Meter Entity Charge | Monthly | ъ с | 0.1021 | 12 | э S | 0.79 | | ф С | 0.1021 | 1 | э S | 0.90 | | -ə S | - 0.41 | -5.59% |
| Sub-Total B - Distribution | wontiny | Ψ | 0.1300 | | ÷ | | | Ψ | 0.7500 | | ÷ | | | | | 0.0070 |
| (includes Sub-Total A) | | | | | \$ | 64.70 | | | | | \$ | 61.41 | | -\$ | 3.29 | -5.08% |
| RTSR - Network | per kWh | \$ | 0.0077 | 2072 | \$ | 15.95 | | \$ | 0.0077 | 2068 | \$ | 15.92 | 1 | -\$ | 0.03 | -0.19% |
| RTSR - Line and | per kWh | \$ | 0.0042 | 2072 | s | 8 70 | | \$ | 0.0042 | 2068 | \$ | 8 68 | | -\$ | 0.02 | -0 19% |
| Transformation Connection | P | * | | | Ť | | | * | | | Ť | | | - | | |
| Sub-Total C - Delivery | | | | | \$ | 89.35 | | | | | \$ | 86.01 | | -\$ | 3.34 | -3.73% |
| (Including Sub-Total B) Wholesale Market Service | per kWb | ¢ | 0.0044 | | - | | | \$ | 0.0044 | | - | | | | | |
| Charge (WMSC) | por kirin | Ψ | 0.0044 | 2072 | \$ | 9.12 | | Ψ | 0.0044 | 2068 | \$ | 9.10 | | -\$ | 0.02 | -0.19% |
| Rural and Remote Rate | per kWh | \$ | 0.0013 | | _ | | | \$ | 0.0013 | | _ | | | ~ | | |
| Protection (RRRP) | • • | | | 2072 | \$ | 2.69 | | Ċ | | 2068 | \$ | 2.69 | | -\$ | 0.01 | -0.19% |
| Standard Supply Service Charge | Monthly | \$ | 0.2500 | 1 | \$ | 0.25 | | \$ | 0.2500 | 1 | \$ | 0.25 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| Debt Retirement Charge (DRC) | | \$ | 0.0069 | 2000 | \$ | 13.88 | | \$ | 0.0069 | 2000 | \$ | 13.88 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - Off Peak | | \$ | 0.0800 | 1280 | \$ | 102.40 | | \$ | 0.0800 | 1280 | \$ | 102.40 | | \$ | - | 0.00% |
| TOU - Mid Peak | | \$ | 0.1220 | 360 | Э С | 43.92 | | \$ | 0.1220 | 360 | Э с | 43.92 | | ъ е | - | 0.00% |
| Fordy - RPP - Tier 1 | | ¢ 2 | 0.1610 | 600 | ŝ | 56.40 | | ф С | 0.1010 | 600 | s s | 56.40 | | s s | | 0.00% |
| Energy - RPP - Tier 2 | | \$ | 0.1100 | 1400 | ŝ | 154.00 | | \$ | 0.1100 | 1400 | ŝ | 154.00 | | ŝ | - | 0.00% |
| | | | | | ÷. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Bill on TOU (before Taxes |) | | 120/ | | \$ | 319.57 | | | 120/ | | \$ | 316.21 | ı. | -\$ ¢ | 3.36 | -1.05% |
| Total Bill (including HST) | | | 13% | | ŝ | 361.11 | | | 1370 | | s s | 357.32 | | -\$ -\$ | 3.80 | -1.05% |
| Ontario Cloan Energy Bond | rie ¹ | | | | -\$ | 36.11 | | | | | -\$ | 35.73 | | ŝ | 0.38 | -1.05% |
| Total Bill on TOU (including OC | FB) | | | | ŝ | 325.00 | | | | | \$ | 321.59 | | -\$ | 3.42 | -1.05% |
| | | | | | Í | | _ | | | | Í | | - | | | |
| Total Bill on RPP (before Taxes |) | 1 | 1000 | | \$ | 325.69 | | | 4000 | | \$ | 322.33 | ı. | -\$ | 3.36 | -1.03% |
| Total Bill (including HOT) | | 1 | 13% | | Ф \$ | 42.04 | | | 13% | | Ф \$ | 364.23 | | | 3.80 | -1.03% |
| Optorio Cloop Energy Panel | rie 1 | 1 | | | -\$ | 36.80 | | | | | ŝ | 36.42 | | ŝ | 0.38 | -1.03% |
| Total Bill on RPP (including OC | FB) | | | | \$ | 331 23 | | | | | \$ | 327.81 | | -5 | 3.42 | -1 03% |
| | | | | | Ŷ | 001.20 | | | | | Ÿ | 021.01 | | Ÿ | 5.42 | -1.00 /6 |
| L | | _ | 0.500000 | 1 | | | | | 0.000000 | | | | | | | |
| LUSS FACIOF (%) | | | 3.5800% | | | | | | 3.3800% | | | | | | | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:H-4-1 (8-VECC#49) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 1

| 1 | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #49 |
|---------|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Reference: E-H/T4/S1, pg. 1 |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Question #49: |
| 6 | |
| 7 8 | Please confirm that Ottawa has informed Retailers of the proposed change in Retail Service Charges. |
| 9 10 | |
| 11 | Response: |
| 12 | |
| 13 | As indicated in Hydro Ottawa's Affidavit of Service dated June 5, 2015, Retailers were |
| 14 | informed of the proposed changes in Retail Service Charges. |
| 15 | |


Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:H-7-1 (8-VECC#50) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 1

| 1 | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #50 |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Reference: E-H/T7/S1, pg. 2 and Tables 1 & 2 |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Question #50: |
| 6 | |
| 7 | a. Please describe the formulaic inflation adjustment that will be used for the |
| 8 | years 2017-2020. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | b. With the exception of the Disconnect/Reconnect and Service Call charges, all |
| 11 | of the revised and new service charges set out in Tables 1 and 2 escalate over |
| 12 | the 2016-2020 period. In all cases, is this escalation the result of the application |
| 13 | of the formulaic inflation adjustment described in part (a)? If not, please explain |
| 14 | the basis for the annual changes. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | Response: |
| 19 | |
| 20 | a. The inflation adjustment that was applied to the years 2017 through 2020 was the |
| 21 | same rate applied to OM&A, or 2.1 percent. |
| 22 | |
| 23 | b. With the exception of depreciation and capital carrying cost, the cost drivers were |
| 24 | inflated according to the Conference Board of Canada inflation rates from the year the |
| 25 | respective rate was set, in order to determine the 2016 rate. |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:H-7-1 (8-VECC#51) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 2

| 1 | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #51 |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Reference E-H/T7/S1, pg. 3-5 |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Question #51 |
| 6 | |
| 7 | a. Over the past couple of years what has been the average time required to |
| 8 | respond to an individual "special billing service request"? |
| 9 | |
| 10 | b. What types of billing related information will Ottawa provide its customers |
| 11 | without charging (I.e. what constitutes a non-special billing request)? |
| 12 | |
| 13 | c. With respect to the proposed revised Temporary Service charges, please |
| 14 | explain why in all three cases the proposed rate exceeds the calculated cost. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | Response: |
| 19 | |
| 20 | a. Hydro Ottawa has not historically tracked the actual time for each special billing |
| 21 | request. These requests are not typically from residential customers. Rather, they |
| 22 | are being received from commercial accounts and energy services companies on |
| 23 | behalf of commercial accounts. Request response times have ranged from |
| 24 | approximately one to several hours. In most cases, requests engage resources from |
| 25 | Meter Data Services, Billing and Customer Service departments to gather |
| 26 | information, produce and issue the requested information. In some instances, |
| 27 | customized reports need to be created, which can add to the timeframe and |
| 28 | associated costs. The volume of these requests is increasing and Hydro Ottawa is |
| 29 | focusing attention on efficiently and effectively responding to these increasing |
| 30 | demands for information. |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:H-7-1 (8-VECC#51) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 2

- b. Hydro Ottawa provides billing information free of charge to residential and small
 commercial customers through a web portal access to MyHydroLink (MHL) on Hydro
 Ottawa's website, enabling customers to view and export financial and kWh data.
 Other inquiries that are requested, such as historical interval data, load summaries,
 aggregated load from bulk metered customers, billable load history for sizing of
 service upgrades, etc. are chargeable requests.
- 7

8 c. The calculated costs are based upon year end 2013 actuals. Hydro Ottawa has
9 applied the forecasted inflation rate from the Conference Board of Canada to
10 establish the proposed 2016 Temporary Services rates.



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:H-7-1 (8-VECC#52) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 3

| 1 | <u>R</u> | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #52 | | |
|----|-----------|--|--|--|
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | Re | ference E-H/T7/S1, pg.5 (Section 3.3) and Attachment 7(A) | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | <u>Qı</u> | lestion #52 | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | a. | Please confirm that in developing the specific charge for access to power poles | | |
| 8 | | Ottawa has followed the Board's methodology as approved in RP-2003-0249. | | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | b. | With respect to Admin costs, please indicate what each of the three activities | | |
| 11 | | identified in the worksheet are for and the how the level of work activity was | | |
| 12 | | determined. | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | C. | Also, with respect to Admin-Permits, please indicate how the \$123,906 cost was | | |
| 15 | | determined – as there are no activity "units" shown. | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | d. | With respect to Loss In Productivity, please indicate what each of the three | | |
| 18 | | activities are for and how the level of work activity was determined in each case. | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | e. | What do the rates used (i.e. the \$95, \$5.80, \$44 and \$33) include. Is it just direct | | |
| 21 | | labour and vehicle costs or are there any overheads also included? | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | f. | Please explain how each of the four line items under Indirect costs were | | |
| 24 | | determined. | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | g. | What was the basis for the assumption there are 2 third party attachers? | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | h. | Please show the derivation of the 25.9% allocation factor. | | |
| 29 | | | | |
| 30 | i. | Given the calculation based on 2013 costs, what inflationary adjustment should | | |
| 31 | | be applied to derive 2016 costs? | | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:H-7-1 (8-VECC#52) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 3

| $\frac{1}{2}$ | i. | Have the 3 rd party pole attachers been advised of Ottawa's proposal to increase |
|---------------|----|---|
| -3 | J. | their rates? |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | Re | esponse: |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | a. | Hydro Ottawa Limited has followed the Board's methodology as approved in RP- |
| 10 | | 2003-0249 in developing the updated specific charge for access to power poles with |
| 11 | | the exception to direct costs, per attacher. Please see Interrogatory Response to |
| 12 | | Allstream Question #1 parts a. and b. |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | b. | Please see Interrogatory Response to Carriers Question #12. |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | C. | Please see Interrogatory Response to Carriers Question #12. |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | d. | Please see Interrogatory Response to Carriers Question #13. |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | e. | The \$95 represents the labour rate. Please see Interrogatory Response to OEB Staff |
| 21 | | Question #21, part ii for additional details, including the components above the direct |
| 22 | | labour costs included in the rate. |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | • The \$5.80, \$33.00 and \$ 44.00 rates pertain to Hydro Ottawa's vehicle costs. |
| 25 | | The rates vary depending on the type of vehicle used: |
| 26 | | \$ 5.80 per hour represents a small vehicle (i.e. car) |
| 27 | | \$ 33.00 per hour represents a medium RBD truck |
| 28 | | \$ 44.00 per hour represents a medium bucket truck |
| 29 | | • These hourly fleet rates are based on total maintenance and operating costs, |
| 30 | | per vehicle type, plus an allocation of the Fleet departments' overhead. |
| | | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:H-7-1 (8-VECC#52) ORG ORIGINAL Page 3 of 3

- f. Please see Interrogatory Response to OEB Staff Question #21 Access to Power
 Poles, part ix.
- 3
- g. Please see Interrogatory Response to OEB Staff Question 21 Access to Power
 Poles, part vii.
- 6
- 7 h. Please see Interrogatory Response to Carriers Question #4.
- 8

9 i. The calculated costs are based upon year end 2013 actuals. An inflationary
adjustment of 2.1 percent, per year should be applied to derive 2016 costs. The
forecasted inflation rate of 2.1 percent is from the Conference Board of Canada and
is consistent with this rate application.

13

j. Yes. On June 5, 2015 HOL submitted an affidavit to the OEB that notice of a
 proposed increase was sent to all customers directly affected, along with courier
 tracking details.



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:H-7-1 (8-VECC#53) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 1

| 1 | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #53 |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Reference: E-H/T7/S1, pg. 6 – Section 4.0 |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Question #53: |
| 6 | |
| 7 | a. The first paragraph in section 4.0 suggests that the proposed revised retail |
| 8 | service charges are based on a "detailed review and analysis of costs". |
| 9 | However, the second paragraph suggests the proposed rates were |
| 10 | determined by applying the 2013-2015 IRM rate increases and the |
| 11 | percentage increase in revenue requirement for the years thereafter. Please |
| 12 | clarify how the new charges for 2016 were determined. |
| 13 | |
| 14 | b. Please provide any analysis undertaken regarding the costs of providing |
| 15 | Retailer services. |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | Response: |
| 20 | |
| 21 | Please see response to OEB Staff Interrogatory Question # 21 parts xiii. and xiv. |
| 22 | |
| | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:H-7-1 (8-VECC#54) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 1

| 1 | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #54 |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | <u>Reference:</u> E-H/T7/S1, pg. 6 – Section 5.1 |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Question #54 |
| 6 | |
| 7 | a. What activities are included in the cost determination (e.g. meter reading, meter |
| 8 | maintenance, etc.)? |
| 9 | |
| 10 | b. Does the costing include any allowance to recover overheads such as |
| 11 | Administration costs and/or General Plant costs? |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | Response: |
| 15 | a. The cost includes meter data reading, meter maintenance, bill production, |
| 16 | customer support, general plant and general administration costs. |
| 17 | |
| 18 | b. Yes, per above. |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:H-7-1 (8-VECC#55) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 1

| 1 | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #55 |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Reference E-H/T7/S1, pg. 7 (Section 6.1) |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Question #55 |
| 6 | |
| 7 | a. In the case of the Disconnect/Reconnect at Meter - New Account, who does |
| 8 | Ottawa Hydro propose to recover the charge from if the "financially responsible |
| 9 | account holder" is unknown? |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | Response: |
| 14 | |
| 15 | a. The service charge would be recovered from the "unknown account holder" once |
| 16 | they contact Hydro Ottawa to confirm responsibility of the account and have the |
| 17 | service reconnected. The service charge would be clearly stated on the |
| 18 | disconnect notice left at the premise to encourage customers to contact Hydro |
| 19 | Ottawa and confirm that they are responsible for the electricity consumption. This |
| 20 | procedure also encourages landlords to assume financial responsibility of |
| 21 | accounts when their tenants vacate their rental units. |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:H-7-1 (8-VECC#56) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 1

| 1 | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #56 | | |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | <u>Re</u> | ference E-H/T7/S1, pg. 8 (Section 6.4) | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | <u>Qı</u> | lestion #56 | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | a. | Given that Ottawa is proposing to "charge" customers for missed appointments, | |
| 8 | | is Ottawa willing to compensate (i.e. pay customers) in the event that its crews | |
| 9 | | fail to attend at an arranged appointment time? If not, why not? | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | b. | How much advance notice is required from the customer in order avoid the | |
| 12 | | missed appointment charge? | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | _ | | |
| 16 | <u>Re</u> | sponse: | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | a. | Since the year 2012, Hydro Ottawa has succeeded in completing 99.49% of all | |
| 19 | | arranged appointments. It is a rare event when Hydro Ottawa fails to attend an | |
| 20 | | arranged appointment, and in these cases it would be due to an extenuating | |
| 21 | | circumstance. Any issues that arise from a missed appointment would be dealt with | |
| 22 | | on an individual case basis. | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | b. | A minimum of one hour advanced notice from the customer, from the arranged | |
| 25 | | appointment time, would be considered adequate to avoid the missed appointment | |
| 26 | | charge. | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:H-10-1(8-VECC#57) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 1

| 1 | <u>Resp</u> | onse to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #57 |
|----|--------------|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | Refere | ence :E-H/T10/S1, Current 2015 Rates, pg. 7 and Proposed 2016 Rates, pg. 7 |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | Quest | ion #57 |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | a. | Please explain why the current Standby Rates have charges for GS 50-1499; GS |
| 8 | | 1500-4999 and Large Use customers whereas the 2016 proposed rates only has |
| 9 | | Standby Rates for GS 1500-4999 customers. |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | <u>Respo</u> | onse: |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | a. | Hydro Ottawa Limited intends to continue Standby rates for all the current |
| 16 | | classes that have standby rates. Please see response to Ontario Energy Board |
| 17 | | Staff question #1 for revised rates. |
| | | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:I-4-1 (9-VECC#58) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 2

| 1 | <u>Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #58</u> |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Reference: E-I/T4/S1 |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Question #58 |
| 6 | |
| 7 | The Board's policy with respect to account 1508 states: |
| 8 | |
| 9 | A. A distributor shall use this account to record one-time administrative |
| 10 | incremental IFRS transition costs, which are not already approved and |
| 11 | included for recovery in distribution rates. |
| 12 | B. The costs authorized for recording in this account shall be incremental one- |
| 13 | time administrative costs caused by the transition of accounting policies, |
| 14 | procedures, systems and processes to IFRS. The incremental costs eligible |
| 15 | for inclusion in this account may include professional accounting and legal |
| 16 | fees, salaries, wages and benefits of staff added to support the transition to |
| 17 | IFRS and associated staff training and development costs. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | Ontario Energy Board Issued: December 2011 Accounting Procedures |
| 20 | Handbook (pg.17). |
| 21 | |
| 22 | a) HOL is seeking to dispose of \$982,326 and \$5,869 in internal staff costs. |
| 23 | Please explain why this proposal is not in contravention of the Board's policy. |
| 24 | Specifically please what staff costs are being sought for recovery. |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | Response: |
| 29 | |
| 30 | a. The costs Hydro Ottawa Limited ("Hydro Ottawa") is seeking to dispose of are |
| 31 | related to salaries, wages and benefits of staff required to support the transition to |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:I-4-1 (9-VECC#58) ORG ORIGINAL Page 2 of 2

| 1 | IFRS - \$982,326 and associated staff training and development costs - \$5,869. |
|---|---|
| 2 | Please refer to Appendix 2-U Updated June 29, 2015 which states these costs are |
| 3 | for internal project lead and temporary staff - associated with the transition to IFRS. |
| 4 | As per the reference in this question 'the incremental costs eligible for inclusion in |
| 5 | this account may include salaries, wages and benefits of staff added to support |
| 6 | the transition to IFRS and associated staff training and development costs'. Hydro |
| 7 | Ottawa confirms these incremental costs are in alignment with the Board's policy. |
| 8 | |
| | |



Hydro Ottawa Limited EB-2015-0004 Interrogatory Responses IR:I-8-1 (9-VECC#59) ORG ORIGINAL Page 1 of 2

| 1 | Response to Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition Interrogatory Question #59 |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Reference: E-I/T8/S1 |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Question #59: |
| 6 | |
| 7 | a. Please provide the order showing Board approval for account 1535. Please |
| 8 | explain what is booked into this account and why HOL is seeking continuation of |
| 9 | the account. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | _ |
| 13 | Response: |
| 14 | As not the details on the Onteria Energy Decad Association Dresedures Handhesk |
| 15 | a. As per the details on the Ontario Energy Board Accounting Procedures Handbook |
| 10 | deferral accounts under the Green Energy and Green Economy Act for Account |
| 17 | 1535 Smart Grid OM&A Deferral Account, under this guidance Hydro Ottawa did not |
| 10 | request an order specifically to approve the account 1535. Expenses from 2010 and |
| 20 | 2011 and related carrying charges associated with Smart Grid OM&A qualified |
| 20 | projects are booked in account 1535 Hydro Ottawa Limited ("Hydro Ottawa") is |
| 22 | seeking continuance of this account to use in the event of further related expenses |
| 23 | |
| 24 | Excerpt from Ontario Energy Board Accounting Procedures Handbook Frequently Asked |
| 25 | Questions – October 2009 |
| 26 | |
| 27 | New approved deferral and variance accounts arising from the requirements of |
| 28 | Green Energy and Green Economy Act: |
| 29 | |
| 30 | Q.7 Has the Board approved deferral accounts for distributors spending in relation |
| 31 | to distribution system planning under Green Energy and Green Economy Act? |
| 32 | |
| | |



- A.7 On June 16, 2009, the Board issued Guidelines: Deemed Conditions of Licence:
 Distribution System Planning (G-2009-0087). As part of these guidelines, the
 Board has established four new deferral accounts in the Uniform System of
 Accounts that electricity distributors may use to begin recording capital
 investments and expenses incurred in relation to qualifying projects undertaken
 to accommodate renewable generation or towards the development a smart grid.
 The four approved accounts are as follows:
- 8 Account 1531, Renewable Connection Capital Deferral Account
- 9 Account 1532, Renewable Connection OM&A Deferral Account
- 10 Account 1534, Smart Grid Capital Deferral Account
- Account 1535, Smart Grid OM&A Deferral Account
- 12